Vocal Communication of the Brown Hawk Owl

Ninox scutulata in Japan

A thesis submitted for the degree of PhD in Zoology

by

Teruyo Oba



Royal Holloway and Bedford New College

(University of London)

ProQuest Number: 10090135

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



ProQuest 10090135

Published by ProQuest LLC(2016). Copyright of the Dissertation is held by the Author.

All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code. Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

> ProQuest LLC 789 East Eisenhower Parkway P.O. Box 1346 Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346

To the praise and glory of God

.

#### ABSTRACT

Vocalizations of the largely nocturnal Japanese Brown Hawk Owl <u>Ninox scutulata japonica</u> were studied, with paricular reference to the function of vocal communication. The adult owls were found to have thirteen types of vocalization and three non-vocal sounds with the possible addition of one other vocal sound. Nestlings had four vocal and one non-vocal sound. The development of the vocal repertoire was also studied from captive juveniles. Most of the vocal repertoire was recorded and sonagraphically analysed, and their behavioural contexts were investigated to give an idea of their various functions.

The main function of primary calls was in interactions with conspecific birds from a distance. The daily calling of territorial males was not time-related and appeared to be greatly influenced by the intraspecific environment. Seasonal production was concentrated during breeding activity, showing four peaks which are discussed in terms of territorial, sexual and family interactions. The unusual vocal activity of a replacing male was studied and gave valuable additional information.

Primary calls were also considered to convey different types of information through variation in their physical structure. From the examination of calls in natural conditions and in aggressive responses to playback, variations of frequency and rate were related to location in the territory, the breeding cycle and the caller's motivational state.

There were consistent individual variations in temporal and frequency features of the calls. Playback of neighbour and stranger's calls and that of the mate and other females' calls at various locations in the territory elicited differential responses, suggesting individual recognition by voice.

A general description of the species, including their classification, distribution, migration and inter-racial differences, is also given.

### CONTENTS

1.	Intro	duction		15
	1.1.	The aims	of the study	15
	1.2.	The Brow	n Hawk Owl	18
	1.3.	The stud	y areas	22
		1.3.1.	Zushi	22
		1.3.2.	Miyake-jima Island	24
2.	The V	ocal Repe	rtoire	26
	2.1.	Introduc	tion	26
	2.2.	Methods		26
		2.2.1.	Birds	26
		2.2.2.	Identification of individuals and sexes	27
		2.2.3.	Observation of owls	27
		2.2.4.	Recording sounds	28
		2.2.5.	Analysis of recorded sounds	28
		2.2.6.	Physical structure of vocalizations	29
		2.2.7.	Contexts of vocalizations	30
		2.2.8.	Interpretation of messages, meanings	
			and functions	32
	2.3.	The voca	l repertoire	33
		2.3.1.	K.hoo (the primary call)	34
		2.3.2.	Hoh	42
		2.3.3.	Guf	47
		2.3.4.	00.000	48
		2.3.5.	Poo	50
		2.3.6.	Нуи	50
		2.3.7.	Krrr	52
		2.3.8.	Cheee	55
		2.3.9.	Mew and meeew	56
		2.3.10.	Hooh	58
		2.3.11.	Quay	58
		2.3.12.	Buf	61
		2.3.13.	Wing noises	61
		2.3.14.	Byahbyah	61
		2.3.15.	Pack	62

	2.4.	Developme	ent	63
	2.5.	Relations	ships between vocalizations	71
	2.6.	Compariso	ons	78
		2.6.1.	Vocal repertoire of <u>Ninox</u>	78
		2.6.2.	Vocal repertoire of Strigiformes	79
з.	The H	rimary Cal	ll: Circadian and Seasonal Patterns of	
	Produ	oction		83
	3.1.	Introduct	tion	83
	3.2.	Methods		85
	3.3.	Nocturnal	l vocal activity	87
		3.3.1.	Time of day	87
		3.3.2.	Effects of the physical environment	89
		3.3.3.	Effects of conspecific birds	95
		3.3.4.	Discussion	95
	3.4.	Seasonal	vocal activity	98
		3.4.1.	Seasonal peaks	98
		3.4.2.	Discussion	100
	3.5.	Vocal act	tivity of a replacing male	103
		3.5.1.	Results	103
		3.5.2.	Discussion	106
4.	The l	Primary Ca	ll and Aggression	108
	4.1.	Introduc	tion	108
	4.2.	Frequency	y of primary calls	111
		4.2.1.	Methods	111
		4.2.2.	Results	112
		4.2.3.	Discussion	113
	4.3.	Aggressi	ve response to playback	119
		4.3.1.	Methods	119
		4.3.2.	Results	120
		4.3.3.	Discussion	126
	4.4.	Effects (	of speaker's location in the territory	
		and bree	ding stage	127
		4.4.1.	Methods	127
		4.4.2.	Results	130
		4.4.3.	Discussion	147
	4.5.	Motivati	onal information	148

.

5.	The P	rimary Ca	all and Ind	ividual Recognition	150
	5.1.	Introduc	ction		150
	5.2.	Physical	l basis of	individual recognition by	
		primary	calls		154
		5.2.1.	Compariso	n of primary calls between	
			two indiv	iduals	154
			5.2.1.1.	Methods	154
			5.2.1.2.	Results	155
		5.2.2.	Physical	features of primary calls	
			during th	e breeding season	162
			5.2.2.1.	Methods	162
			5.2.2.2.	Results	164
		5.2.3.	Discussio	n	168
			5.2.3.1.	Consistency within individuals	168
			5.2.3.2.	Differences between two	
				individuals	169
	5.3.	Inter-in	ndividual d	ifferences in primary calls	170
		5.3.1.	Methods		170
		5.3.2.	Results		171
		5.3.3.	Discussio	n	177
	5.4.	Behavio	ural evider	ce for individual recognition	
		by prima	ary calls		180
		5.4.1.	Methods		180
		5.4.2.	Individua	l recognition by male primary	
			calls		181
		5.4.3.	Individua	l recognition by female	
			primary c	alls	190
		5.4.4.	Discussio	n	198
			5.4.4.1.	Neighbour-stranger	
				discrimination	198
			5.4.4.2.	Recognition of neighbours	200
			5.4.4.3.	Speed of recognition	201
			5.4.4.4.	Sexual discrimination and mate	
				recognition	201
			5.4.4.5.	Female responses	204
Ackr	nowledg	gements			205
Appe	endices	3			207
Refe	erences	5			287

-

.

### LIST OF PLATES

### Plate

I.	An adult Japanese Brown Hawk Owl	17
II.	A juvenile Japanese Brown Hawk Owl	17
III.	Nesting environment of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl	25
IV.	A nest of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl	25

### LIST OF TABLES

# Table

2-1.	Categories of frequency modulation of graded	
	vocalizations	31
2-2.	Sexual differences in the primary call 'k.hoo'	39
2-3.	A summary of proposed messages encoded in the adult	
	vocal repertoire of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl	64
2-4.	A summary of proposed messages encoded in the	
	juvenile vocal repertoire of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl	65
2-5.	Comparison of physical structure between graded	
	vocalizations	74
2-6.	Repertoire size in the Strigiformes	80
3-1.	The first and last primary calls of the day	90
3-2.	Effects of wind strength upon the vocal activity	93
3-3.	Effects of primary calls of conspecific birds upon	
	the seasonal vocal activity of territorial males	101
4-1.	Effects of mate's presence and conspecific males's	
	primary calls upon the frequency of primary calls	116
4-2.	Number of flights during the playback experiment	122
4-3.	Latency of response to the first playback at different	
	locations of speaker in the territory and breeding	•
	stages	131
4-4.	Number of flights at different locations of speaker in	
	the territory and breeding stages	132
4-5.	Effects of playback of strange male's primary calls upon	
	the mates of the test males	145

Table		
5-1.	Minimum number of samples required to obtain	
	individual means	163
5-2.	Intra-individual and inter-individual variations in	
	the physical structure of primary calls during	
	the breeding season	166
5-3.	Number of flights during the playback experiment of	
	primary calls recorded from strange and neighbouring	
	territorial males	184
5-4.	Number of flights during the playback experiment of	
	female primary calls recorded from different	
	individuals	192

.

### LIST OF FIGURES

### Figure

1-1.	Distribution of the Brown Hawk Owl	19
1-2.	Study areas	23
2-1.	Sonagrams of adult calls. 1. primary calls 'k.hoo'	35
2-2.	The physical structure of 'k.hoo'	36
2-3.	Sonagrams of adult calls. 2. graded calls: 'hoh',	
	'guf', 'oo.coo', 'poo', and 'hyu'.	43
2-4.	Sonagrams of adult calls. 3. 'krrr', 'cheee', 'mew'	
	and 'meeew'	53
2-5.	Sonagrams of adult calls. 4. 'hooh', 'quay', 'buf'	
	and 'pack'	59
2-6.	Sonagrams of juvenile calls. 1. 'leee', 'gililili',	
	'chililili' and 'chewlee'	66
2-7.	Sonagrams of juvenile calls. 2. 'puh', 'py.o', 'soo'	
	and 'scheee'	68
2-8.	Sonagrams of juvenile calls. 3. 'pooh' and 'beh'	70
2-9.	Sonagrams of juvenile calls. 4. 'o.guf', 'k.hoo' and	
	'pur'	72
2-10.	Distribution of graded vocalizations in temporal and	
	frequency dimensions	75
2-11.	Relationships among the graded vocalizations of males	77

Figure		
3-1.	Generalized nocturnal patterns of primary call	
	production at Zushi in 1980	88
3-2.	Seasonal patterns of primary call production	99
3-3.	Accentuation of primary call production of the	
	replacing male	105
4-1.	Physical and behavioural continuum of primary call	
	'k.hoo' and its gradation	110
4-2.	Effects of locations upon the frequency of primary	
	calls	114
4-3.	Effects of mate's approach upon the frequency of	
	primary calls	115
4-4.	Distance between the test birds and speaker	123
4-5.	Production of primary and graded calls during the	
	playback experiment	124
4-6.	Nearest distance from speaker at different locations	
	in the territory	134
4-7.	Nearest distance from speaker at different breeding	
	stages	136
4-8.	Number of primary and graded call elements at	
	different locations in the territory	137
4-9.	Number of primary and graded call elements at	
	different breeding stages	138
4-10.	Maximum frequency of primary and graded calls during	
	the playback experiment with the speaker at the middle	
	location in the territory in the pre-incubation stage	140
4-11.	Difference between the observed maximum frequency of	
	primary and graded calls and individual standard	
	maximum frequency of primary calls during the playback	
	experiment with the speaker at different locations in	
	the territory	141
4-12.	Difference between the observed maximum frequency of	
	primary and graded calls and individual standard	
	maximum frequency of primary calls during the playback	
	experiment at different breeding stages	143
4-13.	Comparison between the territorial males and their mates	
	in the mean number of primary and graded call elements	
	produced during the playback experiment with the speaker	
	at the centre of territory in the pre-incubation stage	146

Figure		
5-1.	Sonagrams and statistical portraits of the primary	
	calls of two neighbouring territorial males	156
5-2.	Cumulative analyses of the physical features of the	
	primary call	158
5-3.	Sonagrams of primary calls during the breeding cycle	165
5-4.	Duration of parts A and B during the breeding cycle	167
5-5.	Sonagrams of primary calls of the individuals of the	
	two local populations	172
5-6.	Individuals' distribution of the physical features of	
	primary calls	173
5-7.	Inter-individual differences of the physical features	
	of primary calls	174
5-8.	Latency of response to playback of primary calls of	
	strange and neighbouring territorial males	183
5-9.	Nearest distance from speaker during the playback	
	experiment of primary calls recorded from strange and	
	neighbouring territorial males	186
5-10.	Number of primary and graded call elements during the	
	playback experiment of primary calls recorded from	
	strange and neighbouring territorial males	187
5-11.	Difference between the observed maximum frequency of	
	primary and graded calls and individual standard	
	maximum frequency of primary calls during the playback	
	experiment of primary calls recorded from strange and	
	neighbouring territorial males	189
5-12.	Latency of response to playback of female primary calls	
	recorded from a stranger, neighbour, and mate	191
5-13.	Nearest distance from speaker during the playback	
	experiment of female primary calls recorded from a	
	stranger, neighbour, and mate	193
5-14.	Number of primary and graded call elements during the	
	playback experiment of female primary calls recorded	
	from a stranger, neighbour, and mate	195
5-15.	Difference between the observed maximum frequency of	
	primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum	
	frequency of primary calls during the playback	
	experiment of female primary calls recorded from a	
	stranger, neighbour, and mate	197

### LIST OF APPENDICES

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Part A.	Physical structure of vocalizations of the Japanese	
	Brown Hawk Owl	207
A-1.	'K.hoo' (the primary call): temporal features	208
A-2.	'K.hoo' (the primary call): frequency features	209
A-3.	'K.hoo' (the primary call): harmonic patterns of	
	part B, 'hoo'	210
A-4.	'K.hoo' (the primary call): types of frequency	
	modulation	211
A-5.	'K.hoo' (the primary call): number of elements in	
	a bout	212
A-6.	'Hoh': temporal features	213
A-7.	'Hoh': frequency features	214
A-8.	'Hoh': types of frequency modulation of part B	215
A-9.	'Guf': temporal features	216
A-10.	'Guf': frequency features	216
A-11.	'Guf': types of frequency modulation of part B	217
A-12.	'Oo.coo': temporal features	218
A-13.	'Oo.coo': frequency features	219
A-14.	'Oo.coo': types of frequency modulation	220
A-15.	'Poo': temporal features	221
A-16.	'Poo': frequency features	221
A-17.	'Poo': types of frequency modulation	221
A-18.	'Hyu': temporal features	222
A-19.	'Hyu': frequency features	223
A-20.	'Hyu': harmonic patterns	224
A-21.	'Hyu': types of frequency modulation	224
A-22.	'Krrr': duration and frequency	225
Part B.	Behavioural contexts of vocalizations of the	
	Japanese Brown Hawk Owl	226
B-1.	Behavioural contexts of 'k.hoo'	229
B-2.	Behavioural contexts of 'hoh'	231
В-З.	Behavioural contexts of 'hyu'	233

B-4.	Behavioural contexts of 'krrr'	235
B-5.	Behavioural contexts of 'mew' and 'meeew'	237
B-6.	Behavioural contexts of 'hooh'	239
Part C.	Primary call production of the Japanese Brown	
	Hawk Owl	240
		•
C-1.	Nocturnal patterns of primary call production of the	
	original and replacing males at Zushi in 1980	240
C-2.	Conspecific environment of primary call production	244
~ . ~		
Part D.	Effects of speaker's location in the territory and	040
	breeding stage (two-way ANOVA tables)	249
D <b>-1</b> .	Latency of response and speaker's location in the	
	territory	249
D-2.	Latency of response and breeding stage	250
D-3.	Number of flights and speaker's location in the	
	territory	251
D-4.	Number of flights and breeding stage	252
D-5.	Nearest distance from speaker and the speaker's	
	location in the territory	253
D-6.	Nearest distance from speaker and breeding stage	254
D <b>-7.</b>	Number of primary and graded call elements and	
	speaker's location in the territory	255
D-8.	Number of primary and graded call elements and	
	breeding stage	256
D-9.	Speaker's location in the territory and difference	
	between the observed maximum frequency of primary	
	and graded calls and individual standard maximum	
	frequency of primary calls	258
D <b>-1</b> 0.	Breeding stage and difference between the observed	
	maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and	
	individual standard maximum frequency of primary	
	calls	260

12

.

<u>Part E</u> .	Inter-individual differences in primary calls	263
E-1.	One-way ANOVA tables for inter-individual	
	differences of physical features of primary calls	263
E-2.	Comparison of physical features of part B between	
	the first and second elements	268
Part F.	Individual recognition by male primary calls: response	
	to playback (two-way ANOVA tables including planned	
	comparisons between strange and neighbouring	
	territorial males' primary calls and also between the	
	normal and opposite locations of the speaker by	
	<u>a priori</u> test)	270
F-1.	Latency of response	270
F-2.	Number of flights during playback	271
F-3.	Nearest distance from speaker during playback	272
F-4.	Number of graded and primary call elements	273
F-5.	Difference between the observed maximum frequency	
	of primary and graded calls and individual standard	
	maximum frequency of primary calls in Experiment I	274
<u>Part G</u> .	Individual recognition by female primary calls:	
	response to playback (two-way ANOVA tables including	
	planned comparisons between sexes and also between	
	different female calls by <u>a priori</u> test)	276
G-1.	Number of flights during playback	276
G <b>-</b> 2.	Nearest distance from speaker during the first two	
	one-minute periods of playback	276
G-3.	Number of primary and graded call elements	277
G-4.	Difference between the observed maximum frequency	
	of primary and graded calls and individual standard	
	maximum frequency of primary calls	278

~

Part H.	Difference between races of the Brown Hawk Owl	280
H <b>-1.</b>	A summary of the published measurements of body	
	parts of different races of <u>Ninox scutulata</u>	281
H <b>-</b> 2.	Different types of primary calls of the Brown	
	Hawk Owl	282
Н-3.	Geographical distribution of primary call types	283
<u>Part I</u> .	List of scientific names of avian species, genera	
	and families referred to in the thesis	285

•

.

CORRIGENDA

	Mistake	Correction
p.2, 1.3	paricular	particular
p.21, 1.28	streetlamps	street lamps
p.106, 1.30	Firsly	Firstly
p.150, 1.35	Hutchinson	Hutchison
p.151, 1.21	view	viewer

.

.

Fig. 2-5C

.

.

The Y-axis is wrongly labelled. Deduct each value by 0.5 kHz.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. The Aims of the Study

The background to this work lies in the many recent studies of vocal communication in a variety of avian species. These studies were made possible by remarkable advances in reliable sound-recording, analysis and playback systems. The majority of research has been on the song of passerine birds, as shown by the recent extensive review edited by Kroodsma and Miller (1982). Most research has been carried out on songs of the oscines rather than their calls. As a general rule, songs are long and complex in structure and produced by males in the breeding season, whereas calls are shorter and simpler and are produced by both sexes throughout the year. The long-standing question of whether songs are territorial or for courtship has been discussed by workers who have used a combination of field observations and playback experiments (Morse 1970; Lein 1972, 1978; Peek 1972; Catchpole 1973, 1977; Krebs 1976). Non-oscine birds do not possess songs, and their vocal repertoire consists only of calls. However, one call is generally recognized as a primary call, which is characteristic to the species. It will be suggested in this study that the primary call may play an equivalent role to oscine songs.

The relevance between physical structure and the information encoded in the signal has been another important aspect of study of vocal communication (Marler 1957, 1967; Morton 1977, 1982). The modality of physical structure characterized as conformity and variability encodes different kinds of information. Firstly, stable features of physical structure encode the information for identity at different hierarchies such as species, sex, individual and so on. Information about identity has been investigated in oscine songs (e.g. species: Bremond 1968, 1976; Emlen 1972; Shiovitz 1975, local population and kin: Marler & Tamura 1964; Thielcke 1969; Baker <u>et al</u> 1984, neighbours: Weedon & Falls 1959; Emlen 1971; Brooks & Falls 1975ab; Falls & Brooks 1975, mates: Beletsky 1983a; Ritchison 1983a). Non-oscine calls have also been investigated (e.g. species: Van der Weyden 1975; Hjorth 1976; Massey 1976, neighbours: Falls & McNicholl

1979, mates and sex: Hutchison <u>et al</u>. 1968; White & White 1970; Jouventin 1972; Brooke 1978; James 1984, parent-young: Tschanz 1968; Beer 1970; Evans 1970; Stevenson <u>et al</u>. 1970). Secondly, variable features carry information concerning the probability, intensity, relative stability and direction of behaviour (Smith 1977a). Graded signals have been suggested to carry motivational information in primates (Marler 1965; Green 1975) and in birds (Huxley & Wilkinson 1977). Without mentioning the highly variable and complex song structure in oscine songs, variability has been one of the important characteristics during the evolution of vocal communication. It is hoped that knowledge of the physical structure and encoded information content compiled in this thesis will contribute something to the study of vocal communitation in birds.

In general, crepuscular and nocturnal owls are believed to rely more upon acoustic than visual communication. To date observations on vocalizations have been reported in a wide variety of owls. Complete vocal repertoires have been constructed for some species of owls both in captivity (Scherzinger 1974, 1980) and in the wild (Martin 1973a; Forsman et al. 1984), but more studies on wild owls Individual differences in the primary calls have been are needed. noted by several authors. However, difficulty in visual observation during the night has prevented researchers from working further on the subject. Attempts at playback experiments brought only inconclusive results in the Tawny Owl Strix aluco (Hirons 1976). The Japanese Brown Hawk Owl Ninox scutulata japonica, a mainly nocturnal species (see Plates I and II), is relatively easy to observe at night. The aim of this study is to reveal as much as possible about its behaviour in the wild state and particularly its vocal communication. It is hoped that this work would provide evidence of the ability of the owl to communicate by the use of vocal sounds. The following points will be considered in detail:-

- 1. The vocal repertoire of adult birds, and their physical structure, contexts and functions (Chapter 2).
- 2. The nocturnal and seasonal production of male primary calls, the

# PLATE I



An adult Japanese Brown Hawk Owl

### PLATE II



A juvenile Japanese Brown Hawk Owl

effect of various environmental conditions (Chapter 3).

- 3. The role of male primary calls in aggression and territorial behaviour (Chapter 4).
- 4. The role of primary calls in individual recognition (Chapter 5).

### 1.2. The Brown Hawk Owl

<u>Ninox scutulata</u> was first described by Raffles in 1822. The common name 'Brown Hawk Owl' was used in checklists (Vaurie 1965; the Ornithological Society of Japan 1974ab; Gruson 1976; Howard & Moore 1980) and has been extensively referred to by successive authors since 1862 (Jerdon). Later, it also became known as the 'Hawk Owl' in some of the literature published between 1927 (Robinson) and 1969 (Sálim), and recently as the 'Oriental Hawk Owl' (Delacour & Mayr 1946; Grossman & Hamlet 1964; Voous 1964; Sparks & Soper 1970; Harrison 1973; Everett 1977; Clark <u>et al</u>. 1978). In the present work, the first common name, 'Brown Hawk Owl', is used, as it is the original common name and more closely describes its appearance than the other names.

The Brown Hawk Owl is a medium-sized brown bird, normally 254-320 mm in length. It has a hawk-like appearance, and the facial disc and ear tufts, which are common characteristics of other owls, are absent. The sexes are generally considered to be alike in size and appearance. However, males tend to be bigger than females (Ripley 1953; Mishima 1956; Ripley & Rabor 1958; Dement'ev 1966).

It is widely distributed through Asia (Peters 1940; Vaurie 1965; Howard & Moore 1980). This vast range is considered to be inhabited by eleven races (see Fig. 1-1A). In Japan, <u>N. s. japonica</u> is one of the six breeding strigidine species. It is a common summer visitor throughout the country. Japanese people, who are very conscious of the seasons, associated the arrival of the owls with fresh plant growth in spring and call them by the Japanese name of 'Aoba-zuku'. 'Aoba' (青葉) means fresh green leaves and 'zuku' (太荽) an owl.



Fig 1-1. Distribution of the Brown Hawk Owl. A, eleven races of Ninox scutulata: (1) japonica, (2) ussuriensis (or macropterata), (3) totogo, (4) randi, (5) bornensis, (6) javanensis, (7) scutulata (or malaccensis), (8) burmanica, (9) obscura, (10) lugubris, (11) hirsuta. Nominate scutulata has been misguidedly applied to N. s. japonica, Malayan and Sumatran migrants from the north (e.g. Peters 1940; Vaurie 1965; Howard & Moore 1980). The type described by Raffles (1822) is darker and smaller than the migrants. From tangible evidence, Dickinson (1975) concluded that nominate scutulata correctly refers to Malayan and Sumatran residents, N. s. malaccensis (Baker 1927; Mees 1970). There are disagreements in the boundary between the two northern races of japonica and ussuriensis, at east China from Kiangsu to Fukien and also at central and south Korea (Peters 1940; Kiyosu 1965; Vaurie 1965; Dement'ev 1966; the Ornithological Society of Japan 1974ab; Zheng 1976; Etchécopar & Hüe 1978; Howard & Moore 1980). B, winter ranges of N. s. japonica and N. s. ussuriensis are shown as a combined area.

19



Fig 1-1. Distribution of the Brown Hawk Owl. A, eleven races of Ninox scutulata: (1) japonica, (2) ussuriensis (or macropterata), (3) totogo, (4) randi, (5) bornensis, (6) javanensis, (7) scutulata (or malaccensis), (8) burmanica, (9) obscura, (10) lugubris, (11) hirsuta. Nominate scutulata has been misguidedly applied to N. s. japonica, Malayan and Sumatran migrants from the north (e.g. Peters 1940; Vaurie 1965; Howard & Moore 1980). The type described by Raffles (1822) is darker and smaller than the migrants. From tangible evidence, Dickinson (1975) concluded that nominate scutulata correctly refers to Malayan and Sumatran residents, N. s. malaccensis (Baker 1927; Mees 1970). There are disagreements in the boundary between the two northern races of japonica and ussuriensis, at east China from Kiangsu to Fukien and also at central and south Korea (Peters 1940; Kiyosu 1965; Vaurie 1965; Dement'ev 1966; the Ornithological Society of Japan 1974ab; Zheng 1976; Etchécopar & Hue 1978; Howard & Moore 1980). B, winter ranges of N. s. japonica and N. s. ussuriensis are shown as a combined area.

In the Shizuoka prefecture, people even simply say 'Aoba' (Kiyosu 1965). It breeds in the main islands, Izu Islands (all islands except Shikine-jima and Aoga-shima), and possibly other coastal islands such as Sado, Oki, Tsushima and Iki (Seebohm 1892; Kuroda 1922a; Takatsukasa & Ikeda 1936; Peters 1940; Kiyosu 1965; Vaurie 1965; Higuchi 1973; the Ornithological Society of Japan 1974ab; Howard & Moore 1980). Their winter ranges are shown in Fig. 1-1B as a combined area with those of another migratory race <u>N. s. ussuriensis</u>.

Japanese Brown Hawk Owls have been reported from many different types of country from lowlands to hills and mountains up to 1000 m above sea level. In general, they are found in forests and woodlands consisting of broad-leaved deciduous and broad-leaved evergreen trees mixed with needle-leaved trees, and often on the edge of forests. They are also associated with human habitation, such as the woodlands of Shinto shrines and Buddhism temples, and well-wooded parks and gardens (Yamashina 1961; Kiyosu 1965; Kobayashi 1976; the Wild Bird Society of Japan, Shizuoka Chapter 1982).

Brown Hawk Owls are known to be mainly insectivorous. They also hunt frogs, lizards, small snakes, small birds, mice, small bats, and crabs (Armstrong 1876; Robinson 1927; La Touche 1931-1934; McCann 1933; Ishizawa 1934; Hoogerwerf 1949; Ikeda & Ishizawa 1949; Kiyosu 1965; Dement'ev 1966; Smythies 1968; Sálim & Ripley 1969; Abe <u>et al</u>. 1979; Taniguchi 1983). Hunting grounds consist of a perch with a good view and an open space approximately 10-20 m from the perch. The birds are often seen hunting from tree stumps and bare branches on forest edges, isolated trees in open fields, and also on river banks. In the urban environment they utilize television aerials and electric wires in the vicinity of streetlamps which attract moths and beetles.

Brown Hawk Owls are monogamous and maintain the pair-bond for years unless one of the pair dies (Masuda 1974). They are regarded as single-brooded. Due to their migratory habit, Japanese birds have a shorter breeding season (May-July) than the southern sedentary races (Kiyosu 1965; Sálim 1979). The owls arrive in Japan from early April to early May and stay in the breeding area until late September early October. The owls return to the same breeding sites every year. On arriving at breeding sites, males start to produce primary calls

and engage in interactions with other conspecific birds in the surrounding area, by countersinging, confrontation and fighting. By mid-May territory boundaries are established. The terriroty has two zones. The central zone is an area within about 100 m of the nest, where basic needs for individual maintenance and breeding activity are fulfilled. The outer zone surrounds the central zone and extends outwards to approximately 200 m from the nest. Males regularly visit to patrol this latter zone, and territorial encounters and countersinging take place frequently.

Ć

### 1.3. Study Areas

### 1.3.1. Zushi

Field work was carried out mainly at Zushi during 1974-1981. Zushi is situated in the neck of the Miura Peninsula, 35°18'N, 139° 35'E (see Fig. 1-2A). It has developed as one of the satellite cities of the Tokyo megalopolis and Keihin industrial area. The study area was a narrow piece of land along the River Tagoe, facing Sagami Bay to the west (see Fig. 1-2B). To the south of the study area, low hills up to 50-100 m above sea level with complicated systems of narrow valleys, extended both east and west. While the south-eastern hilltops had been stripped and flattened for the development of residential areas, hillside forests provided a rich natural environment. The forests were mainly composed of deciduous broad-leaved trees such as Prunus jamasakura, Quercus serrata, Stachyurus praecox, Zanthoxylum ailanthoides, Arundinaria chino, and evergreen broad-leaved trees such as Castanopsis cuspidata var. sieboldii, Quercus salicina, Q. acuta, Ilex integra, Machilus thunbergii, Camellia japonica, Aucuba japonica. Such forests were often mixed with coniferous plantation consisting of Cryptomeria japonica, Chamaecyparis obtusa, Neolitsea sericea, Cinnamomum japonicum, Liriope platyphylla (Miyawaki et al. 1971). To the north of the study area, a narrow plain spread to another row of low hills which were up to 50 m high. This plain was almost completely





Fig. 1-2. <u>Study Areas</u>. A, location of Zushi and Miyake-jima Island in Japan. B, study area in Zushi. Nest sites studied in 1981 (stars): (1) Fuboku, (2) Rokudai, (3) Sotaiji, (4) Kongoji, (5) Kamegaoka. Main roads (bold line), railways (double lines) and stations (rectangle) are also shown.

residential, and towards the seashore and hills there were quieter quarters with gardens. The owls were found in housing areas with gardens and cultivated fields surrounded by hillside forests (see Plate III). They also used residential areas away from forests, provided that suitable nesting trees and easy access to wooded quarters were available. Territory size was between 0.15 and 0.20  $km^2$ , and the nests were found in Zelkova serrata and Castanea sativa at a height of 6-18 m from the ground (see Plate IV).

(

### 1.3.2. Miyake-jima Island

Miyake-jima Island was visited for short periods in April and June during 1980-1981. The island is one of the Izu Seven Islands and is situated at approximately 150 km south of Zushi in the Pacific Ocean (see Fig. 1-2A). The coast was studded with villages, which depended upon fishery, agriculture and tourism. The study area was a strip of land on a gentle slope 40-100 M above sea level on the northern foot of volcanic Mt. Oyama. The area extended east and west along the road and consisted of well-developed evergreen broad-leaved forests (e.g. Castanopsis cuspidata, Machilus thunbergii, Camellia japonica, Neolitsea sericea), deciduous broad-leaved forests (e.g. Alnus sieboldiana, Mallotus japonicus, Styrax japonica, Ligustrum ovalifolium var. pacificum, Morus australis, Celtis sinensis, Hydrangea involucrata, H. macrophylla, Stachyrus praecox, Weigela coraeensis), needle-leaved plantations (e.g. Cryptomeria japonica), housing areas and patches of cultivated field. The eastern half of the study area was more populated by man than the western half.

The population density of Brown Hawk Owls at Miyake-jima Island was higher than at Zushi with a territory size of 0.05-0.06 km<sup>2</sup>. However, it was less easy to observe the owls because of the thick vegetation and low illumination. As a consequence, observation spots were limited to well-illuminated open places, such as parks, carparks, graveyards and roadsides.

# PLATE III



Nesting environment of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl

### PLATE IV



A nest of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl

### 2. THE VOCAL REPERTOIRE

### 2.1. Introduction

The Japanese Brown Hawk Owl has been named locally as 'Pon-pon dori'. 'Hou-hou dori', 'Ho-ho dori' (Kiyosu 1965), 'Teku-po' and 'Yoshi-ko' (Momiyama & Nomura 1919) after the acoustic characteristics of the main vocalization 'k.hoo-k.hoo'. However, no other vocalizations of the owl have been described apart from brief accounts Kuroda 1914; Kawaguchi & Ikemura 1920; (Hayashi 1902; Kawaguchi 1937; Ito et al. 1979). The present chapter describes the vocal repertoire of the adult and its development. The physical features are studied by sonagraphic analysis of recorded vocalizations in the field and captivity. The behavioural contexts are investigated and interpreted into messages, meanings and functions following Smith (1965, 1966, 1977ab). Information can be defined as that which permits choices to be made. Messages are a kind of information encoded in a signal and shared among animals while communicating. They are deduced from the caller's behaviour and non-behavioural nature. Meanings are specific to the recipient of signal, and are interpreted from the recipient's response to the signal. Functions are the short-term and long-term consequences of the signal which increase the fitness of individuals and bearers of particular genes. Functions can be different between the caller and recipient, and also among classes of recipients.

### 2.2. Methods

#### 2.2.1. Birds

During 1976-1979, the study of the vocal repertoire of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls was carried out on breeding pairs and their broods which occupied a nest site in Zushi. During 1980-1981, observations were extended to the birds at other nest sites in Zushi, where five

neighbouring nest sites were regularly visited. Vocalizations were recorded from a total of twenty-six adult birds (sixteen males and ten females) and three broods. Excursions to Miyake-jima Island supplemented the study. In addition to wild birds, a male juvenile taken from the Zushi population was studied for a year after fledging in 1980-1981. It was kept in a room 4 m x 3 m x 3 m, where the bird had an opportunity to see and hear wild non-parent birds. Recordings of two other captive juveniles were also available in 1978.

### 2.2.2. Identification of individuals and sexes

As owls are strongly territorial, individuals could be recognized by their presence at particular nest sites. Individuals and sexes could also be identified from their behavioural context, their primary calls, and in some cases by their breast plumage patterns. In 1980, catching and marking owls was attempted to assist the identification of individuals. In order to capture adults, Duonset Balchatris traps with baits (Berger & Hamerstrom 1962) and mistnets coupled with playback of recorded primary calls were tried, but proved unsuccessful. Juveniles were easily caught with a long-handled net during daytime. They were ringed with a registered number ring and reflective colour rings (see Plate II). To attach the latter, a strip of plain aluminium sheet of 7 mm width was put on the tarsus. Then a strip of self-adhesive reflective colour tape was tightly wound around over the ring. During night-time, the colour was strongly reflected in light from an electric torch. During daytime, it was as effective as conventional colour rings, and durable for more than a year.

### 2.2.3. Observation of owls

Observing the owls at night was not difficult in Zushi. They were familiar with humans and allowed observers to approach close. Various sorts of illumination in residential quarters, such as street lamps and gate-lamps, largely supplemented the moonlight to provide sufficient light to watch the owls. Residential areas were open

27

(

enough to follow them visually. Television aerials and electric wires provided well-exposed perches. The owls were mainly observed with 10 x 50 Topcon and 7 x 30 Nikon binoculars at a distance of up to 100 m. In 1980, a NEC Noctovision NVD2001 (an infra-red telescope 4.2 x 75) with an infra-red torch IRW2001 and a battery NVC2001 was used to observe the birds near the nest. A hide was used near the nest particularly in the rainy season. Otherwise observers either made use of natural hides or simply kept stationary.

### 2.2.4. Recording sounds

Vocalizations for sonagraphic analysis (see 2.2.5.) were recorded during 1980-1981, using a Nagra 4.2 tape recorder coupled with a Grampian DP4 dynamic microphone. A windshield was used for most of the time. Sony UHL BL tapes were operated at a tape speed of 19 cm per second. The frequency response of the system was 30-15000 Hz, which was sufficient for the owls' vocalizations. A Grampian 609 mm aluminium spun parabolic reflector of 178 mm focal length was used to record high-frequency calls of nestlings and young fledglings. Adult calls were recorded without the reflector, since it cancels reflected sound waves partially by direct sound waves in the frequency range between 500 and 1000 Hz, where adult calls tended to have fundamental frequency (Patchett 1973; Fisher 1977). The recordist either waited where the owls perched or approached without disturbing them. The distance from calling birds was 5-15 m.

### 2.2.5. Analysis of recorded sounds

The Nagra 4.2 tape recorder was coupled with the following two types of analysers:-

- A Uniscan spectrum analyser Model 4500 facilitated visual scanning of recordings. Frequency ranges used were 1 kHz, 5 kHz and 10 kHz, and the time windows 0.125 s, 0.0625 s and 0.0125 s respectively.
- 2. A Kay Sonagraph 6061B was used to obtain sonagrams. The

frequency range of 80-8000 Hz was set on the analyser. Sonagrams were prepared in the range of 80-8000 Hz or 40-4000 Hz by manipulating the playback speed of recorded sounds. Two types of trace were made: wide-band traces for accurate prints of temporal and harmonic structure and narrow-band traces for detailed prints of frequency structure.

#### 2.2.6. Physical structure of vocalizations

An element of vocalization refers to a piece of continuously produced sound. It may be composed of parts which are visually recognizable as separate blocks. For each type of vocalization, up to twenty elements per bird were sampled. The following physical dimensions were measured for elements or parts:-

duration (ms) duration of interval between elements (ms) maximum fundamental frequency (Hz) minimum fundamental frequency (Hz)

For each physical dimension, a mean, its standard error, standard deviation and coefficient of variation (CV) were obtained. CV is a convenient statistic to consider the degree of variation of a sample in a ratio to the mean (%):-

 $CV = 100 x \frac{\text{standard deviation}}{\text{mean}}$ 

CV at less than 10% was considered to have a small degree of variation (Simpson <u>et al</u>. 1960). CV was mainly used for comparison of variations in temporal structure, while standard deviation was applied to compare those in frequency structure.

In addition, harmonic structure was classified into the following six categories which indicate relative strengths of harmonic:-

0 - absent

- 1 vague
- 2 weakly present

- 3 clearly present
- 4 strongly present
- 5 stronger than the fundamental frequency

Frequency modulations were examined for graded vocalizations. Categories were devised in terms of relative locations of inflection points, as shown in Table 2-1.

(

Sexual differences in vocalizations were tested by applying to respective physical dimensions one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with <u>a priori</u> test. Mutually graded vocalizations in the adult vocal repertoire were compared for respective physical dimensions by one-way ANOVA. <u>A priori</u> test was applied to find the relationships of different types (Sokal & Rohlf 1973).

#### 2.2.7. Contexts of vocalizations

Contexts of adult vocalizations observed on 2130 occasions during 1976-1978 were analysed in the following way. Each vocal occasion contained a vocal display, which was either a singly produced call element or audibly identical elements emitted in a bout. The context of the vocal display was examined for the following three phases:-

- preceding phase up to thirty seconds immediately before the vocal display;
- 2. simultaneous phase with the vocal display;
- following phase up to thirty seconds immediately after the vocal display.

For each phase, behaviour of both caller and non-callers (see Appendix B, p. 227), their identities, and information on location and orientation with regard to the nest, mate, offspring, parents or other conspecific birds were noted. The number of occurrences of different behaviours was obtained for the sexes and the three phases. No vocal display occurs at random, and a particular caller of a particular sex should perform appropriately. However, the caller may not necessarily

Table 2-1. Categories of frequency modulation of graded vocalizations.



(

down forms of AFD & AD.

Note: A, ascending frequency; F, flat frequency; D, descending frequency; R, inflected towards the right; C, inflected near the centre; L, inflected towards the left. go through the appropriate actions as circumstances change. Thus, an attempt was made to sort out the most probable behaviour patterns by the binominal test (Siegel 1956; Poole 1974). When behaviour U, B(U), and vocalization v, V(v) are associated, the observed frequency O(B(U), V(v)) was compared with the expected frequency E(B(U), V(v)). The expected frequency was obtained from the following formula:-

(

$$E(B(u), V(v)) = \frac{O(B(u)) \times O(V(v))}{N}$$

where 
$$O(B(u)) = \text{total number of occurrences of } B(u)$$
  
 $O(V(v)) = \text{total number of occurrences of } V(v)$   
 $N = \text{total number of vocal occasions}$ 

A probability level of less than 0.05 was regarded as significantly different from chance. When the observed frequency was less than four, the test was not applied. The result was further checked and supplemented by observations during 1979-1981.

# 2.2.8. Interpretation of messages, meanings and functions of vocalizations

Messages were analysed from the physical feature of vocalizations, behavioural contexts and non-behavioural nature of callers, neglecting the responses of other birds. However, assumptions were sometimes made from the behaviour of recipients as a clue. Here the list of possible messages is given:-

1. Behavioural messages (behavioural selections)

- attempt to have or avoid interactions

- attack
- escape
- copulation
- association
- indecisiveness
- locomotion
- incompatible set of behavioural alternatives

- site
- food
- care
- seeking
- attentiveness
- receptiveness
- 2. Behavioural messages (behavioural supplement)

Ć

- probability
- intensity
- relative stability
- direction
- 3. Non-behavioural messages
  - species
  - subspecies
  - local population
  - individual
  - age
  - sex
  - breeding condition
  - pairing condition
  - family group
  - location

Definitions of respective messages were based upon those by Smith (1977a). Meanings were interpreted from the response of recipients, and functions were inferred from meanings to the recipients.

### 2.3. The Vocal Repertoire

Adult Japanese Brown Hawk Owls have thirteen types of vocalization. Eleven out of them were common between the sexes, and one was specific to each sex. They also made two types of non-vocal sounds by the wings. From observations on juvenile birds, a vocal sound 'byahbyah' and a non-vocal noise 'pack' produced by snapping the beak were also thought to be used by adults.

### 2.3.1. K.hoo (the primary call)

'K.hoo' was the most characteristic vocalization and was produced by both male and female (see Fig. 2-1).

#### Physical structure

Male primary calls were constructed of the four hierarchical structures: parts, elements, units (see Fig. 2-2) and bouts.

### 1. Elements and parts

An individual element 'k.hoo' was composed of two parts: part A, 'k', and part B, 'hoo'. 'K' was a low, short sound of a hoarse quality, and 'hoo' was noted for its full, clear sound of a pure tone. 'K.hoo' sounded different between individuals and showed gradation into a different but mutually related call, 'hoh' (see 2.3.2.).

Part A occupied the first 18-33% of element, lasting less than 100 ms and being variable in the duration within an individual (N = 9; see Appendix A-1, p. 208). The individual mean maximum frequency was between 319 and 503 Hz, and the individual mean minimum frequency was between 248 and 476 Hz (see Appendix A-2, p. 209). It was produced at a low amplitude, and tended to diminish quickly as it propagated through the air. Some calls did not have part A.

As for part B, the individual mean duration was between 198 and 245 ms (see Appendix A-1). The duration was less variable within an individual than that of part A (<u>t</u>-test for CV values after Bailey (1959): d = 8.999, df = 11.5, p<0.001). The individual mean maximum frequency was between 633 and 772 Hz, and the individual mean minimum frequency was between 458 and 638 Hz (see Appendix A-2). Part B was loud enough to reach up to 300 m from the caller on calm days.

Over the frequency range of 40-4000 Hz, part A exhibited occasional weak harmonics at the second and third, whereas part B showed harmonics from the second to the fifth (see Appendix A-3, p. 210). The third and fifth harmonics tended to be emphasized, and this contributed to the sound quality. A third harmonic added


Fig. 2-1. <u>Sonagrams of Adult Calls</u>. 1. primary calls 'k.hoo': sonagrams are prepared at narrow-band setting in the range of 40-4000 Hz. Male calls: A, B and C. Female calls: D. Unit structure is missing from C.





brilliance and a hollow, throaty and nasal quality, and a fifth a somewhat horn-like quality (Jeans 1937). Types of frequency modulation of part A were most commonly A, F, and DA. The major type of frequency modulation of part B was AFD & AD, the inflection of which was located from the centre to the right half of part (see Appendix A-4, p. 211).

ł

# 2. Units and bouts

Two elements tended to form a unit as described 'k.hoo-k.hoo' (see Fig. 2-2). The individual mean of a short interval between the elements ranged from 303 to 423 ms. Units were generally repeated more than twice in succession to form a bout as described 'k.hook.hoo, k.hoo-k.hoo.... k.hoo-k.hoo'. Units were separated by long intervals, the individual mean of which ranged from 565 to 850 ms (see Appendix A-1). The temporal structure of the unit was stable, since short intervals had lower variation within an individual than long intervals (d = 4.117, df = 7.4, p<0.001). However, some individuals did not have the unit structure at all (e.g. Fig. 2-1C). The number of elements in a bout exhibited a skew distribution toward lower numbers (sk > 0, see Appendix A-5, p. 212). Males produced most typically 6-8 elements and uncommonly over 20 elements in a bout (N = 4). Frequency fluctuation in a bout provided the intonation. Gradually ascending frequency in a bout yielded a rising intonation, accompanying gradual increase in amplitude.

Female primary calls possessed the same hierarchical structures described for male calls. The individual means of various physical features of female calls (N = 6, see Appendices A-1 and A-2) were as follows:-

part_A's	duration	•••	69 - 71 ms
	maximum frequency	•••	520 - 564 Hz
	minimum frequency	•••	439 – 458 Hz
part B's	duration	• • •	177 - 235 ms
	maximum frequency	•••	663 – 837 Hz
	minimum frequency	•••	552 - 673 Hz
duration	of short interval	•••	353 - 448 ms
duration	of long interval	•••	532 - 1000 ms

While there was no sexual difference in the duration of part A, the duration of part B was significantly shorter in female calls than male calls. Female calls tended to have longer durations for both of the intervals and also to be higher in pitch than male calls (see Table 2-2 for statistics). Patterns of harmonics were not clear in female calls (see Appendix A-3), and females presented more A and AF types of frequency modulation than males (binominal test: z = 2.862, p < 0.01; see Appendix A-4).

## Behavioural contexts

For the details of behaviour before, during and after 'k.hoo', see Appendix B-1 (p. 229).

# 1. Spontaneous calling

'K.hoo' was most frequently produced spontaneously by solitary territorial males. They occasionally called on the wing but mainly at conspicuous perches in the territory. They changed perches as they called from the outer zone of territory. Males also called near the nest site. Persistent calling was observed from males visiting the nest hollow early in the pre-incubation stage.

## 2. Interactions with conspecific individuals

When neighbouring pairs or strangers invaded the territory, the resident male immediately orientated itself, approached them, and called 'k.hoo' vigorously and powerfully. Unless invaders retreated, intense vocal interactions developed and sometimes the vocalization switched to 'guf' (see 2.3.3.). Territorial males were likely to attack and chase intruders away from the territory. When a neighbouring male called 'k.hoo' from its territory, resident males orientated and emitted 'k.hoo' in the direction of the calling neighbour. Vocal interactions were delivered in a manner of antiphony or countersinging, and also as a general chorus when several birds were involved. Their mates often approached the dispute site, orientated themselves towards the opponents and also emitted 'k.hoo'.

		•			
Physical feature	Fs	df	p		
Part A					
- duration	1.074	[1, 98]	p>0.05		
- maximum frequency	410.367	[1, 63]	p<0.01		
- minimum frequency	92.786	[1, 98]	p<0.01		
Part B					
- duration	25.785	[1, 165]	p<0.01		
- maximum frequency	63.340	[1, 164]	p <0.01		
- minimum frequency	302.504 ,	[1, 165]	p<0.01		
Short interval	66.569	[1, 80]	p<0.01		
Long interval	5.177	[1, 48]	p<0.05		

# Table 2-2.Sexual differences in the primary call 'k.hoo' (one-wayANOVA with a priori test)

Ł

Note:  $F_s$ , sample statistics of F-distribution; df, degrees of freedom; p, probability associated with the occurrence under the null hypothesis of a value as extreme as or more extreme than the observed value.

#### 3. Interactions between mates

Brief exchanges or prolonged antiphonal calling were recorded from a pair perched close together. The male initiated 'k.hoo' near the nest hole. His mate gradually approached the hole, calling 'k.hoo' also. When a female called from a perch or from inside the nest, her mate tended to attend or feed her during the pre-incubation and incubation stages.

C

# 4. Interactions between parents and offspring

During the nestling stage, parents often produced 'k.hoo' while being perched near or approaching the nest. The call was immediately followed by nestlings' 'leee' or 'gililili' (see 2.4). The female parent uttered 'k.hoo' when flying back and forth between fledglings and the site to which she attempted to take her young.

Males tended to produce a bout of 'k.hoo' starting with 'hoh' (see 2.3.2.) when they interacted with their mates and also when they were by the nest in which their mates were sitting. Bouts of 'k.hoo' concluded by 'hoh' were produced after hunting and before visiting the nest with food and followed by nestlings' 'leee' and 'gililili'.

#### Messages

#### 1. Identity and mating status

'K.hoo' probably carries information concerning species' identity, but an absence of clear dialects suggests that it is unlikely to contain additional information about the identity of local populations. Audible differences among individuals and those between the sexes suggest that it may carry information concerning the individual identity and sex of the caller (see Chapter 5). Unpaired males tended to produce 'k.hoo' more frequently than paired ones (see 3.5.1), suggesting further information about mating status.

#### 2. Interactional behaviour and supplementary information

'K.hoo' may contain a message about attempting to interact or avoiding interactions. It may also encode a message of seeking behaviour, attempting to gain the opportunity to perform an

interaction without specifying the type. These may be from the following set of incompatible alternatives: attack, escape and association. Their conspicuous behaviour during spontaneous calling at an exposed perch seems to facilitate the chance of interactions. However, since callers often performed none of the alternatives, hesitating between selections, 'k.hoo' could also encode a message of indecisive behaviour. The caller may be able to send an additional message about attentiveness to other conspecific birds' primary calls and movement by beaming 'k.hoo' in a particular direction.

Variation in amplitude, frequency and temporal structure seems the most probable method to encode messages about the probability, intensity and relative stability of likely interactions. For example, when birds were involved in a vigorous territorial dispute, they tended to utter calls loudly, persistently and frequently. When members of a pair communicated with each other, their calls were soft, less persistent and less frequent.

# 3. Sites and distance

'K.hoo' appears to contain a message about the location of the caller, since repetition of short, loud calls with an abrupt start and finish render it easily locatable from a distance (Marler 1957). It may also encode a message about the location of the nest, territory boundary, and the site to which female parents attempt to guide their young. Further, it may carry a message about the distance of the caller, since part A, which attenuates more quickly than part B, can be used as an indicator of travelling distance.

# Meanings

The meanings of 'k.hoo' may be summarized as instructions on how to interact with the caller in a beneficial way. To conspecific birds, except for the mate and offspring, male primary calls may be a territorial 'keep out' signal. Since female calls occur only in the presence of the simultaneously calling male during a territorial dispute, they may mean that the female assists her mate in advertising the territory. To unmated females, an unmated male's primary calls may mean that a possible mate is available. To mated birds, the

mate's primary calls may mean assurance and reaffirmation. To juveniles, their parents' primary calls may also mean reassurance.

(

# Functions

Functions of primary calls may be that both callers and recipients can choose their location and distance, so that they may pursue the following types of interactions:-

- 1. Male primary calls
  - territorial advertisement
  - the first line of territorial defence
  - sexual advertisement, attraction and stimulation
  - pair association and coordination
  - nest advertisement and invitation
  - family association and cohesion

# 2. Female primary calls

- pair association and coordination
- sexual stimulation
- family association and cohesion
- territorial defence by associating with the male

# 2.3.2. Hoh

## Physical structure

'Hoh' was a low, gentle sound with a hollow quality and did not reach over 100 m. It was produced by both male and female birds (see Fig. 2-3A).

The male 'hoh' was constructed from two parts, A and B. Part A was too faint a noise. The duration was variable with the individual mean between 27 and 157 ms (N = 7, see Appendix A-6, p. 213). The individual mean maximum frequency was from 307 to 420 Hz and the individual mean minimum frequency was from 283 to 335 Hz (see Appendix A-7, p. 214).

Part B also had a variable duration with the individual mean

Fig. 2-3. Sonagrams of adult calls. 2. graded calls: 'hoh', 'guf', 'oo.coo', 'poo' and 'hyu'. Sonagrams are prepared at narrow-band setting in the range of 40-4000 Hz. The whole range is shown only when harmonics are noticeable. A, 'Hoh' produced by males (i and ii) and female (iii). B, 'Guf' produced by female (i) and males (ii and iii). For x, y and z, see the text (p. 47 ). C, 'Oo.coo' produced by males (i and iii) and female (ii). D, 'Poo' produced by females (i and ii). E, 'Hyu' produced by female (i) and male (ii).



Time

between 87 and 252 ms (see Appendix A-6). The individual mean maximum frequency was from 467 to 634 Hz, and the individual mean minimum frequency was from 388 to 530 Hz (see Appendix A-7). Harmonics were seldom observed. The major types of frequency modulations were AF and AFD & AD, the inflections of which were located from the centre to left half of part (see Appendix A-8, p. 215).

The element was repeated in bouts. Short bouts contained two or three elements, while long bouts lasted up to fifteen minutes or longer without noticeable breaks. The interval between elements showed individual means between 403 and 540 ms (see Appendix A-6). It was sometimes constant enough to characterize calling as monotonous murmuring. Other times it was variable enough to give an accelerating or decelerating effect.

Sexual differences in the sound quality was negligible to human The individual mean interval of female 'hoh' was from 409 to ears. 560 ms (N = 5, see Appendix A-6) and did not exhibit a significant difference from that of male 'hoh' ( $F_s = 0.492$ , df = [1, 88], p > 0.05). However, the duration of part B of female calls, having a range of individual means over 130-211 ms (see Appendix A-6), was significantly shorter than that of male calls ( $F_s = 11.657$ , df = [1, 103], p<0.001). The minimum frequency of part B of female calls, having a range of individual means over 451-544 Hz (see Appendix A-7), was significantly higher than that of male calls ( $F_s = 25.174$ , df = [1, 103], p<0.001). The maximum frequency of part B of female calls, having a range of individual means over 519-584 Hz, did not show a significant difference from that of male calls ( $F_s = 3.276$ , df = [1, 103], p > 0.05). However, female calls lacked frequencies below 500 Hz (see Appendix A-7).

# Behavioural contexts

'Hoh' was mainly produced in interactions with the mate and breeding behaviour (see Appendix B-2, p.  $^{231}$ ).

# 1. Close range contact between mates

When a bird perched close to the mate, either or both of them uttered a short bout. It also emitted a short bout before flying away

from the mate. Males tended to utter a short bout when attending incubating females.

#### 2. Courtship feeding

Males emitted a short bout as they approached with food and presented it to their mates. Females tended to interact with 'krrr' (see 2.3.7.) before receiving food. The vocal interaction was sometimes prolonged. Males also produced 'hoh' on feeding incubating females in the nest.

# 3. Pre-copulatory duetting

Males started to call 'hoh' continuously, as they perched next to their mates. Females also uttered 'hoh' but less continuously. Duetting continued while mounting. Calls of both birds changed to 'oo.coo' (see 2.3.4.) as copulation was about to happen.

# 4. Nest calling

Early in the pre-incubation stage, males called 'k.hoo' in a prospective nest tree and repeatedly visited a possible nest hole (see p. 40 ). On entering the hole, males' calls shifted to 'hoh' in long bouts. As soon as their mates entered the hole, males ceased to call. Afterwards the pair was often heard duetting 'hoh' inside the nest.

## Message-meaning analysis

'Hoh' may not contain messages about the identity of the caller, since vocalizations tended to take place when recognition had been established. However, it may contain a message about close interactions, attempting to associate to different degrees: staying together, courtship feeding, duetting and mounting. The level of association is not always clear until an opportunity is found, suggesting that 'hoh' may encode a message about indecisiveness. The number of elements in a bout may carry a message about the probability, intensity, and relative stability of likely association. To the mate, 'hoh' may mean assurance and reaffirmation in the pairbond and breeding coordination. It may function to maintain interactions between a pair in close proximity. It may also suppress

agonistic and evasive tendencies arising at a short distance from other individuals. It may also coordinate the breeding behaviour.

# 2.3.3. Guf

# Physical structure

'Guf' was a low-pitched, powerful grunt. It was unlikely to travel farther than 30 m. Males emitted it more readily than females (see Fig. 2-3B).

It seemed that 'guf' also had two parts: part A, 'o', and part B, 'guf'. However, part A was neither regular nor loud enough to hear. In male calls, part B showed a widely variable duration between and within individuals, having the individual mean over 173-248 ms (N = 3, see Appendix A-9, p. 216). It occupied a low frequency range, showing the individual mean maximum frequency from 448 to 503 Hz and the individual mean minimum frequency from 392 to 416 Hz (see Appendix A-10, p. 216). It exhibited formation of frequency structures: a cloud over a wide range of frequency (x), double or triple fundamental frequencies in the cloud (y), and one dominant fundamental frequency partially with a cloud (z). As the structure advanced from (x) to (z), raucousness reduced. Most common types of frequency modulation of the main fundamental frequency were AFD & AD, the inflections of which were located from the centre to left half (see Appendix A-11, p. 217). Rarely harmonics were weakly seen at the second and third. The element was repeated at a fairly stable interval, having the individual mean from 412 to 453 ms (see Appendix A-9). Female calls sounded lighter than male calls, which was possibly due to a higher maximum frequency of 568 + 9 Hz.

# Behavioural contexts

When an intense territorial confrontation developed, territorial males switched their vocalizations from 'k.hoo' to 'guf' (see Chapter 4). They were perched close and seldom moved their positions. They faced their opponent firmly, showing the white patches below the carpals. They continuously called 'guf' and were likely to attack

the opponent at any moment. Otherwise, they switched back to 'k.hoo', and became silent or withdrew.

# Message-meaning analysis

'Guf' may encode a message about incompatible behavioural alternatives, attack and escape. The caller seemed to be in conflict between selections, suggesting a message about indecisive behaviour. The number of elements, rate of repetition and sound frequency may carry a message about the probability, intensity and relative stability of likely behaviour. To conspecific opponents, 'guf' may mean that the caller is aggressive enough to attack. The function may be to control the interaction when the caller's territorial and social state is really threatened. It seems to act as a final threat and the last deterrent before overt aggression.

# 2.3.4. 00.000

# Physical structure

'Oo.coo' was a dulcet, cooing sound. It was not loud and did not reach beyond 30-40 m. Both male and female birds produced it (see Fig. 2-3C).

An element of 'oo.coo' showed two parts, A and B. Part A, 'oo', was a low-pitched, sustained sound, covering one-third to half of the element. The individual mean duration of male calls was from 118 to 276 ms (N = 7), showing a high variation within an individual (see Appendix A-12, p. 218). The individual mean maximum frequency was from 238 to 428 Hz and the individual mean minimum frequency was from 181 to 332 Hz (see Appendix A-13, p. 219). Harmonics were often present at the second. Most common types of frequency modulation were D and F (see Appendix A-14, p. 220).

Part B, 'coo', was a higher-pitched, clear, emphatic sound. The duration had a range of individual means over 179-287 ms and was variable within an individual (see Appendix A-12). The individual mean maximum frequency was from 398 to 529 Hz, and the individual mean minimum frequency was from 324 to 480 Hz (see Appendix A-13). Harmonics were observed at the second and third. The types of frequency modulation were mostly AFD & AD, the inflections of which

were located from the centre to left half of part (see Appendix A-14).

The element tended to repeat itself a few or more times. The interval between elements was variable between individuals, showing the individual mean between 328 and 532 ms (see Appendix A-12). Sexual differences were not apparent in both temporal and frequency features (see Appendices A-12, A-13 and A-14). However, females called part B in a slightly higher maximum frequency ( $F_s = 26.620$ , df = [1, 175], p<0.001) and minimum frequency ( $F_s = 63.407$ , df = [1, 177], p<0.001) than males.

# Behavioural contexts

#### 1. Pre-copulatory calling

While mounting, mates changed their vocalizations from 'hoh' (see 2.3.2.) to 'oo.coo'. Males called it until copulation, when they replaced it with 'cheee' (see 2.3.8.). Females sometimes kept calling until after copulation.

# 2. Intense contact of male to female

Males tended to call 'oo.coo' when they were close to females. Mated males produced it, as they were perched side by side with their mates, and also when they visited them in the nest.

#### Message-meaning analysis

'Oo.coo' seems to carry a message of sexual interactions. It may also encode a message of seeking behaviour, attempting to gain the opportunity to associate sexually with the opposite sex. However, it may carry a message about indecisiveness, since the level of association is not clear until the opportunity is found. Acceleration in the rate of production towards the moment of coition suggests a message about the probability and relative stability of sexual behaviour. 'Oo.coo' may mean that the caller is sexually receptive. The functions may be to coordinate the sexual behaviour between mates.

# 2.3.5. Poo

# Physical structure

'Poo' was a faint, short-range peep produced by females (see Fig. 2-3D). The duration was about 200 ms with a high degree of variation within an individual. The frequency range was low and narrow around just above 500 Hz. Harmonics were not detected, and the types of frequency modulation were mostly A, F and AF. The element was repeated in bouts and the interval was variable within an individual (see Appendices A-15, A-16 and A-17, p. 221).

(

# Behavioural contexts

Females called 'poo' while attending eggs in the second half of incubation stage. There was no association with their mates' behaviour and other events outside the nest. It is speculated that it is related to communication between the female parent and embryos.

# 2.3.6. Hyu

#### Physical structure

'Hyu' was a nasal and slightly shrill sound. Kawaguchi (1937) described it as 'hyoo', 'kyew' or 'hyew'. It reached up to 50 m. Both male and female birds produced it (see Fig. 2-3E).

Male calls tended to sound softer than female calls. The individual mean duration was ranged between 108 and 204 ms (N = 6) and showed a large variation within an individual (see Appendix A-18, p. 222). The individual mean maximum frequency was from 525 to 661 Hz and the individual mean minimum frequency was from 464 to 584 Hz (see Appendix A-19, p. 223). Harmonics were generally observed from the second to the fourth (see Appendix A-20, p. 224). Emphasized harmonics at the third and fourth contributed to the tonal quality: the third for nasality and the fourth for shrillness (Jeans 1937). Types of frequency modulations were mostly AF and AFD & AD, the inflections of which were located from the centre to right half of

element (see Appendix A-21, p. 224). The element tended to be repeated in pairs. However, the unit structure was loose due to the large variation of both short and long intervals within an individual. The individual mean short interval was from 327 to 423 ms, and the individual mean long interval was from 560 to 818 ms (see Appendix A-18).

Female calls sounded sharp and could be described as 'kyu'. They were significantly similar to male calls in all the temporal features (see Appendix A-18). However, they were higher pitched than male calls. The individual mean maximum frequency was from 633 to 700 Hz ( $F_s = 27.196$ , df = [1, 87], p<0.001). The individual mean minimum frequency was from 548 to 588 Hz ( $F_s = 70.290$ , df = [1, 85], p<0.001).

# Behavioural contexts

From the study during 1976-1978 (see Appendix B-3a, p. 233), 'hyu' was significantly associated with parental behaviour in attending nestlings and fledglings. Kawaguchi (1937) has defined the use of 'hyu' from parents to their young. However, in later observations during 1979-1981 (see Appendix B-3b, p. 234 ), 'hyu' was repeatedly produced by birds with food in the beak, perching alone out of sight of the recipient. The caller was approached by an individual or sometimes made an approach to an individual. Then the food was transferred either from males to their mates or from parents to offspring.

#### Message-meaning analysis

'Hyu' may encode a message of seeking behaviour, attempting to gain the opportunity to feed. The rate of production may carry a message about the intensity of seeking behaviour. The repetition of short, clear elements with an abrupt start and finish seems to provide the call with a message about the location of the caller (Marler 1957). Sexual and individual differences in the physical structures may suggest a message about the identity of the caller. 'Hyu' may mean that food is available from the caller. It may function to

inform the time and place of feeding, and prevent recipients from wasting energy by soliciting for food in vain and also from missing the offer by depending solely upon visual information.

# 2.3.7. Krrr

## Physical structure

'Krrr' was a quiet, rolling, protracted noise, resembling cats' purring (see Fig. 2-4A). It was described by Hayashi (1902) as a whispering note, 'jewreree', and by Kawaguchi and Ikemura (1920) as a low-pitched call, 'froo' or 'froo-o'. It did not range over 20-30 m from the caller. Females called it more often than males. Calls were similar between the sexes. For female calls (see Appendix A-22, p. 225), the individual mean duration was well over 900 ms up to at least 1500 ms, having a large variation within an individual. The frequency sometimes fluctuated but was generally stable over the range of 400-600 Hz. Harmonics were found in some individuals at the second, third, fourth and sixth. Amplitude tended to increase towards the end of element. 'Krrr' was produced singly or repeatedly.

## Behavioural contexts

Later observations during 1980-1981 strongly suggested that females emitted 'krrr' most frequently when they were likely to be fed by their mates during the pre-incubation and incubation stages (see Appendix B-4, p. 235). Males were near their mates, hunting for prey, preparing food, or carrying food and calling 'hyu' (see 2.4.6.). As 'krrr' was emitted by females, males approached the caller or were approached by the caller, and food was quickly given to the female. Parent birds called 'krrr' when they fed nestlings and fledglings. Birds also uttered 'krrr' when they were separated from their mates by a short distance - between 1 and 20 m - and the distance between them was often shortened after the vocalization. 'Krrr' was significantly associated with preening, which led to mutual preening of the pair.

Fig. 2-4. Sonagrams of adult calls. 3. 'krrr', 'cheee', 'mew' and 'meeew'. Sonagrams in A are prepared at wide-band setting in the range of 40-4000 Hz. The unit time indicated at the bottom of figure is one second. Sonagrams shown in B and C are prepared at narrow-band setting in the range of 80-8000 Hz. The unit time is 0.5 second. A, 'Krrr' produced by female (i) and male (ii). B, 'Cheee' was produced by males during copulation. It was preceded by bouts of 'oo.coo', the last calls of which can be seen in the sonagrams. In the second case, the mate also called 'oo.coo' in a duet with the male and kept calling during and after 'cheee'. C, 'Mew' (i and ii) and 'meeew' (iii and iv). (ii) and (iii) were called by the same bird.



# Message-meaning analysis

'Krrr' may contain a message that the caller seeks for and is receptive to an interaction at a short distance. The message about the probability, intensity, and relative stability in seeking a close range interaction can be encoded possibly by repetition and amplitude modulation. Meanings of 'krrr' seem to change with situations. To a male hunting or holding prey, his mate's 'krrr' may mean that the caller is asking for prey. To an individual which is not engaged in hunting, 'krrr' may mean that the caller wants contact. When the caller is seen preening itself, the meaning may be that the caller is soliciting for preening. To the offspring, it may mean that parents are going to bring food to them shortly. The function of 'krrr' may be to control the behaviour of other birds at a close range.

(

# 2.3.8. Cheee

## Physical structure

'Cheee' was a long, piercing squeal. It was heard from a distance of up to approximately 100 m, and was produced only by males (see Fig. 2-4B). The physical features for three birds were as follows:-

duration	• • •	1149 <u>+</u>	51	ms
maximum frequency	•••	7240 <u>+</u>	339	Hz
minimum frequency	• • •	4590 +	290	Hz

#### Behavioural contexts

'Cheee' was produced as males copulated. After copulation, males left females immediately and females stayed at the site of copulation.

# Message-meaning analysis

'Cheee' may contain a message about the caller's sexual and specific identity and also a message about the location of the caller.

Another possible message may be locomotory behaviour that the caller is about to leave the female. To females, 'cheee' may mean that the male's flight after copulation does not constitute danger. A possible function may be mate assurance after copulation.

# 2.3.9. Mew and Meeew

#### Physical structure

As Japanese Brown Hawk Owls are locally known as 'Neko-dori (Cat-birds)' or 'Miyah-tsuku (Miaow-owls)' (Kiyosu 1965), both male and female birds had cat-like vocalizations. They varied between soft 'mew' and caterwauling 'meeew' (see Fig. 2-4C).

'Mew' of an individual showed a mean duration of 220 ms with a CV of 26.1%. The maximum frequency was  $994 \pm 6$  Hz and the minimum frequency was  $700 \pm 27$  Hz (N = 8). The frequency ascended slowly and descended quickly, and its range widened towards the end. The third and fourth harmonics were emphasized. 'Mew' could be as quiet as to reach only the vicinity of the caller or as powerful as to be heard from up to 100 m away.

'Meeew' was a longer and lower-pitched call than 'mew'. The individual mean duration (CV, n) was as follows:-

 Owl 1
 ...
 599 ms (15.7%, 8)

 Owl 2
 ...
 960 ms (21.8%, 3)

 Owl 3
 ...
 1300 ms (38.8%, 3)

The individual means of maximum and minimum frequency with one standard error (n) were as follows:-

0wl 1 $\dots$  $678 \pm 15$  Hz, $415 \pm 24$  Hz (8)0wl 2 $\dots$  $730 \pm 15$  Hz, $580 \pm 40$  Hz (3)0wl 3 $\dots$  $697 \pm 49$  Hz, $493 \pm 52$  Hz (3)

The frequency was almost flat or gradually ascended, and its range widened towards the end. Harmonics were found at the third, fourth and fifth. 'Meeew' was always loud enough to travel over 100 m.

# Behavioural contexts

'Mew' was heard from parents, whose young fledglings were perched

near the ground or were wandering on the ground, being in potential danger from ground-living animals including humans (see Appendix B-5, p. 237). Parents orientated towards the enemy and called. As the distance between young birds and enemy shortened, parent birds increased the calling rate and loudness but seldom flew. Young birds became stationary. There was no clear response from the enemy.

(

'Meeew' was often heard from parent birds watching the nest, when larger bird species, such as the Ural Owl <u>Strix uralensis</u>, the Black Kite <u>Milvus migrans</u> and the Night Heron <u>Nycticorax nycticorax</u> came near. In some cases, parent birds called it when humans approached their nest trees. Unlike 'mew', it accompanied dashing flights toward the enemy, which kept out. To human ears, it sounded weird enough for the listener to withdraw. 'Meeew' was also used against conspecific birds. Firstly, it was emitted by territorial males in the middle of territorial dispute, when the opponents were chased off. Secondly, the widowed female called it whenever the replacing male disturbed her to attend her offspring.

## Message-meaning analysis

The caller of both 'mew' and 'meeew' is believed to be in conflict between the two motivations of fear and aggression. The message may be that the caller is interactional but indecisive between the two incompatible alternatives, attack and escape. Two forms and their variations seem to provide behavioural supplemental messages. They may also encode a message about the location of the caller. To young birds, 'mew' may mean that it is dangerous to move. To enemies, it may mean that their movement is monitored. To both other species and conspecific rivals, 'meeew' may mean that the caller is intensely antagonistic to their presence. It can be suggested that 'mew' functions as an alarm to warn kin in possible danger, and that 'meeew' functions as a more intense threat to the receiver.

## 2.3.10. Hooh

## Physical structure

'Hooh' was a hollow call stressed at the onset, and emitted singly (see Fig. 2-5A). Both sexes called. The physical features were as follows:-

duration	• • •	230 - 290 ms
maximum frequency	•••	800 - 880 Hz
minimum frequency	• • •	620 - 700 Hz
harmonics		none

## Behavioural contexts

'Hooh' was produced most frequently before parents flew to their young fledglings to feed. Although uncommon, it was also produced when nestlings were fed. It was heard only during the late nestling and early fledgling stages (see Appendix B-6, p. 239).

# Message-meaning analysis

Messages, meanings and functions are not known. However, 'hooh' is considered not to contain messages about feeding and food.

# 2.3.11. Quay

## Physical structure

'Quay' was a harsh, rusty sound and could be heard from up to 50 m away. No calling bird was identified as female. 'Quay' was composed of two simultaneously produced elements, which were not related in the temporal mode and modulated frequency (see Fig. 2-5 B and C). The fundamental frequency occupied from 600 to 1500 Hz in one element, and from 800 to 1800 Hz in the other. Harmonics were observed up to the eighth and those from the second to the fifth were more emphasized. The individual mean duration ranged between 286 and 490 ms and the variation was high within individuals (CV: 20.5 - 26.0%).

Fig. 2-5. Sonagrams of adult calls. 4. 'hooh', 'quay', 'buf' and 'pack': sonagrams are prepared in the range of 80-8000 Hz. A, 'Hooh' was produced after a nestling's 'gililili' (narrow-band setting). B, three calls of 'quay' prepared at wide-band setting. C, 'Quay': analysis of main frequencies and their harmonics from the sonagrams shown in B. D, 'Buf': analysis of main frequencies and their harmonics from sonagrams of three calls (not shown). The first call accompanied an unrelated short sound (black). Dotted line indicates weakly presented parts. E, two consecutive sounds of 'pack' prepared at wide-band setting. They were recorded from a young male.

21-32 (2)



## Behavioural contexts

'Quay' was only rarely heard from solitary birds near the nest site. On an occasion, a male called 'k.hoo' (see 2.3.1.), and his mate joined in calling. Suddenly the male started to utter 'quay' several times and switched to 'guf' (see 2.3.3.).

# 2.3.12. Buf

# Physical structure

'Buf' was heard on only a few occasions from a bird of unidentified sex. It was a harsh, short sound, and was rather quiet reaching not farther than 30 m. The diagrams of sonagrams from one individual are shown in Fig. 2-5D. The duration was  $270 \pm 38$  ms with a CV of 24.2% and the range of fundamental frequency was from 500 to 700 Hz. The second harmonics were more emphasized than the fundamental.

# Behavioural contexts

On one occasion, a bird produced 'buf' between 'meeew' calls (see 2.3.9.). On the other occasion, a bird was perched near the nest tree.

# 2.3.13. Wing Noises

Although birds did not usually make flight noises with their wings, males made sharp clapping sounds when they flew toward their rival to fight. Parents produced light rustling as they approached the nest to feed nestlings. The message, meaning and function of these noises are unknown; however, the former may contain a message of attack.

# 2.3.14. Byahbyah

'Byahbyah' was a strikingly bizarre yowl. No recording was made,

but it lasted for a few seconds. It was heard from birds which were in distress. Being caught in the hands of man, they cried, struggling to escape. It sounded frightening enough for the observer to release them.

# Message-meaning analysis

'Byahbyah' may contain a message that the caller attempts to avoid interactions. In spite of lack of knowledge concerning the response of recipients, it is assumed that it signifies crisis. To enemies, it may mean that the prey can be dangerous and that it is better to avoid interactions. It may function to inform of danger to conspecific birds, namely the mate and family, and also to increase the caller's chance of escape from the enemy.

# 2.3.15. Pack

#### Physical structure

'Pack' was a short, sharp noise produced by closing the beak quickly and strongly. It occupied a wide frequency range between 0.4 and 5.5 kHz at the start and then between 0.9 and 2.0 kHz for about 50 ms (see Fig. 2-5E).

# Behavioural contexts

'Pack' was made when callers were either approached by the observer or exposed to a strange object such as a long-handled net. It lifted the wings backwards with the head held low, raised plumages of the head, breast and underpart, and withdrew.

# Messages and functions

The message of 'pack' may be interactional behaviour and indecisiveness between incompatible behavioural alternatives, attack and escape. It may function as a threat at a short distance.

In Table 2-3, a summary of messages proposed for the adult vocal repertoire of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls is given.

# 2.4. Development

Nestlings generally hatched from the end of June to the beginning of July. They emerged from the nest 24-27 days after hatching in late July. Fledglings dispersed into the surrounding area by early September. Nestlings have four vocal and one non-vocal sound. Fledglings have eight vocal and one non-vocal sound. Five types of vocalization were added during the first year of development. In the present section, juvenile vocal repertoire is briefly surveyed and a summary of proposed messages is given in Table 2-4.

Young birds emitted 'leee' and 'gililili' (see Fig. 2-6 A, B and C) from an early stage of development until 13 weeks old. 'Chililili' (see Fig. 2-6 D) appeared late in the nestling stage. 'Chewlee' was emitted between 7 and 15 weeks (see Fig. 2-6 E and F). All of them were produced in the context of feeding, presence of parents, siblings' utterance, and play. It may function to guide parents to feed or contact young birds while controlling their agonistic tendency. 'Kyrrkyrr' (recorded but not analysed sonagraphically) was uttered when nestlings were alone or handled. 'Byahbyah' (see 2.3.14.) was produced at the latest after 6 weeks. 'Puh' (see Fig. 2-7 A) and 'soo' (see Fig. 2-7 C) appeared between 8 and 14 weeks and 'py.o' (see Fig. 2-7 B) between 9 and 26 weeks. These three calls were mainly heard when the captive male was playing with materials. It also uttered 'puh' while grooming, and 'py.o' whenever it was approached while handling prey. 'Scheee' (see Fig. 2-7 D) was heard from the birds over 8 weeks old, and identified as 'cheee' of the adult repertoire (see 2.3.8.) at 12 weeks. It appeared during the play which was the prototype of the copulatory behaviour. 'Pooh' (see Fig. 2-8 A) was first noticed at 7 weeks and developed to 'mew' and 'meeew' of the adult repertoire (see 2.3.9.) by the 25th week. The captive bird called it, when it saw any flying objects outside the window, e.g. birds and aeroplanes. 'Beh' (see Fig. 2-8 B) was heard between 9 and 26 weeks, but its context was unknown.

Message	K.hoo	Ноћ	Guf	00.000	Hyu	Krr	Cheee	Mew & Meeew	Wing clapping
Behavioural selection									
- interaction	Х					Х		Х	
- attack			Х						х
- escape			Х						
- copulation or sexual behaviour				x					
- association		Х					•		
- indecisiveness	х	Х	Х	х				Х	
- locomotion							Х		
<ul> <li>incompatible set</li> <li>of behaviour</li> <li>alternatives</li> </ul>	x		x						
- site	x		n						
- food					х				
- seeking	х			х	x	х			
- receptiveness						х			
- attentiveness	Х								
Behavioural supplement									
- probability	х	Х	х	х		х		Х	
- intensity	х	х	х		х	х		Х	
- relative stability	х	Х	х	х		Х		Х	
- direction	Х								
Non-behavioural									
- species	Х						х		
- individual	Х				Х				
- sex	Х				Х		х		
- mating status	Х								
- distance	Х								
- location	Х				Х			х	

Table 2-3. A summary of proposed messages encoded in the adult vocal repertoire of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl

,

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC		_		_			_				
Message	Leee etc	Kyrrkyrr	Byahbyah	Py.o	Puh & Soo	Scheee	Pooh	0.guf	K.hoo	Pur	Pack
Behavioural selection											
- interaction		Х	Х				х		х		х
- attack								х			
- escape				х				Х			
- association										х	
- indecisiveness							Х	х	х	х	х
- incompatible set of behaviour							•	x	x		x
- site								n	x		
- care	х										
- seeking	х	х							х		
- receptiveness	х	х									
- attentiveness									х		
<pre>- exertion and/or play</pre>				x	х	x					
Behavioural supplement											
- probability			х					х	х	х	
- intensity	х	Х	Х					х	х	х	
- relative stability	х	х	Х					Х	х	х	
- direction									Х		
Non-behavioural											
- species	х								Х		
- individual									х		
- sex									х		
- age	Х	Х							х		
- location	х								Х		

Table 2-4. A summary of proposed messages encoded in the juvenile vocal repertoire of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl

Note: 'Leee etc'includes 'leee', 'gililili', 'chililili' and 'chewlee'.

Fig. 2-6. Sonagrams of juvenile calls. 1. 'leee', 'gililili', 'chililili' and 'chewlee': sonagrams are prepared at wide-band setting in the range of 80-8000 Hz. A, 'Leee' produced by a seventeen-day-old bird. B, 'Gililili' produced by a thirty-day-old bird. C, combination of 'leee' and 'gililili' produced by thirty-dayold bird. D, two calls of 'chililili' produced by thirtyday-old bird. E and F, 'Chewlee' produced by a three-monthold bird.



0.5 s Time

Fig. 2-7. Sonagrams of juvenile calls. 2. 'puh', 'py.o', 'soo' and 'scheee': sonagrams are prepared at wide-band setting in the range of 80-8000 Hz. A, 'Puh'. The first three calls were produced as a young male handled paper which caused the noise in higher frequencies. The fourth call was immediately followed by 'chililili'. The last call followed a short 'py.o'. B, 'Py.o'. In the last call, 'py.o' was repeated twice in succession. C, two bouts of 'soo'. D, 'Scheee' produced by a three-month-old male immediately following its 'chililili'. E, 'Scheee' produced by a two-and-a-half-month-old male.



0<sub>.</sub>5 s Time



Fig. 2-8. Sonagrams of juvenile calls. 3. 'pooh' and 'beh'. A, Two calls of 'pooh' prepared at wide-band setting in the range of 40-4000 Hz. The unit time was one second. B, 'Beh' prepared at narrow-band setting in the range of 80-8000 Hz. The unit time was 0.5 seconds.
'O.guf' (see Fig. 2-9 A and B) was heard after 7 weeks. The captive juvenile uttered it when it heard people approaching, when unfamiliar objects were presented, and before the pseudo-copulatory Some birds produced immature versions of primary calls behaviour. as early as 8 days after fledging, when the male parent called away from them (see Fig. 2-9 C and D). In the captive male, the prototype of the primary call emerged as 'o.guf', produced in the unit structure between 10 and 14 weeks, and again after 27 weeks. The full primary call was heard after 32 weeks. It was produced spontaneously or induced by playback of recorded primary calls. Uki (1973) reported that a high-pitched 'woo' in the unit structure was produced in the third year, and that the full primary call appeared in the fourth year. Nakanishi (1936) also observed the first full primary call in the fourth year. 'Pur' (see Fig. 2-9 E and F) was added to the repertoire at 28 weeks and related to 'hoh' (see 2.3.2.) and 'hyu' (see 2.3.6.) of the adult repertoire. It was heard while the young male was preparing and presenting food to the observer.

## 2.5. Relationships between vocalizations

In the adult vocal repertoire, the following six types of vocalization - 'k.hoo', 'hoh', 'guf', 'oo.coo', 'poo' and 'hyu' - are related in their sound characteristics. The structure (part, element, unit, bout), types of frequency modulation and harmonic patterns are compared in Table 2-5. The common feature for all the types is repetition of elements in a bout. The first four types are more homogeneous than the rest, by sharing the two-part structure of element and being produced interchangeably in a bout. Unit structure and frequency modulation clearly distinguish 'k.hoo' from the other three calls. Harmonic patterns seem to contribute to differences in tonal quality among the four. 'Hyu' shares the unit structure and major types of frequency modulation with 'k.hoo' but lacks part A.

In Fig. 2-10, these vocalizations are compared in various physical dimensions. Individual means are plotted, and outermost points are connected to show distributions of the respective vocalizations. It can be seen that each vocalization occupies a

Fig. 2-9. Sonagrams of juvenile calls. 4. 'o.guf', 'k.hoo' and 'pur': sonagrams are prepared in the range of 40-4000 Hz. A, 'O.guf' produced by a three-month-old bird (narrowband setting). B, 'O.guf' produced by a six-and-a-halfmonth-old bird (narrow-band setting). C and D, 'K.hoo' produced by one-month-old birds (narrow-band setting). E, 'Pur' produced by a six-and-a-half-month-old bird (wide-band setting). F, 'Pur' produced by an eleven-month-old bird (wide-band setting). Towards the end of bout, 'pur' graded to 'k.hoo'.





Feature	K.hoo	Hoh	Guf	00.00	Poo	Hyu
Part A	×	(x)	(x)	×		I
Part B	×	×	×	×	×	×
Unit	×	I	Ľ	ł	ĩ	×
Bout	×	×	×	×	×	×
Frequency modulation						•
- Part A	A, F, DA	None	None	D, F, AD & AFD	I	I
- Part B	AF, AFD & AD	AF, AFD & AD	AFD & AD	AFD & AD	AF, A, F	AF, AFD & AD
	. (C, R)	(r, c)	(T, C)	(r, c)		(C, R)
Harmonics						
- Part A	(2, 3)	None	None	2(3)	i	I
- Part B	(2)3(4)5(6)	ო	Irregular	(2, 3)	None	(2)3, 4(5–10)
Note: x = p	The sect, $(x) = th$	e presence could	not be denied.			

-----

The harmonics which were not emphasized are in brackets.

Table 2-5. Comparison of physical structure between graded vocalizations



Fig. 2-10. Distribution of graded vocalizations in temporal and frequency dimensions. Part A: male (A, B), female (C, D). Part B or element where no part structure found: male (E, F), female (G, H). Graded vocalizations: 'k.hoo' (toothed), 'hoh' (shadowed), 'guf' (dark), 'oo.coo' (plain), 'hyu' (semi-circled), 'poo' (broken), combined distribution of 'hoh', 'guf' and 'oo.coo' (triangles).

narrow physical area at a specific location. The pattern of overlapping and distance between different types may suggest that limited acoustic space is fully exploited by these vocalizations. As for part A, male vocalizations of 'k.hoo', 'hoh' and 'oo.coo' are contained in the following ranges:-

mean	duratior	1	•••	20	-	280	ms	
mean	maximum	frequency	•••	230	-	510	Hz	
mean	minimum	frequency	• • •	180	_	480	Hz	

This shows a linear arrangement from 'k.hoo' to 'oo.coo' via 'hoh' in the dimensions of maximum and minimum frequencies (Fig. 2-10 A) and a gradual expansion of the range of duration from 'k.hoo' to 'oo.coo' via 'hoh' (B). In females, physical distributions are separated between 'k.hoo' and the other three of 'hoh', 'guf' and 'oo.coo' (C and D). The relationships in the latter are not apparent. As for part B or the element where no part structure is found, male vocalizations of 'k.hoo', 'hoh', 'guf', 'oo.coo' and 'hyu' are found in the following ranges:-

mean	duration	•••	80 - 300 ms
mean	duration of interval (short)	•••	300 - 540 ms
mean	maximum frequency	•••	390 - 780 Hz
mean	minimum frequency	•••	320 - 640 Hz

From the distributions in frequency dimensions (E), it can be seen that the vocalizations are arranged in a linear fashion from 'k.hoo' to 'guf' or 'oo.coo' via 'hoh'. 'Hyu' is shifted towards lower maximum frequency range, while sharing minimum frequency with 'k.hoo'. In the distributions of temporal dimensions (F), the interval range appears to increase from 'k.hoo' to 'hoh' through 'oo.coo' and 'guf'. Again, 'hyu' shifts from the other four by the duration. In females, relationships of 'k.hoo', 'hyu' and 'hoh' (G and H) almost coincide with those of males. It is suspected that 'oo.coo' and 'poo' are closely related. In Fig. 2-11, relationships among the vocalizations based upon male calls are shown. Statistical probabilities for differences are given for the respective physical features.

1. Part A



2. Part B or element where part structure is not found



Fig. 2-11. Relationships among the graded vocalizations of males. Physical features: d, duration; i, duration of interval; max, maximum frequency; min, minimum frequency. Statistical probabilities for differences between the vocalizations (one-way ANOVA with <u>a priori</u> test): \*\*\*, p < 0.001; \*\*, p < 0.01; \*, p < 0.05; ns,  $p \ge 0.05$ .

## 2.6. Comparisons

## 2.6.1. Vocal repertoire of Ninox

There were some interracial differences in the primary call of <u>Ninox scutulata</u> (see Appendix H, p. 280). The pair of <u>N. s.</u> <u>ussuriensis</u> were observed to perform a duet using 'k.hoo'. One of them had higher-pitched calls than the other (Panov 1973). Vocalizations similar to 'meeew' were reported from <u>N. s. lugubris</u> (Jerdon 1862), <u>N. s. hirsuta</u> (Wait 1921) and <u>N. s. burmanica</u> (Smythies 1953).

Vocalizations of three other Ninox species have been described phonetically. The Powerful Owl N. strenua has at least six types of adult vocalization. These are the primary call 'who-whoo', loud 'woo' used when the bird was mobbed by Ravens, a deep, low, sheep-like contact note used between mates, a shriek, a scream given in response to the former, and another scream given in response to an intruder. Juveniles have a shrill whistle (Ramsay 1919; Fleay 1940; Favaloro (& Fleay) 1946; Harrison 1973). The Barking Owl N. connivens possesses at least five types of adult vocalization. These are the primary call 'wook-wook', a loud growl used by males when the nest was disturbed, a shriek accompanied by beak snapping to intimidate nest intruders, a scream used at nest disturbance and 'karr, karr, karr, ... karr, koowook'. Juveniles have four types of vocalization: a cricket-like trill used for contact, 'whoop-whoop' which developed during the first winter, a scream and a conversational note (Barnard 1911; MacGillivray 1914; Le Souëf 1918; Fleay 1940, 1942; Harrison 1973; Van der Weyden & Ginn 1973). The Boobook Owl N. novaeseelandiae has at least nine types of adult vocalization. These are the primary call 'more-pork', gruff notes 'more, more, more, ... more', a series of clear, sharp, loud cries 'ow, ow, ow, ... ow', a snore produced while flying, a scream 'airch', 'cree-cree', cat-like mewing when excited, cat-like yowling, and quickly repeated 'por, por, por, ... por', produced by females and a greeting captive bird (Carter 1903; M'lean 1911; Stuart Dove 1922; Fleay 1925; Stidolph 1925; Wilkinson 1927; Fleay 1940; Bryant 1941; Harrison 1973; Van der Weyden & Ginn 1973).

Despite the lack of sonagraphic analysis from these studies, it is possible to comment on the general design of Ninox vocalizations. The minimum set of adult repertoire appears to include the following five types: the primary call, shrieking, screaming, a cat-like sound, The primary calls of the three species and the Brown and trilling. Hawk Owl are produced in a unit composed of two elements. Gradation and variation in physical structure are also found. For example, the Barking Owl varied 'wook-wook' to 'er-wook-wook' (Fleay 1942). The Boobook Owl showed a drop in sound frequency while calling (M'lean 1911), variations in clearness, tempo and number of repetitions (Stidolf 1925) and seasonal changes in tone (Cheney 1915). Primary calls are produced by both male and female birds, and females tend to call at a higher pitch than males. Duetting between the mates of a pair is common. The Powerful Owl duetted out of the nesting season (Ramsay 1919). The Barking Owl performed a coordinated duet in summer (Fleay 1940, 1942; White 1917; Le Souëf 1918). In the Boobook Owl, male primary calls were accompanied by monotonously repeated female calls (Stuart Dove 1922). In the juvenile repertoire, a cricket-like, shrill trill or whistle seems to be in common. Beak snapping is also believed to be shared.

#### 2.6.2. Vocal repertoire of Strigiformes

In the Strigiformes (a total of 133 species over 24 genera), adult vocalizations have been described for 87 species from 21 genera. Only one type of vocalization, generally the primary call, is known in 26 species and two-four types in 35 species (Holman 1926; Favaloro (& Fleay) 1946; Attwood & Attwood 1949; Stillwell & Stillwell 1954; Marshall 1966, 1973; McQueen 1972; Hekstra 1973; Mikkola 1973; Prestt & Wagstaffe 1973; Steyn & Scott 1973; Van der Weyden 1973, 1975; Fitzpatrick 1975; Berggren & Wahlstedt 1977; Kabaya & Higuchi 1977; Barrows 1980; Higuchi & Momose 1980; Scott 1980). In Table 2-6, the size of the vocal repertoire is listed for the 26 species which have at least five types of vocalization. Adult birds have up to fourteen vocal and one - two non-vocal sounds. Nestlings have up to four vocal and one non-vocal sound. In the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl, adults have thirteen vocal and three non-vocal sounds and nestlings have four

Species	Ac	lult	Nes	tling	References
	v	NV	v	NV	
Tyto alba	9	2	3	1	Bunn 1974; Bühler & Epple 1980*.
T. capensis	6	1	3	-	Fleay 1949; Hill 1955.
Otus flammeolus	5	-		-	Marshall 1939.
0. trichopsis	5	-	-	-	Smith <u>et al</u> . 1982; Ligon 1967.
Bubo bubo	9	1	4	1	Leibundgut 1973; König 1972.
B. capensis	6	-	3	1	Gargett 1977, 1978.
B. virginianus	11	1	4	1	Potter 1928; Norton 1928.
Ketupa blakistoni	5	2	2	-	Pukinski 1974.
<u>Scotopelia peli</u>	5	-	-	-	Fogden 1973; Van der Weyden & Ginn 1973.
Nyctea scandiaca	7	1	3	1	Tullock 1968, 1969; Scherzinger 1974*.
<u>Strix aluco</u>	12	1	3	1	Southern 1970; Wendland 1963; König 1972.
S. nebulosa	14	1	4	1	Höglund & Lansgren 1969; Wahlstedt 1969.
<u>S. occidentalis</u>	13	1	3	-	Ligon 1926; Zarn 1974; Forsman <u>et al</u> . 1984*.
S. uralensis	14	1	4	-	Scherzinger 1980*; Lundberg 1980.
<u>Asio flammeus</u>	7	2	2	-	Urner 1923; Armstrong & Phillips 1925; Clark 1975.
A. otus	10	1	1		Wendland 1957; König

~~~

Table 2-6. Repertoire size in the Strigiformes

1972.

| Table 2-6 (co | ontinued) |
|---------------|-----------|
|---------------|-----------|

| Species               | bA | ult | Nes | tling | References                                                                      |
|-----------------------|----|-----|-----|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                       | V  | NV  | V   | NV    |                                                                                 |
| Ninox connivens       | 7  | 1   | 1   | -     | Fleay 1940, 1942;<br>MacGillivray 1914.                                         |
| N. novaeseelandiae    | 7  | -   | -   | _     | M'lean 1911; Stuart Dove<br>1922; Stidolph 1925; Van<br>der Weyden & Ginn 1973. |
| N. scutulata japonica | 13 | 3   | 4   | 1     | This study.                                                                     |
| Sceloglaux albifacies | 9  | -   | -   | -     | Harrison 1973; Van der<br>Weyden & Ginn 1973;<br>König 1972.                    |
| Surnia ulula          | 6  | -   | 2   | -     | Smith 1970.                                                                     |
| Athene brama          | 5  | -   | -   | -     | Van der Weyden & Ginn<br>1973.                                                  |
| A. noctua             | 7  | -   | -   | -     | Haverschmidt 1946;<br>König 1972.                                               |
| A. cunicularia        | 14 | 1   | 3   | 1     | Thomsen 1971; Coulombe<br>1971; Martin 1973a*.                                  |
| Glaucidium passerinum | 8  | 1   | 2   | 1     | Bergman & Ganso 1965;<br>Schönn 1976.                                           |
| Micrathene whitneyi   | 9  | -   | 1   | -     | Ligon 1967.                                                                     |
| Aegolius funereus     | 9  | 1   | 2   | -     | Kuhk 1941; Van der<br>Weyden & Ginn 1973;<br>König 1972.                        |

~\_\_\_

Note: V, vocal sounds; NV, non-vocal sounds; \*, sonagraphical studies; -, unknown.

vocal and one non-vocal sound. It seems that the upper limit of adult vocal repertoire size is fourteen and that of nestlings is four. Thorpe (1961) presented call vocabularies of a gull, a fowl, a dove and several songbirds. The range of vocabulary size was six fifteen in adults and one - three in nestlings. It seems that the vocal repertoire size of Strigiformes is not very different from that of other birds. A clear difference between nocturnal and diurnal species is certainly not evident.

Sexual differences in primary calls have been reported for a variety of owls of <u>Otus</u>, <u>Bubo</u>, <u>Pulsatrix</u>, <u>Strix</u>, <u>Asio</u>, <u>Ninox</u>, <u>Glaucidium</u>, <u>Micrathene</u>, and <u>Athene</u>. In general, female calls tend to be higher-pitched than male calls (Marshall 1939, 1966; Van der Weyden 1973, 1975; McQueen 1972; Miller 1930; Miller 1934; König 1972; Hekstra 1973; Emlen 1973; Görner 1977; Leibundgut 1973; Forsman <u>et</u> <u>al</u>. 1984; Van der Weyden & Ginn 1973; Bergman & Ganso 1965; Coulombe 1971; Exo 1984). This may be due to smaller syringes in females than in males (Miller 1934). Temporal and tonal features are also different between the sexes in a number of species, although there is no clear overall trend.

#### 3. THE PRIMARY CALL: CIRCADIAN AND SEASONAL PATTERNS OF PRODUCTION

## 3.1. Introduction

Studies of avian vocal activity have been carried out mainly on the songs of passerine birds. Questions such as when birds begin and end singing during the day (Leopold & Eynon 1961; Armstrong 1973) and seasonally (Saunders 1947, 1948), and how internal and external conditions control the rhythm, have been asked. To understand the function and causation of vocal activity, circadian and seasonal song production has been quantified using a variety of measures. The number of singing males in the forest community reflected the breeding cycle of individual species (Slagsvold 1977). Diurnal and seasonal changes in the number of singing males differed between breeders and non-breeders in the Thrush Nightingale Luscinia luscinia (Sorjonen 1977). Percentages of singing males in a population were used to compare the seasonal activity of two Acrocephalus warblers, and interspecific differences in the functions of song were suggested (Catchpole 1973). The same measure was also used to demonstrate the late summer singing peak of the Yellowhammer Emberiza citrinella (Hiett & Catchpole 1982). The rate of singing (the number of songs per individual per unit time) has been used to investigate the activity patterns of several songbirds. No clear diurnal pattern was revealed, but seasonal patterns were regarded as reflecting the breeding cycle (Armstrong 1973; Fonstad 1981; Logan 1983). The effects of pairing, intraspecific interactions, and meteorological factors upon the diurnal vocal output of the Reed Bunting Emberiza schoeniclus have also been examined (Astrom 1976). The duration of singing period was used to study the effects of temperature and weather upon the song production of the Song Thrush Turdus ericetorum (Higgins 1979). Percentages of time spent singing were used to study interspecific differences in diurnal vocal activity (Catchpole 1973). Factors such as sex, age and mating status were found to be important in the diurnal and seasonal activity of the South Island Robin Petroica australis (Powlesland 1983). Further, Adret-Hausberger (1984) investigated the temporal distribution of whistle types of the

Starling <u>Sturnus vulgaris</u> outside the breeding season in an attempt to reveal possible functional differences of whistle types.

Compared with the work on passerine birds there have been very few studies of non-passerine vocal activity. Leopold and Eynon (1961) and Armstrong (1973) gave some accounts of the first and last calls of some species in Ardeidae, Phasianidae, Scolopacidae, Strigidae and Caprimulgidae. Ferrell and Baptista (1982) investigated the diurnal pattern of the number of vocal bouts in the captive Budgerigar Melopsittacus undulatus and found a relationship between vocal activity and sensitivity of the pituitary to light. In the Strigidae, nocturnal and seasonal vocal activity has been mainly reported in descriptive accounts (e.g. Otus flammeolus: Marshall 1939. Bubo bubo: Leibundgut 1973. Nyctea scandiaca and Asio flammeus: Pitelka et al. 1955. A. otus: Wendland 1958. Ninox connivens: Fleay 1942. N. novaeseelandiae: M'lean 1911. Athene (Spectyto) cunicularia: Martin 1973b. Glaucidium passerinum: König 1965, 1972. Micrathene whitneyi: Ligon 1967). There have been only three detailed quantitative studies on owls (Strix aluco: Hansen 1952. S. uralensis: Lundberg 1980. 0tusbakkamoena: Higuchi & Momose 1980). Brown Hawk Owls produce primary calls in the two main periods, one at dusk and the other at dawn (Ninox scutulata lugubris: Proud 1949. N. s. hirsuta: Wait 1931. N. s. obscura: Butler 1899b. N. s. burmanica: Stanford & Ticehurst 1939; Smythies 1953. N. s. japonica: Kawaguchi 1937). More or less continuous call production throughout the breeding season has been mentioned for N. s. lugubris (Sálim & Ripley 1969) and N. s. japonica (Momiyama & Nomura 1919; Kiyosu 1965). Post-breeding production has been reported from the migratory races, N. s. japonica (Ishizawa 1934; Kiyosu 1965) and N. s. ussuriensis (Panov 1973). Nothing has been reported about the production of primary calls by migratory races during migration and at their wintering quarters, although the possibility that they call on the way to their breeding grounds cannot be ruled out (Kabaya & Higuchi 1977).

This chapter describes the nocturnal and seasonal production of the primary call of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls at their breeding ground. The temporal and quantitative nature of the production pattern is examined for spontaneity, interactions with conspecific birds in the distance, and effects of environmental factors. Also, vocal activity

of an unmated male which replaced a territorial male is compared with that of other conspecific birds and is discussed in terms of the possible functions of the primary call.

#### 3.2. Methods

In 1980, the changes in nocturnal and seasonal vocal activity were monitored at a territory in Zushi. The observation was started from the day following the arrival of a male bird, 4th May, and carried out regularly thereafter. The male permanently disappeared from the territory after a severe storm on 1st July at the early stage of nestling period. A new male replaced the original male and stayed on the territory for the rest of the season. The observation was continued until 17th September. In the present study, the 'day' started in the evening, therefore the quoted date covers two consecutive days, e.g. 4th May means the period from the evening of 4th May to the afternoon of 5th May.

On each monitoring day, the observer occupied a vantage point near the nesting tree in the centre of the territory. A hide was used only while the birds were nesting. Observation started one to half an hour before sunset and continued until half to one hour after sunrise so that the whole active period was covered. The observation period was divided into thirty-second units.

For each territorial male, the number of primary call elements in every bout, other types of vocalization, behaviour, location and orientation were recorded. For the other conspecific birds, their vocalizations, behaviour, location and orientation were also noted. The environmental conditions such as weather, wind strength, and the presence and phase of the moon were recorded for thirty-minute periods. Wind strength was scored as follows (Brainerd 1974):-

| Level | <u>Wind speed (m/s</u> ) | Level | Wind speed (m/s) |
|-------|--------------------------|-------|------------------|
| 0     | 0 - 0.5                  | 7     | 12.5 - 15.2      |
| 1     | 0.6 - 1.7                | 8     | 15.3 - 18.2      |
| 2     | 1.8 - 3.3                | 9     | 18.3 - 21.5      |
| 3     | 3.4 - 5.2                | 10    | 21.6 - 25.1      |
| 4     | 5.3 - 7.4                | 11    | 25.2 - 29.0      |
| 5     | 7.5 - 9.8                | 12    | 29.1 -           |
| 6     | 9.9 - 12.4               |       |                  |

Dry and wet temperatures were measured every thirty minutes at a fixed point on the hillside, and approximately 8 m above the ground. This is considered to give the most approximate ambient temperature and relative humidity of the owls' environment. Light intensity was measured at dusk and dawn with a photometer at irregular intervals. The light sensitive surface was directed right overhead towards the sky.

The effect of conspecific birds' behaviour upon the primary call production of territorial males was examined for the following timebins: one-minute, three-minute, ten-minute and thirty-minute periods. For each period the number of primary call elements was obtained and intraspecific conditions classified as follows:

- (a) No other conspecific birds produced primary calls.
- (b) The mate produced primary calls.
- (c) Conspecific birds in the surroundings produced primary calls.
- (d) Conspecific males invaded the territory.

Additionally for the replacing male:

- (e) The mate of the original male produced primary calls.
- (f) The mate of the original male was in a close distance of less than 20 m.
- (g) The mate of the original male called 'meeew' (see 2.3.9.).

The number of primary call elements produced in the absence of other conspecific birds' primary calls (condition a) was compared with that of the other intraspecific conditions (b-g). If more than two conditions were found in a period, they were treated independently. A ten-minute period was found to be the most suitable length of unit time. To avoid random effects due to time allocation, two overlapping settings of the ten-minute period were used. One started a period every 10th minute of each hour of Japanese Standard Time, JST (0-setting, i.e. 18:00 - 18:09, 18:10 - 18:19, etc.) and the other at every 5th minute of each hour of JST (5-setting, i.e. 18:05 -18:14, 18:15 - 18:24, etc.).

In 1981, seasonal vocal activity was monitored for two neighbouring territorial males in Zushi. Each male was observed on one evening a week from its arrival in April until September. From the study of nocturnal vocal activity in 1980, the proportion of primary call production to the total diurnal output was found to be less variable during the first combined period (see 3.3.1., coefficients of variation: 59% for the original male and 83% for the replacing male) than the following combined periods (coefficients of variation: 85-111% for the original male and 63-180% for the replacing male). Consequently, the first two hours after sunset were used. The number of elements produced in each bout, behaviour, and location were also recorded.

#### 3.3. Nocturnal Vocal Activity

#### 3.3.1. Time of day

Circadian patterns of vocal activity during the breeding season of 1980 are shown for the original and replacing males in Appendix C-1 (pp. 240-243). Neither of the males showed an apparent uniform pattern associated with the time of day. However, they appeared to start the activity with primary calls in the early evening, intersperse the night-time with calling, and finally call towards the end of activity at dawn. This is seen more clearly in the generalized circadian patterns (Fig. 3-1), where the activity period was divided into twenty periods. The first period covered from the start of observation to the end of the thirty-minute JST period which commenced within fifteen minutes of sunset. The last period was the thirtyminute JST period in which sunrise took place. The in-between eighteen periods generally followed thirty-minute JST periods.



Fig. 3-1. <u>Generalized nocturnal patterns of primary call production</u> <u>at Zushi in 1980</u>. A, the original male's activity between 4th May and 24th June (pre-incubation and incubation stages). B, the replacing male's activity between 7th August and 17th September (fledgling and post-breeding stages). Mean and one standard error are given. For the standardized time period, see the text (p. 87). However, two adjacent thirty-minute JST periods were combined at midnight, to adjust for the extending night-time length towards the end of season. The vocal output for each period was presented as the mean proportion to the day's total output with its one standard error. For the original male, the vocal activity observed between 4th May and 24th June was used, and for the replacing male between 7th August and 17th September, which excludes the period of vocal accentuation (see 3.5.).

Since variations in the vocal output were high for the twenty periods, four adjacent periods were combined in order to compare the general patterns between the two males. For both males, there was no significant tendency in the vocal production among the five combined periods (Friedman two-way analysis of variance by ranks: the original male,  $x_r^2 = 1.325$ , N = 8, df = 4, 0.8 male,  $x_r^2 = 8.900$ , N = 6, df = 4, 0.05 last combined periods were compared, the original male did not call at dawn significantly more than in the early evening (Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test: T = 11, N = 8, p > 0.05), whereas the replacing male produced more calls at dawn than in the early evening (T = 0, N = 5, p < 0.05).

#### 3.3.2. Effects of the physical environment

#### Sunset and sunrise

From Table 3-1A, it can be seen that the first call tended to occur after sunset. The original male started calling  $13.3 \pm 5.4$ minutes after sunset and the replacing male  $16.1 \pm 6.0$  minutes. Further, they started their activity earlier than or with the emittance of their first calls. The original male started its activity  $12.4 \pm 5.5$  minutes before calling and the replacing male  $5.1 \pm 4.7$  minutes (or  $0.4 \pm 0.3$  minutes if an unusually early value of 43 minutes on 3rd July is removed).

From Table 3-1B, it can be seen that the last call tended to occur before sunrise. The original male stopped calling  $8.4 \pm 6.0$ minutes before sunrise and the replacing male  $16.2 \pm 3.1$  minutes. They concluded their activities with or later than the production of their last calls. The original male stopped its activity  $14.3 \pm 9.0$ 

Table 3-1. The first and last primary calls of the day

~~~

Date		Sunset	The fir	st produc	tion	FSA	Male
		JST	JST	LI	W	JST	
May	4	18:30	18:41	20	F	18:41	
	12	18:36	18:39	30	С	18:36	
	19	18:42	19:26	0	F	18:54	lal-
	27	18:48	18:47	50	F	18:46	igir
June	4	18:53	19:00	15	F	19:00	- 0r
	10	18:56	19:09	0	С	, 18 <b>:</b> 42	
	18	18:59	18:59	10	С	18:57	
	24	19:01	19:30	0	F	18:56	
July	3	19:01	19:58	0	F	19:15	٦
	8	19:00	19:00	20	С	19:00	
	16	18:57	18:54	20	С	18:54	
	31	18:46	18:49	20	F	18:49	ing
August	7	18:40	19:02	0	С	19:00	lac
	14	18:32	18:49	0	F	18:49	Rep
	25	18:18	18:39	10	С	18:38	
September	1	18:09	18:20	10	С	18:20	
	8	17:59	0:15	0	C	?	
	17	17:46	18:03	10	С	18:03	7

## A. The first primary call of the day

Note: for abbreviations, see the note under B.

# Table 3-1 (continued)

Date		Sunset	The fi	rst product	tion	LSA	Male
		JST	JST	LI	W	JST	
May	4	4:45	4:42	30	F	4:42	
	12	4:38	4:37	10	R	4:37	
	19	4:33	4:24	20	F	4:24	al-
	27	4:28	4:16	10-20	F	4:40	gin
June	4	4:25	4:11	0-10	F	4:13	Ori
	10	4:25	4:50	100	С	, 4:50	
	18	4:25	4:07	0-4	С	4:22	
	24	4:26	3:51	0	С	5:04	_
July	3	4:30	4:09	100	F	4:09	Ţ
	8	4:33	4:33	0	С	4:33	
	16	4:38	4:23	0–5	С	4:46	
	28	4:46	4:36	5	R	4:52	ן היו
	31	4:49	22:11	0	С	?	cing
August	7	4:54	4:26	0-5	С	4:26	plac
	14	5:00	4:36	30	С	4:36	. Rel
	25	5:08	4:53	0-10	С	4:53	
September	1	5:13	5:05	5	С	5:05	
	8	5:19	2:16	0	С	?	
	17	5:26	5:01	0	С	?	لے

## B. The last call of the day

Note: JST, Japanese Standard Time; LI, light intensity (luxes); W, weather; F, fine; C, cloudy; R, rainy; FSA, the first sign of activity; LSA, the last sign of activity. On 8th September the owl could not be seen until the first call was made. On 8th and 17th September the owl could not be visually observed in the end of activity.

minutes after its last call (or  $5.9 \pm 3.7$  minutes if an unusually late value on 24th June is removed) and the replacing male  $4.9 \pm 3.3$  minutes.

#### Light conditions and the moon

From Table 3-1A, it can be seen that the original male produced the first primary call always at less than 50 luxes and the replacing male at less than 20 luxes. From Table 3-1B, it can be seen that the last call was recorded even over 100 luxes in both males. However, it occurred mostly at less than 40 luxes for the original male, and mostly at less than 10 luxes for the replacing male. In both males there was no significant difference between the light intensity of the first call and that of the last call (median test: P>0.05). There was no significant difference between the two males in the light intensity at which they produced the first or last primary calls (median test: P > 0.05). They were occasionally heard emitting primary calls during daytime, when they were perched in the shady woodland or where there was heavy overcast. It was very rare that they called in the bright sunshine. They tended to be less vocal when the moon was present (see Appendix C-1). However, there was no obvious response to moonlight.

#### Weather

The owls were seen calling in the same manner whether it was fine, cloudy, misty or rainy. However, the replacing male stopped production of primary calls during very hard rain on 31st July, when the activity was severely affected. No significant difference was observed in the time of the first and last primary calls in different types of weather (Mann-Whitney U-test: p > 0.05, see Table 3-1). On heavily overcast days they tended to start calling earlier than usual.

## Wind

In Table 3-2, the observed and expected numbers of thirty-minute JST periods are given for each category of wind strength and number of primary call elements produced. For the original male, all the periods observed during 4th May - 24th June were used. For the replacing male, the period of accentuated vocal activity (3rd - 28th

Number of		$x^2$ test		
elements	1 & 2	3	4 & 5	
0	48 (54.4)	18 (18.7)	14 (6.9)	$x^2 = 15.256$
1-100	49 (44.2)	15 (15.2)	1 (5.6)	C = 0.284
101-	22 (20.4)	8 (7.0)	0 (2.6)	df = 4
				0.001< p<0.01
			· .	

## A. The original male (May 4 - July 1, 1980)

## B. The replacing male

(a)	During	accentuation	(July 3 -	July	28,	1980)
-----	--------	--------------	-----------	------	-----	-------

Number of		x² test		
elements	1 & 2	3	4 & 5	
0	15 (13.8)	5 ( 5.0)	1 (2.2)	$x^2 = 0.472$
1-100	5 ( 5.3)	2 ( 1.9)	1 (0.8)	df = 2
101-	24 (25.0)	9 ( 9.1)	5 (4.0)	0.7 <p<0.8< td=""></p<0.8<>

(b) After accentuation (August 7 - September 17, 1980)

Number of elements	Wind strength			$x^2$ test	
	1 & 2	3	4 & 5		
	······································				
0	45 (40.9)	42 (44.4)	6 (7.8)	$x^2 = 4.535$	
1-100	11 (11.9)	14 (12.9)	2 (2.3)	df = 2	
101-	2 (\$5.3)	7 ( 5.7)	3 (1.0)	0.1 <p<0.2< td=""></p<0.2<>	
	. <sup>2</sup>				

Note: For wind strength, see 3.2. Observed and expected (in brackets) numbers of thirty-minute JST periods are given for individual categories.

.

July) and the post-accentuation period (7th August - 17th September) were examined separately. Generally the wind was not strong during the night and all the strengths recorded in the present study were below level 5. Both males spent large proportions of the observation periods in silence irrespective of the wind strength. The original male emitted significantly fewer primary call elements as the wind strength increased (see Table 3-2 for  $x^2$  test for two independent samples). However, the replacing male did not show any significant correlation. Nevertheless, on the other occasions during the general period of field work, the owls tended to be quiet when the wind strength was over level 6. Even if they had called, it would have been difficult to monitor the vocal activity accurately due to the increased environmental noise.

#### Temperature

The night temperature varied very little during the period of primary call production. The difference between the maximum and minimum temperatures was  $2.9 \pm 0.3$  degrees for the original male (4th May - 24th June) and similarly for the replacing male  $2.4 \pm 0.2$  (3rd - 16th July) and  $2.1 \pm 0.4$  (7th August - 17th September). There was no apparent relationship between the production of primary calls and temperature (see Appendix C-1).

#### Relative humidity

Relative humidity during the period of primary call production generally kept high and varied within a narrow range:-

	<u>Maximum (%</u> )	<u>Minimum (%</u> )	
May — June	94.1 <u>+</u> 1.3	75.6 <u>+</u> 3.2	
July	95.7 <u>+</u> 3.3	89.5 <u>+</u> 2.8	
August - September	87.2 <u>+</u> 2.9	74.8 <u>+</u> 2.3	

There was no apparent relationship between the production of primary calls and relative humidity (see Appendix C-1).

#### 3.3.3. Effects of conspecific birds

In Appendix C-2 (pp. 244-248), frequency distributions of the number of primary call elements produced in ten-minute periods are shown at the O-setting. Similar results were obtained for the 5-setting (not shown). Results for the Mann-Whitney U-test are also given for both settings.

The original male produced significantly more primary calls when the mate or other conspecific birds in the surroundings emitted primary calls than when no other birds called. There was no consistent evidence that primary calls of the mate or other birds imposed different effects upon primary call production of the territorial male. Invasion of a conspecific also significantly increased primary call production of the territorial male.

As for the replacing male, different tendencies were observed during and after the accentuated vocal activity. During the accentuated vocal activity, there was no significant increase in the number of primary call elements irrespective of whether other conspecifics or the mate of the original male made primary calls. However, when the mate of the original male came close, the replacing male tended to produce more primary calls. After the accentuated vocal activity, the mode of primary call production became similar to that of the original male. That is, the replacing male called more when the mate of the original male and/or other conspecific birds called. There was no significant association between the number of primary calls and the female's 'meeew' calls.

#### 3.3.4. Discussion

In an introduction to circadian rhythms in birds, Aschoff (1967) wrote that the activity of birds has a distinct temporal organization with a major peak at the beginning and a minor peak towards the end, whether they are diurnal or nocturnal. In many passerine birds, dawn singing is usually more eminent than evening singing (e.g. Thorpe 1961; Armstrong 1973; Catchpole 1973). In non-passerine birds, Budgerigars show a similar bimodal pattern in their calling activity under photoperiod controlled conditions (Ferrell & Baptista 1982).

In the Strigiformés bimodal distribution of nocturnal calling activity has been reported for Eurasian Pygmy Owls <u>Glaucidium passerinum</u> (König 1972), Eagle Owls <u>Bubo bubo</u> (Leibundgut 1973) and Ural Owls <u>Strix</u> <u>uralensis</u> (Lundberg 1980). The last species, however, calls more towards the end of activity than in the beginning. In the present study there are three points to consider.

Firstly, why did Japanese Brown Hawk Owls show neither a bimodal nor any kind of time-related pattern in the circadian production of primary calls? Kacelnik and Krebs (1983) explained the dawn chorus of the Great Tit <u>Parus major</u> in terms of the following three factors:-

- (a) physical environment affecting foraging and acoustic communication;
- (b) accumulated availability of vacant territories to potential settlers;
- (c) efficiency of territorial defence including song against invasion.

The circadian vocal activity of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls can also be interpreted in this way. They may have no strong rhythm as the physical environment is not unfavourable for foraging at particular times, unlike the Great Tit (Kacelnik 1979; Avery & Krebs 1984). They have symmetrical ears with small openings (Dement'ev 1966; Kuroda 1967), and hearing may not be so good as the other nocturnal owls (Payne 1962; Norberg 1970; Knudsen 1981). Yet hearing may play an important role in localizing prey, since they can hunt efficiently even at the lower naturally occurring light levels, where the visual system can only be used to control behaviour with respect to large objects excluding small prey items (Martin 1986). The night-time temperature between April and October in Zushi is high enough to keep prey animals active throughout the night. Also unlike the Great Tit, the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl seems to enjoy optimal acoustic conditions throughout most of the active period. The small night-time temperature gradient is believed to favour sound transmission throughout the night. Also, the general absence of strong winds does not cause turbulence to scatter sound (Wiley & Richards 1982). Being free from the limiting factors of foraging and acoustic communication

might be a common factor of more or less uniform vocal activity patterns in other nocturnal owls (Marshall 1939; Fleay 1942; Hansen 1952; Wendland 1958; McClure 1974; Hirons 1976). Theoretically, the accumulation of vacant territories could occur at the beginning of the day, and consequently males could engage in territorial defence at dusk. However, clear evidence of more invasion at the beginning of the day than at other times was not available. While hunting is not incompatible with calling, increased hunting activity at dusk and dawn during the nestling stage (Oba 1986) might influence the output of primary calls of a territorial breeding male.

Secondly, why was day-to-day individual variation high in the pattern of primary call production? This may be largely due to the constantly changing intraspecific environment. Pitelka et al. (1955) and Steffens and Geiler (1975) claim that intraspecific interactions affect vocal activity through population density. Certainly, the birds of highly populated Miyake-jima Island called more than those of Zushi. The presence of strange individuals may trigger an increase in vocal activity as suggested for Boobook Owls Ninox novaeseelandiae (M'lean 1911). It may be beneficial to call when other conspecific males call. If not, it would encourage potential intruders to invade territories (Kacelnik & Krebs 1983). Day-to-day variation may also be partly due to physical factors such as heavy rain, strong wind and sudden drops of temperature which impose adverse effects upon the activity to some extent (M'lean 1911; Alexander 1931; Kuroda 1931; Hansen 1952; Wendland 1958; Ligon 1967; Slagsvold 1973; Higuchi & Momose 1980; Minnemann & Busse 1980). Light intensity can be important only in relation to the beginning and end of daily vocal activity period. This may be primarily endogenous and regulated by the periodical changes of light conditions (Aschoff 1967). Unlike the present study, stimulation by moonlight has been suggested for some Brown Hawk Owls (Robinson 1927; Bartels 1928; Wait 1931; Hoogerwerf 1949; Sálim & Ripley 1969).

Finally, why did the original male tend to produce primary calls evenly throughout the night, while the replacing male produced more at dawn than at dusk? The difference in the nocturnal pattern of primary call production between the two males may be largely due to mating conditions. Prominent dawn calling was shown not only by the

unmated replacing male but also by the original male on 4th May when the mate had not arrived (see Appendix C-1a). The early morning period is theoretically freer from invasion than the early evening period, and dawn calling may function in courtship rather than territorial proclamation.

## 3.4. Seasonal Vocal Activity

## 3.4.1. Seasonal peaks

In Fig. 3-2, seasonal patterns of primary call production are shown for the two neighbouring territorial males in 1981. Also, that of the original male is presented up to its disappearance in 1980. It appears that there were four common peaks in the seasonal vocal activity of the two males in 1981. The first peak appeared in early May, when most of the owls of the local population had returned and paired. Vocal interactions with their mates and also with other conspecific birds in their surroundings and nest calling were observed. The mid-May trough took place when both males showed less vocal interaction with their mates. They became less responsive to the primary calls of other conspecific birds. The second peak appeared in late May. Vocal interactions with neighbouring males and also with the mate and nest calling took place. When incubation started, both males were vocally less active. The third peak then appeared in late June - early July. This coincided with hatching in both cases. While the nestlings were taken care of by the pairs, vocal activity remained low. The fourth peak happened in late July, when the young birds had fledged and flew about the area away from the nesting tree. Fuboku 1981 did not show any peak after this until the end of observation, whereas Rokudai 1981 had the fifth peak in mid-August, when it accompanied the fledglings and made vocal interactions with the mate. Then Rokudai 1981 became quiet as well until the end of the observation period.

In 1980, the owls returned to the breeding ground later than usual and the population was rather quiet. Rokudai 1980 did not show a clear peak in early May. When vocal activity increased from the



Fig. 3-2. Seasonal patterns of primary call production. The vocal activity of Rokudai 1981 is shown from 15th April to 20th September in 1981 (dotted line). That of Fuboku 1981 is shown from 1st May to 21st September in 1981 (broken line). Also, that of Rokudai 1980, the original male, is shown from 4th May to 1st July (solid line). Breeding stages are indicated individually:  $\Box$ , pre-incubation stage;  $\bullet$ , incubation stage;  $\Delta$ , nestling stage;  $\blacksquare$ , fledgling stage; O, postbreeding stage.

end of May to early June, the male experienced invasion of another male into his territory. Like the other two males in 1981, it was less vocal during the incubation period. Vocal activity increased in late June before hatching, and the territory was again invaded by another male.

Seasonal fluctuation of vocal activity did not show any association with changes in environmental factors such as temperature, relative humidity, wind or weather. When the day of high vocal output was compared with that of low vocal output in terms of the presence and absence of other conspecifics' primary calls, the result was only partially significant (Fisher test, see Table 3-3). However, primary call production was likely to increase when other conspecific birds also made primary calls. It is notable that when no other birds produced primary calls, vocal output tended to be low for the two males in 1981.

## 3.4.2. Discussion

Seasonal vocal activity of the Strigiformes can be characterized as a bimodal distribution with one vocal period in spring (breeding season) and the other during autumn and winter (Hansen 1952; Wendland 1958, 1963; König 1965, 1972; Leibundgut 1973; Higuchi & Momose 1980; Lundberg 1980). Earlier descriptive accounts suggested a similar seasonal pattern for Japanese Brown Hawk Owls, spring and early autumn calling. There are two points to consider.

Firstly, why in this study did Japanese Brown Hawk Owls have a vocal period during breeding activity, but none in autumn? Like the seasonal singing activity of most passerines (Thorpe 1961; Arnold 1982), non-passerine vocalizations are closely related to gonadal growth and androgen secretion (Andrew 1969). The reproductive association of primary calls suggests that primary call production is under endocrine control. Towards the end of the breeding season, androgen secretion is much reduced, and the owls are in moult. It is generally believed that birds show reduced vocal activity at this time (Saunders 1947, 1948; Leopold & Eynon 1961). Low vocal output helps to save energy, and gives more time for foraging, both of which help to meet the extra nutritional requirements for feather growth

# Table 3-3. Effects of primary calls of conspecific birds upon the seasonal vocal activity of territorial males

-----

Vocal output	Territorial males					
	Rokudai 19	80 Roku	Rokudai 1981		Fuboku 1981	
	- +	-	+	-	+	
High	2 3	. 1	5	0	5	
Low	2 1	14	2	12	4	
Fisher test	p>0.05	p =	= 0.004	p = 0	0.006	
			····	,		

## A. Primary calls of the mate

B. Primary calls of the other conspecific birds

	Territorial males					
Vocal output	Rokudai 1980		Rokudai 1981		Fuboku 1981	
	-	+	-	+		+
High	3	2	4	2	2	3
Low	3	0	13	3	15	1
Fisher test	p> 0	.05	p>0	.05	p = C	.027

Note: Number of ten-minute periods is shown for each category. '-' indicates that no conspecific bird produced primary calls. '+' indicates that conspecific birds emitted primary calls. (Powlesland 1983). Lack of an autumn vocal period may also be related to the young birds' dispersion and early departure for migration from the natal population. The adult population is free from territorial pressure imposed by additional young birds. This has been suggested as a major cause of the autumn vocal period (Hansen 1952; Wendland 1963; Lundberg 1980).

Secondly, what is responsible for the fluctuation of primary call production during the breeding season? The main factors to cause peaks are suggested as follows:-

- (a) to acquire and secure a territory (peaks 1, 2 and 3);
- (b) to acquire a mate (peak 1);
- (c) to maintain contact with the mate (peaks 1 and 2);
- (d) to advertise the nest hole (peak 1);
- (e) to guard the mate from other conspecific males (peak 2);
- (f) to maintain coherence of the family unit (peaks 4 and 5).

The first peak coincides with the time when territorial males come into intense territorial interactions with conspecifics. Lack of a clear peak in the pattern of the original male in early May is due to less interaction with neighbours in a low-density population (Leopold & Eynon 1961; Steffens & Geiler 1975; Aström 1976). The second peak, and to some extent the third one, are associated with the presence of an invading male or vocal interaction with neighbours. This suggests a general reinforcement of territorial relationships after each quiet period. Also, the second peak appeared just before egg-laying. This is the time when copulation is most likely to lead to fertilization of eggs, and territorial males are less tolerant to the presence of invading males. Since intruders are sometimes successful in approaching residents' mates, copulation between them cannot be ruled out. Consequently, territorial males continue to make primary calls to keep out rivals from their territories. The fourth and fifth peaks may well reflect that special care is necessary to keep all the family members together in the initial few weeks of fledgling life.

There are activities which are incompatible with primary call production. The factors to cause troughs are suggested as follows:-

- (g) to maintain and develop the pair-bond and to copulate successfully (trough 1);
- (h) to guard the mate and offspring in the nest from predator (troughs 2 and 3);
- (i) to feed the mate (troughs 1 and 2);
- (j) to feed offspring (trough 3).

Territorial males allocate more time to interact with females after the initial territorial interaction with neighbours (Saunders 1948; Leopold & Eynon 1961). They remain close to their mates for most of the time, and other types of vocalization rather than primary calls are used for communication with their mates. During incubation and nestling stages, breeding males should keep silent so as not to reveal the nest location to possible predators. The males' involvement in feeding their mates in the pre-incubation and incubation stages also reduces opportunities for calling. Low output during the nestling stage is a universal phenomenon in species both male and female birds of which are engaged in feeding offspring (Leopold & Eynon 1961; Logan 1983). Males of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls have little time to make primary calls during the first and last hour of daily activity when most feeding takes place.

#### 3.5 Vocal Activity of a Replacing Male

#### 3.5.1. Results

In 1980, the territory was originally occupied by a mated male, and occasionally invaded by a non-territorial single male. The invader could stay inside the territory as long as it kept silent. However, no sooner did it emit primary calls within the territory than the resident male replied by producing primary calls intensely, approached, and expelled the intruder from the territory.

At dawn of 1st July in the beginning of the nestling stage, the resident male disappeared from the territory after a severe storm. Good weather did not return until 3rd July, and no calling activity had been observed. The female was seen feeding her offspring alone

in the evening. The non-territorial single male took over the territory, but its movement was not conspicuous still. It emitted a few awkward primary calls at 19:57, which was rather late for the first call of the day (see Table 3-1).

At midnight, the female cried 'meeew' several times. Abruptly at 1:15 the replacing male started to make low-pitched, emphatically toned primary calls near the nesting tree. The female produced two prolonged bouts of primary calls without showing any sign of coordination with the male's calling. The male kept calling intensely till dawn and often chased the female. The female became quiet and appeared to avoid direct confrontation with the male. Although a neighbour called at dawn, it was not delivered in intense interactions.

In Fig. 3-3, the vocal output of the original and replacing males is shown. As some part of vocal activity could not be recorded, the result is shown separately in the two periods, one from approximately thirty minutes before sunset to 23:59 and the other from 0:00 to approximately thirty minutes after sunrise. The replacing male showed dramatic bursts in primary call production, and maintained it for a month until the offspring of the original male fledged on 31st July. The amount of vocal output was extraordinarily large during accentuation, compared to the general level of the original male. Neighbouring breeding males neither showed any apparent increase in their primary call production at this stage of the breeding cycle. For example, one of them produced only 132 elements for the first period and 780 elements for the second period on 17th July. Also, the breeding males from the 1981 Zushi population vocalized far less elements than the replacing male for the first two hours after sunset during the nestling stage. Rokudai 1981 produced 0-296 elements and Fuboku 1981 called 39-529 elements (see Fig. 3-2), while the replacing male called 2796 elements on 8th July and 1530 elements on 16th July (see Appendix C-1c). The replacing male produced more calls when the female was nearby (see Appendix C-2(3)). The female had some difficulty in rearing her offspring, since the male not only chased her away from the nest but never helped feeding her nestlings. It took 30-31 days for these young birds to fledge, which was exceptionally longer than usual (see 2.4.). The male's disturbance



Fig. 3-3. Accentuation of primary call production of the replacing male. The number of primary call elements produced by the original male ( $\bullet$ ) and replacing male ( $\blacklozenge$ ) is shown. Period I covers the time from the beginning of observation at dusk to 23:59, and period II from 0:00 to the end of observation at dawn.  $\blacktriangle$ , arrival of the original male on 3rd May;  $\triangle$ , disappearance of the original male on 1st July; O, temperature (T);  $\Box$ , relative humidity (RH);  $\triangle$ , wind strength (W); PI, pre-incubation stage; I, incubation stage; N, nestling stage; F, fledgling stage; PB, post-breeding stage.

was often answered by the female's 'meeew'. However, their relationship became gradually less intense. Neighbouring breeding males made no distinctive response to the vocal burst (see Appendix C-2(3)).

After fledging of the female's offspring, the replacing male reduced his vocal output to distinctively low levels until the end of observation. The neighbouring males also produced primary calls at low levels. His vocal output for the first two hours after sunset during fledgling and post-breeding stages was not significantly different from that of both Rokudai 1981 and Fuboku 1981 (Mann-Whitney U-test: p>0.05). The male no longer increased its vocal output when the female came close to him (see 3.3.3. and Appendix C-2(4)). Tt stayed away from the nesting tree and moved with the female and her offspring. The relationship with the female was more associative than before. No chasing was witnessed, and the first coordinated vocalization between them was performed on 1st September. From their vocal characteristics (see Chapter 5), it was believed that the replacing male and female returned to the same territory in the following year.

The replacing male started to call earlier in terms of sunset during accentuation than before and after accentuation (Mann-Whitney U-test: p = 0.012). However, there was no significant difference in the time of the last call in terms of sunrise (Mann-Whitney U-test: p = 0.095, see also Table 3-1). Although the primary call production was not significantly affected by wind, it was less affected during the period of vocal accentuation than after (see Table 3-2).

## 3.5.2. Discussion

The vocal burst of the replacing male is interesting in terms of the main functions of primary calls, territorial and sexual interactions. There are two points to consider.

Firsly, what triggered the vocal burst of the replacing male? Generally low output during most of the nestling stage does not support the idea of stimulation from neighbours' calling. Rather, it is the disappearance of the resident male. His aggressive response inhibits the intruder from emitting primary calls. Inhibition may even function for some time after, until the absence of the resident
male becomes definite, as past territorial encounters are still remembered. The possession of a territory is clearly a prerequisite to produce primary calls, as suggested for the Tawny Owl <u>Strix aluco</u> (Hirons 1976).

Secondly, why did the replacing male produce primary calls more frequently than the other conspecific territorial males at this time of the breeding cycle? The replacing male is not likely to start breeding activity in the same year, now that the first half of the breeding season has passed. However, primary call production may be useful investment in future breeding success. Since the owls generally return to the same nesting site every year (Masuda 1974), as indeed happened in this case, it may well be advantageous to claim ownership of the territory long before the actual chance of breeding. Increased singing activity and prolonged vocal production of unmated males is well known in passerines (e.g. Leopold & Eynon 1961; Slagsvold 1973; Astrom 1976), but has also been noted for several owl species (Haverschmidt 1946; Thomsen 1971; König 1972; Lundberg 1980). The increased calling rate against the widowed female's approach may also indicate that the primary calls are aimed at the female. The earlier start of daily vocal activity and less influence by wind during vocal accentuation may suggest that the replacing male is sexually stimulated (Astrom 1976). Further, the coincidence between the termination of vocal accentuation and pairing with the female suggests a sexual function of the primary call in the unmated male (König 1972). The high calling rate and vocal burst may help to impress other conspecific birds that the new male is capable of establishing and maintaining the territory, and may also permit neighbouring birds to learn the individual characteristics of the new resident's primary call.

#### 4. THE PRIMARY CALL AND AGGRESSION

### 4.1. Introduction

Birds have developed great variability in their vocalizations, such as the elaborate repertoires of songbirds. Variation can even be found at the lowest level of organization, the element. Physical characteristics of elements such as frequency, amplitude and temporal pattern provide the sender with a capacity to encode different kinds of information in one signal (Marler 1960). Some of the physical characteristics are discrete and others are graded. Discreteness is ideal for information about the identity of a caller, such as species, individual and sex, whereas gradation is more useful in carrying motivational information. Gradation will be much in use when animals are involved in close-range events, where situations are likely to change every moment.

Becker (1982) summarised the possible physical features used to encode motivational information in various bird species such as vocal rate, song length, amplitude, frequency and complexity of sound. He concluded that aggressive excitation often resulted in increased frequency (Hz). However, according to Morton's motivational structural rules (1977), low frequency sounds indicate that the sender is more likely to attack.

In strigidine species, frequency differences within their primary calls may be a widespread phenomenon. For instance, the Great Horned Owl <u>Bubo virginianus</u> altered frequency while hooting (Miller 1934). So did the Boobook Owl <u>Ninox novaeseelandiae</u> (M'lean 1911), which increased the general level of frequency in autumn (Cheney 1915). . The primary call of the Boreal Owl <u>Aegolius funereus</u> varied not only in pitch but also in rhythm (König 1972). The Screech Owl <u>Otus asio</u> lowered pitch (Scott 1968) and the Flammulated Owl <u>O. flammeolus</u> and Great Horned Owl turned to a hoarse quality (Marshall 1939), as they became aggressive. The Great Eagle Owl <u>Bubo bubo</u> had longer calls at the peak of courtship (Leibundgut 1973), and the Eurasian Pygmy Owl <u>Glaucidium passerinum</u> called less loud 'dew' with a simplified, subdued ending (König 1972).

The primary call 'k.hoo' of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl is mainly used in long-distance communication and may contain information about the identity of the caller. It is simple and distinct, and must be discrete enough to reduce the possible degrading effect of the environment. However, it has already been pointed out that there are still large variations in the physical features of primary calls. It is also physically related to 'hoh' and 'guf' to form an acoustic continuum by grading in frequency, tone and temporal patterning. Behavioural contexts of these vocalizations suggest that the physical continuum may correspond with a behavioural continuum in terms of territoriality and aggression as summarized in Fig. 4-1.

In the present chapter, firstly, variations in primary calls are examined in natural conditions, and in particular for frequency changes. Aggressive motivation of territorial males may be affected by their location in the territory, breeding stage and conspecific environment. There are four working hypotheses to be considered:-

- 1. Territorial males will be more aggressively motivated nearer the boundary of their neighbours.
- 2. Territorial males will be more aggressively motivated earlier in the breeding season.
- 3. Territorial males will be more aggressively motivated when they hear other conspecific rivals calling than when they call spontaneously.
- 4. Territorial males will be less aggressively motivated when their mates approach.

The more aggressive their potential situation gets, the lower-pitched their primary calls will become. Secondly, playback experiments were carried out to simulate aggressive interactions. Not only are the physical features of primary calls and gradation examined but behaviour of territorial males. Also considered are the effect of the speaker's location in the territory and that of the stage of the breeding cycle.



Fig. 4-1. Physical and behavioural continuum of primary call 'k.hoo' and its gradation.

While playback experiments have been widely used as a means of investigating the function of oscine songs during the last two decades (e.g. Bremond 1968; Falls 1969; Catchpole 1973; Krebs 1976), relatively few non-oscine species have been examined in the same way (e.g. Falls & McNicholl 1979; Sparling 1981). As for strigidine owls, only a few descriptions of the territorial male's response to playback of recorded conspecific primary calls are available. Ligon (1967) observed that the Elf Owl Micrathene whitneyi occupied the nest hole or dived at the observer attending the speaker. Martin (1973b) reported that mated males of the Burrowing Owl Athene (Speotyto) cunicularia called for an extended period after playback. Hirons (1976) noticed that the Tawny Owl Strix aluco approached the speaker and produced prolonged bouts of hooting. In addition, the easy imitation of the owls' primary calls has allowed observers to make territorial males approach and call in response (Miller 1930; Marshall 1939; Wendland 1963; Smith et al. 1982). The Screech Owl showed aggressive responses to imitation calls with approach and monotonous calls (Miller & Miller 1951; Scott 1968). The Barking Owl Ninox connivens (MacGillivray 1914) and Rufous Owl N. rufa (MacGillivray 1918) produced softer lower-pitched deep call in response to the imitation call than during spontaneous calling. The Ural Owl Strix uralensis interchanged territorial calls with alarm calls in response to the imitation call (Lundberg 1980).

## 4.2. Frequency of Primary Calls

#### 4.2.1. Methods

Primary calls of territorial males of the Zushi population were recorded at different locations from the centre (i.e. nest) to the boundary of their territory during the breeding season in 1980-1981. Locations of calling birds were measured as the distance from their nest at 10 m intervals on the scale map (1:1875). Primary calls were recorded in the following intraspecific environment:

(a) The solitary caller made primary calls when no other conspecific

birds called.

- (b) The caller, being accompanied or approached by the mate, made primary calls when no other conspecific birds called.
- (c) The solitary caller made primary calls when other conspecific birds called in the distance.

For each recording, up to twenty elements which were produced in succession were measured for their maximum frequency of part B (see p. 36). Either Nagra 4.2 tape recorder or a Sony TC-D5M stereo cassette recorder was coupled with a Uniscan spectrum analyser Model 4500 to analyse the sample calls. The frequency was read digitally at 8 Hz intervals in the range of 1 kHz.

The effect of location in the territory upon the frequency of primary calls was tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with regression (Sokal & Rohlf 1973) at the significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ . The effect of the mate's presence and approach and that of other conspecific males' primary calls were also tested by one-way ANOVA. The comparison was always carried out for the same location zones and breeding stages.

#### 4.2.2. Results

When solitary territorial males produced primary calls spontaneously, their frequency changed significantly at various locations in the territory. The results of one-way ANOVA are as follows:-

		Males	<u>_</u> ,
Breeding stage	Rokudai 1980	Rokudai 1981	<u>Sotaiji 1981</u>
Pre-incubation	p<0.01	p<0.01	p>0.05
Incubation	p<0.01	p<0.01	p>0.05

Although the general range of frequency did not seem to vary before and during incubation, the relationship between the location and frequency of primary calls reversed. During the pre-incubation stage, two territorial males, Rokudai 1980 and Rokudai 1981, tended to produce primary calls at lower frequencies as they were farther away from the centre of territory i.e. nest (see Fig. 4-2A). During incubation they tended to emit primary calls at lower frequencies as they approached closer to the centre of territory (see Fig. 4-2B). However, Sotaiji 1981 did not significantly differ in its frequency for the two stages. During the nestling stage, sufficient recordings covering different locations in the territory were not available. However, the same trend as that of the incubation stage was apparent from the limited recordings.

Territorial males tended to reduce the frequency of primary calls when their mates came to perch close to them or even just passed nearby. Some examples are shown in Fig. 4-3. The reduction in frequency happened whether males were vocal at the moment of females' approach or not. However, it happened quite sharply when females approached during a bout of calling. Males usually kept the frequency low while females were close. In some cases, however, they made a quick recovery. Further, the lower frequency was generally observed when females were present rather than absent within 20 m of their calling mates in the central zone (see Table 4-1). For the outer zone, comparisons could not be made due to the lack of recording opportunities as males tended to be alone.

When other conspecific males produced primary calls, territorial males in the absence of their mates produced lower frequencies than when they were making spontaneous and solitary calls (see Table 4-1).

### 4.2.3. Discussion

The frequency of primary calls changed with location in the territory, but did not always follow the initial hypotheses. Firstly, why didn't males always call at a lower frequency when closer to the territory boundary? This is, after all, where they are supposed to be most aggressive. The hypothesis does not take into account a possible shift in location importance during the breeding season, as the reversed correlation before and after the onset of incubation suggests. In early season, territorial males are likely to clash in establishing and defending their territories. Boundary location is more important in territorial defence against rivals than central location, thus territorial males are likely to be aggressive at the



Fig. 4-2. Effects of locations upon the frequency of primary calls. A, Pre-incubation stage: Rokudai 1980 ( $\bullet$ ), Y = 727.4 - 0.179X, p<0.02; Rokudai 1981 ( $\blacksquare$ ), Y = 742.7 - 0.877X, p<0.001; Sotaiji 1981 ( $\blacktriangle$ ), Y = 650.8 - 0.198X, 0.05<p<0.1. B, Incubation stage: Rokudai 1980, Y = 618.1 + 39.219 log X, p<0.05; Rokudai 1981, Y = 616.6 + 0.557X, p<0.05; Sotaiji 1981, p>0.05. One standard error is shown in either side of the mean.



Fig. 4-3. Effects of mate's approach upon the frequency of primary calls. A, Rokudai 1981; B, Fuboku 1981; C and D, Sotaiji 1981; V, approach of the mate.

	primary calls			שד זה מ מדמש	ary carts up		
				Situations		ANOVA with	replica
Zone	Breeding stage	Male	A	р	U	A vs. B	A vs. C
Central	Pre-incubation	Rokudai 1980	717 + 3 722 + 4 724 + 6	660 + 2 695 + 7	$552 \pm 11$ $649 \pm 6$	p<0.01	p< 0.01
=	Ξ	Rokudai 1981	706 + 3 719 + 5 748 + 4	627 <u>+</u> 2	694 <u>+</u> .4	p<0.01	p> 0.05
=	=	Sotaiji 1981	628 + 1 637 + 5 637 + 2 657 + 2 657 + 3	496 <u>+</u> 1	642 + 4	p<0.01	p>0.05
Central	Incubation	Rokudai 1980	654 + 8 663 + 5 691 + 5	526 584 + 2 639 + 6 1+ 6	547 + 2 587 + 6 602 + 6	p < 0.01	p < 0.01
=	=	Rokudai 1981	653 + 8 662 + 4 + 4	496 + 3 `	I	p < 0.01	1
Ξ	Ξ	Sotaiji 1981	660 + 1 660 <u>+</u> 2	1	666 <u>+</u> 1	I	p>0.05

 $\sim$ 

Table 4-1. Effects of mate's presence and conspecific male's primary calls upon the frequency of

, --

Table 4-1 (continued)

				Situations		ANOVA with	replica
Zone	Breeding stage	Male	A	В	υ	A vs. B	A vs. C
Central	Fledgling	Rokudai 1981	554 ± 7	I	688 + 3 700 + 2 1+ 2	I	p<0.01
=	Ξ	Sotaiji 1981	656 <u>+</u> 3	I	$577 \pm 7$ $627 \pm 5$	1	p < 0.01
Outer	Pre-incubation	Rokudai 1981	646 + 4 652 <u>+</u> 9	I	599 + 3	I	p < 0.01
Outer	Incubation	Sotaiji 19811	663  +	1	593 614  +  +  +  +  +  +   652  +   2 652  +   2	I	p < 0.01
Outer	Fledgling	Fuboku 1981	701 <u>+</u> 3	I	681 <u>+</u> 3	i	p < 0.01

Note: A, spontaneous and solitary calls; B, the mate was nearby; C, other conspecific males were calling.

boundary. As soon as major territorial dispute over the boundaries with neighbours is settled and nesting activity starts, the importance of nest site containing the offspring and mate predominates over that of the other sites in the territory. The centre of defence is now the nest site, so the breeding males are more likely to be aggressive at the centre. The lack of apparent correlation between frequency and location in one of the territorial males, Sotaiji 1981, may be due to less stable territorial status. This bird set up a new territory in 1981 by squeezing in between the three well-established traditional territories, and was under severe territorial pressure from neighbours. The smaller size of territory than the others might also be an important factor.

Secondly, why didn't territorial males produce their primary calls at higher frequency as their mates approached? All other contexts appear to support the relationship between aggressive motivation and frequency: the more aggressive the caller is, the lower the frequency of primary calls will be. Could the territorial males be aggressive towards their mates? When animals vocalize, their sound should be an honest expression of their motivation irrespective of the effect (Morton 1982). Thus the male's motivation at a female's approach is expected to be identical with the motivation in other territorial contexts. Territorial males may not be able to identify an approaching individual instantly, whether it is their mate or a rival. It is more advantageous to express aggressive motivation to deal with the worst possibility.

The low frequency in response to a rival's primary call and also in the artificially simulated aggressive situations (see 4.4.) suggests that the frequency of the primary call is one form of vocal expression reflecting aggressive motivation. In general, the relationship between frequency and aggressive motivation does support Morton's motivational structural rule (1977).

## 4.3. Aggressive Response to Playback

## 4.3.1. Methods

#### Subjects

During the early pre-incubation stage in 1980, experiments were carried out on four birds from Miyake-jima Island and two birds from Zushi. All but one of the test birds had paired with their mates.

#### Experimental tapes

Test primary calls were recorded at Zushi in September 1979 from a spontaneously calling male which occupied a remote territory approximately 2 km away from the 1980 experimental sites. The threeminute recording contained more or less continuously emitted calls.

#### Playback system

A Nagra 4.2 tape recorder was placed within the central zone of the territory. It stood or hung at approximately 2 m above the ground on the top of a bush or some other object. The speaker was directed towards the centre of the territory, i.e. the nest. Special care was taken to choose a playback site, so that the owls could be sufficiently well observed with night-time lighting.

Pilot experiments carried out in 1979 showed that sound pressure level of playback calls affected the reaction of owls. Too loud playback calls caused them to retreat quickly after initial aggression. Relatively low playback was ignored, probably because it was not heard. Dabelsteen (1981) also showed the similar tendencies in the Blackbird <u>Turdus merula</u>. Thus the playback volume was set at the normal level which the owls spontaneously called at.

## Playback schedule

After an initial nine-minute observation period (pre-play period) test birds were exposed to the three-minute recording of test primary calls (play period). Their behaviour was further observed for nine minutes after playback (post-play period). When one of the Zushi birds did not respond to the first exposure, it was given the second exposure after nine minutes and was observed for further nine

minutes after the second playback. The experiments were carried out once for each bird in the evening.

#### Categories of response and statistics

The following measures were suggested by the pilot experiments:-

- latency of response (seconds), the time period between the start of playback and the first sign of visual and vocal response;
- 2. number of flights;
- 3. number of attacks against speaker;
- 4. distance from speaker (m);
- 5. number of primary and graded call elements;
- 6. number of bouts of primary and graded call elements;
- 7. number of elements per bout.

All statistical comparisons were made by the non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-ranks test (Siegel 1956).

#### 4.3.2. Results

#### Latency of response

During the pre-play period, all the six subjects regularly produced primary calls. Four of them, which were in sight of the observer and within 30 m from the speaker, also engaged in hunting and associating with their mates. On hearing playback of recorded primary calls of a conspecific stranger which was broadcast from a speaker in their territories, they became alert and showed changes in behaviour. For example, they immediately stopped any current activities. However, primary calls were produced by two of them and another invisible bird without a noticeable delay (mean  $6.3 \pm 4.5$ seconds). Within five seconds or so, the four visible birds orientated towards the speaker, and the next step was flying straight to the vicinity of the speaker. Two of them made an approach within 15 seconds; one bird eventually did when playback nearly came to an end; and the last bird did not move at all. The other two birds moved towards the speaker at 40 and 120 seconds respectively. The three males, which did not make an immediate vocal response, produced graded and primary calls after 25, 45 and 220 seconds respectively.

## Number of flights, attacks against speaker, and distance from speaker

In the present experiment, subjects did not fly more than once during playback and one bird, which was close to the speaker from the outset, did not move at all (see Table 4-2). There was no sign of searching behaviour. No test birds flew over the speaker repeatedly nor skimmed over and attacked it with strong pounces and kicks as observed in pilot experiments. Although the number of flights did not vary before and during playback, all the flights made during playback involved shortening the distance between the bird and the speaker (see Fig. 4-4). They tended to stay near the speaker even after playback had ended. Two of them flew away eventually.

#### Vocal response

Subjects produced graded and primary calls in response to playback. When they were far from the speaker, they tended to respond first with primary calls, 'k.hoo', prior to an approach. In most cases they were initially quiet and made an approach, then became vocal, emitting 'guf', which is the lower end of the frequency continuum and less temporally organized. Calls gradually increased their maximum frequency transforming to 'hoh' and eventually to 'k.hoo', developing the characteristic two-element unit, 'k.hook.hoo'.

#### (a) Number of graded and primary call elements

As can be seen from Fig. 4-5A, subjects produced significantly more elements after playback than before for the following time periods:-

3-minute period ... T = 1, N = 6, p < 0.0316-minute period ... T = 1, N = 6, p < 0.0319-minute period ... T = 0, N = 6, p < 0.016

Some subjects increased the number of elements during the play period. However, other subjects just listened to playback, thus the difference between play period (PL) and any one of the three pre-play sub-periods (PR1, PR2 or PR3) was not significant:-

> PR1 vs. PL ... T = 9.0, N = 6, p > 0.05PR2 vs. PL ... T = 8.5, N = 6, p > 0.05PR3 vs. PL ... T = 7.5, N = 6, p > 0.05

Three-minute		Males							
period	1	2	3	4	5	6			
				1	0				
Pre-play 1	0	0	0	T	0	0			
Pre-play 2	0	1	0	1	0	1			
Pre-play 3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Play	1	1	1	0	1	1			
Post-play 1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Post-play 2	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Post-play 3	0	0	1	0	0	0			

Table 4-2. Number of flights during the playback experiment

~~



Fig. 4-4. Distance between the test birds and speaker. PR, pre-play period; PL, play period; PO, post-play period. The mean and its one standard error is shown.



Fig. 4-5. Production of primary and graded calls during the playback experiment. PR, pre-play period; PL, play period; PO, post-play period. The mean and its one standard error is shown.

After playback, the number of elements reached a peak during the first three minutes (PO1). The difference between PL and PO1 was significant (T = 1, N = 6, p < 0.031). It gradually decreased until there was no significant difference between PL and the rest of the post-play sub-periods (PO2 and PO3) as follows:-

P01 vs. P02 ... T = 1, N = 6, p<0.031
P02 vs. P03 ... T = 1, N = 6, p<0.031
PL vs. P02 ... T = 5, N = 6, p>0.05
PL vs. P03 ... T = 8, N = 6, p>0.05

## (b) Number of bouts of primary and graded calls

As can be seen from Fig. 4-5B, the number of bouts of primary and graded call elements followed a very similar mode of response to that of graded and primary call elements (see above). Subjects produced significantly more bouts after playback than before:-

> 3-minute period ... T = 1, N = 6, p < 0.0316-minute period ... T = 1, N = 6, p < 0.0319-minute period ... T = 0, N = 6, p < 0.016

There was not always an immediate increase with playback:-

PR1 vs. PL ... T = 3.5, N = 5, p > 0.05PR2 vs. PL ... T = 5.5, N = 6, p > 0.05PR3 vs. PL ... T = 4.5, N = 6, p > 0.05

However, after playback the number of bouts reached a peak during PO1 (T = 2, N = 6, p < 0.047). Although the number of bouts decreased towards the end of the post-play period, it was not significantly different from the play period:-

PO1 vs. PO3 ... T = 1, N = 6, p<0.031</li>
PL vs. PO2 ... T = 3, N = 5, p>0.05
PL vs. PO3 ... T = 8, N = 5, p>0.05

#### (c) Number of primary and graded call elements per bout

The number of primary and graded call elements per bout significantly decreased during the play period (see Fig. 4-5C):-

PR1 vs. PL ... T = 2, N = 6, p < 0.047PR2 vs. PL ... T = 0, N = 6, p < 0.016PR3 vs. PL ... T = 0, N = 6, p < 0.016

However, it was not significantly different during and after playback:-

PL	vs.	P01	•••	Т	=	4,	N	=	6,	p > 0.05
PL	vs.	P02	•••	Т	=	5,	N	=	6,	p > 0.05
PL	vs.	P03	• • •	Т	H	6,	N	=	6,	p>0.05

Some subjects produced more elements in a bout and others less elements in a bout during playback than after.

#### Effects upon females

Only one of the test males was mated. His mate was not involved in playback responses.

#### 4.3.3. Discussion

If playback experiments are used as evidence in discussion of aggressive motivation and behaviour of territorial males, it is relevant to compare artificially elicited responses with the natural behaviour observed in aggressive situations. Behavioural contexts of aggressive interactions between conspecific individuals, and primary call production in terms of number and frequency, have shown that initial responses to rival males are similar to those observed during playback. Latency of response, distance from the speaker and vocal response seem to be the most useful measures. In particular, the number of graded and primary call elements appeared to be extremely valid measures. The initial quietness and fewer elements per bout during than before playback may partly be due to the result of the test males' perception and assessment of whether a stranger's primary call is a threat or not. The process of locating and approaching the speaker may also partly be responsible for blocking an immediate and full release of primary and graded calls. This conflict between motivational state and motor patterns has been also suggested for the similar playback response of the Cardinal Cardinalis cardinalis (Gottfried & Gottfried 1978). It may be advantageous to produce frequent and short bouts as playback began. In this way the caller could monitor and should transmit attentiveness to the intruder's primary call. Bout formation is possibly influenced by the types of vocalization contained in a bout. Unlike primary calls 'k.hoo', graded calls 'hoh' and 'guf' tend to be produced monotonously with less break. It is possible to comment that the frequency of call

elements is also an important measure (see 4.4.2.).

The experimental condition whereby the speaker never changed location during playback only partly represents a natural aggressive encounter. The intruder appears to occupy a site within the territory, which is not uncommon, yet the experimental condition is artificial. Firstly, it lacks visual contact, and one might expect some searching behaviour of test birds. However, they flew over and around the speaker very infrequently and stayed close. This suggests that visual contact is less important than auditory contact. Secondly, components of fighting or escape are missing and a one-way vocal delivery is simply provided. Thus, even if test birds attack the speaker and call in response, the aggression expressed is not complete. At night-time, however, vocal measures are clearly advantageous over visual measures. To some extent, it may be possible to understand the expression of aggressive motivation, in nocturnal territorial males by monitoring their vocalizations. However, there is some danger in relying solely upon vocal measures, although for nocturnal owls the difficulty is obvious. Future research may depend more upon the use of radio transmitters. These not only monitor the movement and location of birds accurately in the darkness, but also provide information on the internal condition of animals such as heart rate which may also reflect their motivational state (Zimmer 1982).

## 4.4. <u>Effects of Speaker's Location in the Territory and Breeding</u> Stage

#### 4.4.1. Methods

#### Subjects

During the breeding season in 1981, five territorial mated males were used as experimental subjects. They occupied neighbouring territories at Zushi.

### Experimental tapes

Test primary calls were recorded from other territorial males (MA, MB and MI) at Miyake-jima Island in late April 1981. They

emitted more or less continuous calls spontaneously and solitarily. Experimental tapes were prepared on three-minute endless tapes TDK EC-3.

#### Playback system

A Sony TC-1160A cassette recorder with a built-in speaker was positioned at approximately 2 m above the ground. It was operated by a remote control switch at the end of a 30-m extension lead. The playback volume was set at the normal level.

#### Playback schedule

Three different speaker's locations were selected for each territory:-

- Central location was within 20 m from the nest in the central zone.
- Middle location was within the central zone and more than 50 m away from the nest.
- Boundary location was in the outer zone and was 100-250 m from the nest where territorial encounters were observed. Three different dates were chosen for each test bird during the

breeding cycle:-

- between 10th and 30th May during the pre-incubation stage after the territorial relationship had settled;
- between 9th and 26th June during the incubation stage;
- between 21st July and 5th August during the early fledgling stage.

Since the owls were hardly observed in the outer zone from the incubation stage to the early fledgling stage, playback experiments at the boundary location were abandoned. The central location was avoided during the incubation to minimize disturbance to the breeding owls. The final set of experiments to investigate the effect of the speaker's location in the territory and breeding stage are indicated with the source of experimental tape used as follows:-

Speaker's location			Breeding stages	
	Before incuba	ation	During incubation	Early fledgling
Central	MA		None	MA
Middle	МА		MI	MB
Boundary	MA		None	None

After an initial four-minute observation period (pre-play period) test birds were exposed to a four-minute recording of experimental primary calls (play period). Their behaviour was further observed for four minutes after playback (post-play period). For the experiment during the pre-incubation stage, a second exposure was given. It lasted for one minute and was followed by a two-minute observation period. This additional exposure was particularly designed to check the frequency of primary calls. When test birds did not respond to the first exposure at all, the experiment was repeated on a later date. All the experiments were carried out between 23:00 - 3:30. The order of experiments among test birds and locations was basically random.

#### Categories of response and statistics

The following measures were used for detailed comparison:-

- latency of response (seconds). The first response can be alerting and flying straight towards the speaker as described before. The problem about using this as a measure was that subjects were not always in sight at the beginning of playback. Therefore, the time from the start of playback to the first approach within 40 m of the speaker was used;
- 2. number of flights;
- 3. nearest distance from speaker (m);
- 4. number of primary and graded call elements;

5. maximum frequency of primary and graded call elements (Hz). Observed maximum frequency was measured digitally at 8 Hz intervals over the frequency range of 0-1000 Hz, using a Uniscan spectrum analyser Model 4500. The mean maximum frequency of spontaneous primary calls which were produced by each subject at the centre of territory was used as an individual standard maximum frequency. The difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls was obtained. The mean frequency difference was then calculated for every thirty-second sub-period and used as the representative value. When no calls were emitted, the frequency difference was regarded as zero.

For the presentation and analysis of results for measures (1) and (2), the four-minute period was used. However, for measures (3) and (4) the experimental periods were sub-divided into one-minute sub-periods, and thirty-second sub-periods for measure (5), so that the temporal change might be shown. All the statistical comparisons were made by two-way ANOVA with or without replication. The difference between the means was tested by <u>a priori</u> and/or <u>a</u> <u>posteriori</u> test (Sokal & Rohlf 1973). The significance level was set at d = 0.05.

#### 4.4.2. Results

#### Latency of response

In Table 4-3, latency of response to the first playback (mean with one standard error) is listed for the speaker's different locations in the territory and breeding stages. Regardless of breeding stages, territorial males seemed to come within 40 m of the speaker more quickly when the speaker was closer to the centre of the territory. However, the difference of the means between the speaker's locations was not significant for both pre-incubation and fledgling stages (see Appendix D-1, p. 249). Although the owls appeared to respond more quickly during the pre-incubation stage than the fledgling stage, the difference of the means between the breeding stages was not significant with the speaker at both central and middle locations (see Appendix D-2, p. 250).

#### Number of flights

In Table 4-4, the mean number of flights at different speaker's locations in the territory and breeding stages is listed with one standard error. Territorial males showed more flights during playback. In the pre-incubation stage, with respect to the first

	Speaker's location in the territory						
Breeding stage	Central	Middle	Boundary				
Pre-incubation	17 <u>+</u> 8 s	25 <u>+</u> 12 s	34 <u>+</u> 7 s				
Incubation	-	41 <u>+</u> 23 s	-				
Fledgling	30 <u>+</u> 11 s	46 <u>+</u> 8 s	-				

Table 4-3. Latency of response to the first playback at different locations of speaker in the territory and breeding stages

# Table 4-4. Number of flights at different locations of speaker in the territory and breeding stages

	Speaker's	location in the	territory
Breeding stage	Central	Middle	Boundary
Pre-incubation	0.4 + 0.4	. 0	. 0
Incubation	-	0.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	-
Fledgling	0.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	0	_

#### Before the first playback (four-minute period) Α.

During the first playback (four-minute period) В.

	Speaker's	location in the	territory
Breeding stage	Central	Middle	Boundary
Pre-incubation	5.2 <u>+</u> 1.1	2.4 <u>+</u> 0.6	2.6 + 1.1
Incubation	_ ·	2.4 <u>+</u> 0.5	-
Fledgling	2.8 <u>+</u> 1.0	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.7	-

с. After the first playback (four-minute period)

	Speaker's	location in the	territory
Breeding stage	Central	Middle	Boundary
Pre-incubation	0.6 + 0.4	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.4
Incubation	_	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	-
Fledgling	0.6 + 0.4	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	-

D. During the second playback (one-minute period) and its post-play period (two-minute period) in the pre-incubation stage

Speaker's	location in the	territory
Central	Middle	Boundary
1.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.4 + 0.2	2.4 <u>+</u> 1.5
0.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	0	0.2 <u>+</u> 0.2
	Speaker's Central 1.4 <u>+</u> 0.2 0.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	Speaker's location in theCentralMiddle $1.4 \pm 0.2$ $0.4 \pm 0.2$ $0.2 \pm 0.2$ $0$

exposure to playback, there were significant individual differences in the number of flights, and the number of flights differed significantly with the speaker's location (see Appendix D-3a, p. 251). Males performed significantly more flights with the speaker at the central location than elsewhere (a priori test:  $F_s = 18.940$ , df = [1, 8], p < 0.01). However, there was no significant difference between the middle and boundary locations (<u>a priori</u> test:  $F_s = 0.078$ , df = [1, 8], p > 0.05). With respect to the second exposure to playback, neither the speaker's location nor individuals had a significant effect upon the number of flights (see Appendix D-3b). In the fledgling stage, there was no significant difference in the number of flights with the speaker's location and also with individuals (see Appendix D-3c). With the speaker at the central location, four out of the five individuals flew more times during the four-minute play period during the preincubation stage than the fledgling stage. However, the difference of the means between the breeding stages was not significant (see Appendix D-4a, p. 252). With the speaker at the middle location, the number of flights did not differ significantly with breeding stages (see Appendix D-4b).

#### Nearest distance from speaker

In Fig. 4-6, the nearest distance of males from the speaker is presented with respect to its locations in the territory. The initial differences among the speaker's locations were large, due to the owls' preference of occupying sites inside the central zone. During the pre-incubation stage, it appears that at the first exposure to playback they were slower to come near the speaker when it was placed at the boundary than elsewhere. However, there was significant evidence of interaction between the individuals and locations of the speaker, suggesting that the speaker's location did not affect the distance of each bird in a consistent manner (see Appendix D-5a, p. 253). For the second exposure, there was no significant difference between the speaker's locations (see Appendix D-5b). During the fledgling stage, the nearest distance from the speaker differed significantly with the speaker's location. However, some individuals came closer at the central location and others at the middle (see Appendix D-5c). In conclusion, there was no simple relationship between distance and the speaker's location.



Fig. 4-6. Nearest distance from speaker at different locations in the territory: central location (●), middle location (▲) and boundary location (■). A, pre-incubation stage; B, fledgling stage; (), play period.

In Fig. 4-7, the nearest distance of males from the speaker is presented with respect to breeding stages. With the speaker at both central and middle locations, it appears that the distance from the speaker was shorter in the pre-incubation stage than later. Although they varied their nearest distance from the speaker with breeding stage, there was significant interaction between the individuals and breeding stages (see Appendix D-6, p. 254). In conclusion, there was no simple relationship between distance and breeding stage.

#### Number of primary and graded call elements

In Fig. 4-8, mean numbers of primary and graded call elements produced during the experimental periods are presented with respect to the speaker's location in the territory. For both pre-incubation and fledgling stages, the patterns appear to follow the same course of increase and decrease to playback of recorded primary calls of a conspecific stranger. In the pre-incubation stage, the number of elements during the first playback and its post-play period and that of the second playback and its post-play period significantly differed with the speaker's location in the territory (see Appendix D-7 a and b, p. 255). Although with the first exposure a significant difference was recognized among the individuals, no evidence of interaction means that all the individuals produced elements in a consistent way with respect to the speaker's location. Males produced more elements with the speaker at the central location than elsewhere (a priori test: the first play and post-play periods,  $F_s = 22.853$ , df = [1, 105], p < 0.01; the second play and post-play period,  $F_s = 16.037$ , df = [1, 28], p < 0.01). There was no significant difference between the middle and boundary locations (a priori test: the first play and post-play periods,  $F_s = 0.889$ , df = [1, 105], p>0.05; the second playback and post-pl periods,  $F_s = 1.340$ , df = [1, 28], p>0.05). In the fledgling stage, however, the number of elements did not differ significantly with the speaker's location (see Appendix D-7c).

In Fig. 4-9, mean numbers of elements produced during the experimental periods are presented with respect to breeding stages. With the speaker at the central location, the number of elements did not differ with breeding stage during playback (see Appendix D-8a, p. 256). However, it did differ significantly after playback (see Appendix D-8b), and males produced more elements during the pre-incubation stage than the fledgling stage (<u>a priori</u> test:  $F_s = 68.315$ ,



Fig. 4-7. Nearest distance from speaker at different breeding stages: pre-incubation stage (○), incubation stage (△) and fledgling stage (□). A, middle location; B, central location; ○, play period.



Fig. 4-8. Number of primary and graded call elements at different locations in the territory: central location (●), middle location (▲) and boundary location (■). A, pre-incubation stage; B, fledgling stage; ○, play period.



Fig. 4-9. Number of primary and graded call elements at different breeding stages: pre-incubation stage ( $\bigcirc$ ), incubation stage ( $\triangle$ ) and fledgling stage ( $\square$ ). A, middle location; B, central location;  $\bigcirc$ , play period.

df = [1, 30], p<0.01). With the speaker at the middle location, the number of elements produced did not vary with breeding stage during playback (see Appendix D-8c). Although it did vary after playback, there was strong evidence of interaction (see Appendix D-8d).

#### Maximum frequency of primary and graded calls

In Fig. 4-10, changes in the maximum frequency of primary and graded calls with the speaker at the middle location in the preincubation stage are given as an example. With the first playback, one bird (■) explicitly showed the frequency drop. It called in the lower frequency range during most of the first play and post-play periods than during the pre-play period by 150-250 Hz. It showed some sign of recovery towards the end of the post-play period. However, the second playback brought the frequency down again, and later there was another sign of recovery. The other three males (  $\blacktriangle$  ,  $\vartriangle$  ,  $\Box$  ) presented low frequency calls in response to the first playback and gradually raised the frequency. The last male ( $\bullet$ ) called at very low frequency 32 seconds after the onset of playback. As it called too close to the speaker, the recording of the initial vocal response was not analysed on the Uniscan. However, the overall pattern of change in maximum frequency with the first playback was similar to the preceding three males. With the second playback, two of the subjects (  $\blacktriangle$  ,  $\odot$  ) did not reduce maximum frequency when they were away from the speaker by 100 m and 30 m respectively. The recording of the calls of one male (  $\triangle$  ) was not possible for the second playback and following period.

In Fig. 4-11, mean differences between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and the individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls are shown for the experimental periods with respect to the speaker's locations in the territory. In the pre-incubation stage (see Fig. 4-11A), comparison was made for four subjects, as one bird did not approach close enough to record its calls. Degrees of reduction in maximum frequency differed significantly with the speaker's location during the first playback (see Appendix D-9a, p. 258). However, it is for its initial two minutes that the speaker's location affected all the four equally, for there was no evidence of interaction (see Appendix D-9b). They reduced maximum frequency of their calls significantly more when the



Fig. 4-10. <u>Maximum frequency of primary and graded calls</u>: during the playback experiment, with the speaker at the middle location in the territory in the pre-incubation stage. The individual standard maximum frequency is 633 Hz for owl  $\blacksquare$ , 684 Hz for owl  $\triangle$ , 717 Hz for owl  $\bigcirc$ , 732 Hz for owl  $\square$  and 747 Hz for owl  $\triangle$ . p, play period.



Fig. 4-11. Difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls during the playback experiment with the speaker at different locations in the territory: central location ( $\bullet$ ), middle location ( $\blacktriangle$ ) and boundary location ( $\blacksquare$ ). A, pre-incubation stage; B, fledgling stage; p, play period.

speaker was at the central location than elsewhere (a priori test:  $F_{s} = 15.629$ , df = [1, 34], p<0.01). There was no significant difference between the middle and boundary locations (a priori test:  $F_s = 3.347$ , df = [1, 34], p>0.05). After the first playback, there was no significant difference with the speaker's location (see Appendix D-9c). With the second playback they likewise showed the differentiated degrees of frequency reduction (see Appendix D-9d). They reduced maximum frequency of their calls significantly more at the central location than elsewhere (a priori test:  $F_s = 10.043$ , df = [1, 27], p<0.01). There was no significant difference between the middle and boundary locations (a priori test:  $F_s = 4.099$ , df = [1, 27], p>0.05). In the fledgling stage (see Fig. 4-11B), although degrees of reduction in maximum frequency varied significantly with the speaker's location, there was strong evidence that the effect of location was not consistent among the five subjects (see Appendix D-9 e and f).

In Fig. 4-12, mean differences between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and the individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls are shown for the experimental periods with respect to breeding stages. When the speaker was placed at the central location, degrees of reduction in maximum frequency clearly varied with breeding stage during the second half of the first play period (see Appendix D-10 a and b, p. 260). Males reduced maximum frequency significantly more in the pre-incubation stage than the fledgling stage (a priori test:  $F_s = 10.885$ , df = [1, 30], p < 0.01). The effect of breeding stage was still observed in the initial two minutes of the post-play period, but there was significant evidence of interaction (see Appendix D-10c). The difference was not so clear afterwards (see Appendix D-10d). When the speaker was placed at the middle location, only the four birds were available for analysis. Degrees of reduction in maximum frequency did not vary with breeding stage during playback (see Appendix D-10 e and f). Although they did vary after the first playback, evidence of interaction indicated that individuals did not reduce maximum frequency in a consistent manner with breeding stage (see Appendix D-10g).


Fig. 4-12. Difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls during the playback experiment at different breeding stages: pre-incubation stage (O), incubation stage ( $\Delta$ ) and fledgling stage ( $\Box$ ). A, middle location; B, central location; p, play period.

## Summary of males' responses

The speaker's location in the territory seems to affect males' response to playback of recorded conspecific stranger's primary calls, and its effect appears to be most conspicuous during the preincubation stage. Territorial males responded more aggressively, approaching the speaker more quickly, flying more times and producing more primary and graded calls in a lower range of maximum frequency, with the speaker at the centre than elsewhere. However, there was no graded response between the centre and boundary of the territory. When the speaker was placed at the centre, males appeared to be more aggressive during the pre-incubation stage than other later stages of the breeding cycle.

## Effects upon females

The mates of test males sometimes behaved as if they also responded to playback. They approached the speaker after their mates, and produced primary and graded calls (see Table 4-5). In the preincubation stage, all the females joined in the response when the speaker was placed at the centre of the territory. In Fig. 4-13, patterns of the mean number of primary and graded call elements are compared between the territorial males and their mates. In general, females produced fewer elements than their mates and showed a delay in vocal production pattern. At the other locations, three of the females stopped responses altogether, while the other two only delayed the approach and were vocal. In the later breeding stages, females' responses declined irrespective of the speaker's location. With the speaker at the middle location, incubating females remained to brood eggs, and in the early fledgling stage, only one of the

		Speaker's	location in the	e territory
Breeding stage	Female	Centre	Middle	Boundary
Pre-incubation	1	A(a)V(abcd)	A(a)V(abcd)	A(a)V(abcd)
	2	A(a)V(bd)	<u> </u>	-
	3	A(a)V(ad)	-	-
	4	A(a)V(abcd)	A(b)V(ab)	A(c)V(cd)
	5	A(a)V(abcd)	-	-
Incubation	1	*	_	*
	2	*	_	*
	3	¥	- ·	*
	4	¥	· _ '	*
	5	*	-	*
Early fledgling	1	A(e)V(abf)	_	¥
•	2	_	-	*
	3	A(b)V(b)	-	*
	4	_	V(ab)	*
	5	A(a)V(ab)	· _	*

Table 4-5. Effects of playback of strange male's primary calls upon the mates of the test males

Note: A, first approach to the speaker; V, vocal response; a, during the first playback; b, after the first playback; c, during the second playback; d, after the second playback; e, being near the speaker from before the first playback; f, calling 'krrr'; -, no response; \*, no experiment.



Fig. 4-13. <u>Comparison between the territorial males and their mates</u> in the mean number of primary and graded call elements produced during the playback experiment with the speaker at the centre of territory in the pre-incubation stage.  $\Box$ , male; O, female;  $\bigcirc$ , play period.

females called some in the distance as playback terminated. With the speaker at the centre of the territory, three of the females called a much reduced number of elements in the vicinity of the speaker. Two of them positively reduced the distance but were slow to approach. A female produced 'krrr' (see 2.3.7.) during and soon after playback.

## 4.4.3. Discussion

In playback experiments where intrusion of a conspecific rival is simulated, degrees of response to playback are influenced by the speaker's location. An intruder calling in the centre of the territory must mean a greater threat than one at the boundary. Falls (1969) and Falls and Brooks (1975) showed that male White-throated Sparrows <u>Zonotrichia albicollis</u> responded more strongly at the centre than the boundary. Field Sparrows <u>Spizella pusilla</u> flew more when the speaker was placed at the centre than at the boundary (Goldman 1973). Territorial defence against the intruder does indeed increase at the centre (Hinde 1952; Bremond 1963; Armstrong 1965; Krebs 1971).

Degrees of response are also influenced by seasonal factors. Weedon and Falls (1959) noted that male Ovenbirds <u>Seiurus aurocapillus</u> decreased such responses after pairing. Ickes and Ficken (1970) also reported that male American Redstarts <u>Setophaga rusticilla</u> responded more vigorously at the centre than the periphery before pairing, but equally strongly after pairing. However, in the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl, the noted seasonal decrease in response does not seem to relate to pairing. A lower level of aggression later in the breeding season has been attributed to habituation in response to playback of species song (Falls 1969; Petrinovich & Peeke 1973; Brooks and Falls 1975a). However, it is also likely that seasonal variation in aggressive behaviour is related to underlying hormonal changes (Eisner 1960).

Females' behaviour during and after playback is considered to be aggressive. However, the generally low level and temporal delay in their responses suggests that it is unlikely that their behaviour has an initial influence upon the output of males' responses. Females may be merely stimulated by their mate's aggressive responses rather than triggered by playback. They might have a higher threshold to territorial stimuli than males, as they normally join in a territorial

dispute only when it persists. Their strong tendency to stay near the nest may contribute to the higher response with the speaker at the centre of the territory than elsewhere. Presence of offspring may prevent them from making responses to playback (Petrinovich <u>et</u> <u>al</u>. 1976). Wunderle (1978) believed that female Yellowthroats <u>Geothlypis trichas</u> respond to playback only when they are in the process of nest-building and egg-laying.

#### 4.5 Motivational information

The analysis of naturally produced primary calls and playback experiments has shown that some of the physical dimensions of primary calls, such as frequency and rate of production, vary with space, time and the conspecific environment. Intra-individual variation and gradation in vocalizations has been reported from many species of birds and primates. The significance of such variation and gradation may be that every intermediate step represents a particular level of motivation. Smith (1977a) argues that it is advantageous to convey motivational information, as it facilitates mutually beneficial interactions. However, it can also be interpreted as a contest between individuals in order to increase their own inclusive fitness (Trivers 1972).

Many examples of intra-individual variation and gradation so far reported are social interactions between mates and within kin groups in birds like the Kingbird <u>Tyrannus tyrannus</u> (Smith 1966) and Pinon Jay <u>Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus</u> (Berger & Ligon 1977) and also primates (Rowell & Hinde 1962; Green 1975; Marler 1975). Alarm calls are also graded in the Domestic Fowl <u>Gallus domesticus</u> (Konishi 1963), Black Oystercatcher <u>Haematopus ater</u> (E. Miller 1979; Miller & Baker 1980), Avocet <u>Recurvirostra avosetta</u> (Adret 1982), Carolina Wren <u>Thryothorus</u> <u>ludovicianus</u> (Morton & Shalter 1977) and Blackbird (Andrew 1961). Distress calls produced in conflict between escape and aggression are graded in the Common Loon <u>Gavia immer</u> (Barklow 1979) and Guinea Fowl Numida meleagris (Maier 1982).

In the present case, intra-individual variation and gradation are characteristic phenomena in aggressive encounters. It is not

unusual to have such behaviour in an agonistic context, and there are similar examples in the Kingbird (Smith 1966), Golden-winged Warbler Vermivora chrysoptera (Ficken & Ficken 1973) and also in primates (Rowell & Hinde 1962). But what does intra-individual variation and gradation mean to the receiver in aggressive contexts? Dawkins and Krebs (1978) have discussed the evolution of graded signals in the context of aggression. From the viewpoint of game theory, it is not always advantageous for the sender to give away information about intentions. It could help the receiver to take advantage of the available information and act to reduce the inclusive fitness of the caller. Thus selection pressure would lead the sender to fake or even stop advertising its aggressive motivation. Van Rhijn (1980) has criticised the game theory approach to signals, in that it hardly takes account of individual recognition. Grading may well be a successful strategy in which increasing or decreasing probability of attack is shown before a real attack occurs.

Territorial males of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls do appear to express aggressive motivation in changes in the physical characteristics of primary call. Varying features, such as quick reduction and gradual recovery of frequency with the mate's approach and the dynamic response on hearing a conspecific neighbour's calling or playback of recorded primary calls, seem to provide evidence for momentary changes in aggressive motivation. These variations can be perceived and acted upon by the receiver in relation to the possible consequences of the particular vocal behaviour.

## 5. THE PRIMARY CALL AND INDIVIDUAL RECOGNITION

## 5.1. Introduction

The ability for individual recognition is important and necessary in interactions between individuals of social animals (Beer 1970). Activities like defending a territory, mating, maintaining the pairbond and bringing up offspring all depend upon this ability. Failure to recognize individuals will reduce efficiency in interactions by wasting time and energy, and have adverse effects upon reproductive success. Individual recognition is possible if the following conditions are satisfied:-

-There are perceptible features which are individually distinct.

-They remain consistent within individuals.

-They are highly variable between individuals.

In birds, visual acuity aids recognition (Guhl & Ortman 1953; Thorpe 1968; Buckley & Buckley 1970), and it is still possible for nocturnal owls to use visual cues even in poor light conditions ([Tansley] Erichsen 1985). The frequency and temporal resolution of birds is comparable to that of humans (Dooling 1982), suggesting that birds may be able to distinguish individual differences in calls and songs which human observers also notice (Saunders 1924; Beer 1970). Although owls' power of frequency and temporal resolution has not been well studied, it should be good enough to cope with the normal variations in their frequency and temporal features, as demonstrated in other avian auditory systems (Dooling 1982). Individually characteristic calls have been noted in some owl species (<u>Otus</u>: Marshall 1939; Van der Weyden 1975, <u>Bubo</u>: Van der Weyden & Ginn 1973, <u>Ninox</u>: M'lean 1911, Strix, Wendland 1963; Hirons 1976).

Examination of individual consistency in a particular signal is an important step in the investigation of individual recognition. It is necessary to study how much variation within individuals is present in both short and long terms. Several studies have demonstrated the short-term consistency of calls in non-oscines (Jouventin 1972; Derenne <u>et al</u>. 1979; White & White 1970; Bailey, 1978; Wooler 1978; Hutchinson et al. 1968). James (1985) reported

relatively stable physical features within individuals in the call of Manx Shearwaters <u>Puffinus puffinus</u> over seven years. However, long-term consistency (i.e. daily, seasonal and annual) has not been thoroughly examined, which leaves some ambiguity in the demonstration of individual variation. Seasonal changes in call characteristics are not uncommon even when year-to-year variation is little (Beightol & Samuel 1973; Thomas & Dilworth 1980; Wooler 1978).

The next step is to examine the individual variation of the signal. Sonagrams may provide a means of first-hand comparison of different individuals' vocal signals in visually presented forms. This has been done not only in songbirds (Marshall 1964; Bertram 1970; Chew 1981; Lein 1981; Mamman & Nowicki 1981) but also non-oscines, such as colonial seabirds (Jouventin 1972; Jouventin et al 1979; Jouventin & Roux 1979; Derenne et al. 1979; White & White 1970; Wooler, 1978; Hutchison et al. 1968; Stevenson et al. 1970; Moseley 1979), Phasianidae (Bailey 1978; Miller 1978), Rallidae (Huxley & Wilkinson 1979) and Scolopacidae (Beightol & Samuel 1973; Thomas & Dilworth 1980). Hirons (1976) gave sonagraphic evidence for clear individual differences in the primary call of Tawny Owls Strix Visual inspection of sonagrams nevertheless depends upon the alco. identifying ability of a view and may not be objective by itself. Alternatively, physical features of vocalizations, i.e. frequency, time and amplitude are measured and statistically analysed. Different statistical values have been used: coefficient of variation, CV (e.g. Hutchison et al. 1968; Jouventin et al. 1979), information measure, H (e.g. Bailey 1978; Beecher 1982) and variance ratio, F (e.g. Wooler 1978; Thomas & Dilworth 1980; Mamman & Nowicki 1981). Duncan's multiple range test (Thomas & Dilworth 1980), multivariate analysis and graphical plotting (Bailey 1978) have also been applied. As the alternative to the feature analysis, difference index (Bertram 1970) and profile matching analysis (White & White 1970) have been developed.

Following the demonstration of a physical basis for recognition, behavioural evidence can be given by playback experiments. Recorded vocalizations are broadcast to see if test birds change their behaviour. In species which defend territory, territory owners tend to respond more aggressively to a playback of a stranger's voice than that of neighbours. So far most of the results have been obtained

in songbirds (Troglodytidae: Wiley & Wiley 1977, Paridae: Krebs 1971; Järvi et al. 1977; Emberizinae: Falls 1969; Lemon & Harris 1974; Brooks & Falls 1975a; Harris & Lemon 1972; Kroodsma 1976; Searcy et al. 1981; Goldman 1973; Cardinalinae: Emlen 1971; Ritchison 1983a, Parulidae: Weedon & Falls 1959; Wunderle 1978, Icteridae: Yasukawa et al. 1982, Falls & d'Agincourt 1981; Falls 1982, Fringillidae: Pickstock & Krebs 1980, Cracticidae: Falls 1969). The only non-oscine species which has been demonstrated to have neighbour-stranger discrimination is the Blue Grouse Dendrogapus obscurus (Falls & McNicholl 1979). Hirons (1976) attempted playback experiments on the Tawny Owl only to obtain inconclusive evidence due to the difficulty in observing in the dark. Similar examination was carried out for the non-vocal drumming noises of the Ruffed Grouse Bonasa umbellus, only giving inconclusive evidence for aural recognition of individuals (Aubin 1972).

In species which develop the pair-bond for a period of reasonable length, mates may be able to recognize each other using their vocalizations. In cardueline finches, mates of a pair imitate the partner's flight calls to develop pair-specific calls, and are believed to use them as a naming system (Mundinger 1970; Marler & Mundinger 1975). In other species, however, birds seem to use individual variations as an auditory clue to recognize their own mates. Individual variations can be superimposed upon sexual dimorphism if any (e.g. Jouventin 1972; Derenne <u>et al</u>. 1979; Brooke 1978; Huxley & Wilkinson 1979; Ritchison 1983b; Beletsky 1983a). In the strigiformes females' primary calls tend to be higher in pitch, shorter in duration, softer and less clear in tone, and less regular in temporal delivery than males' (see 2.6.2.).

The possibility of mate recognition has been noticed for gulls (Tinbergen 1953; Beer 1970; Falls 1972) and quails (Ellis & Stokes 1966; Stokes & Williams 1968) from field observation that mates responded to the partner's vocalizations. Playback experiments have been carried out and discriminative responses to the mate's vocalization have been observed in different groups of birds.

 Colonial seabirds - Spheniscidae (Jouventin <u>et al</u>. 1979; Derenne <u>et al</u>. 1979), Procellariidae (Brooke 1978), Hydrobatidae (James

1984), Laridae (Wooler 1978; Moseley 1979).

- Other non-oscines Phasianidae (Williams 1969), Rallidae (Huxley & Wilkinson 1979), Psittacidae (Saunders 1983), Picidae (Falls 1982).
- Oscines Muscicapidae (Watson 1969), Cardinalinae (Ritchison 1983a), Icteridae (Beletsky 1983a), Carduelinae (Mundinger 1970; Marler & Mundinger 1975), Estrildidae (D. Miller 1979), Sturnidae (Bertram 1970), Cracticidae (Berger & Ligon 1977).

The primary call 'k.hoo' of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl shows audible differences among individuals and sexes, and might be expected to be important in recognizing neighbours or mates. In the present chapter, the first half describes the physical basis for individual recognition, and behavioural evidence for individual recognition is given in the second half. The physical basis is approached firstly by examining the consistency of each individual's calls within a series of consecutive bouts recorded on one day. In addition to the visual inspection of sonagrams, separate physical parameters of the calls of two neighbouring territorial males are treated by cumulative analysis to reveal similarities and differences. The analysis is also designed to derive the minimum sample number for the study. Secondly, the extent of individual consistency during the two territorial males by visual breeding cycle is studied for inspection of sonagrams and physical feature analysis. Thirdly, inter-individual variations are investigated in local populations. Primary calls of both male and female birds are studied for their sonagrams and respective features. Behavioural evidence is obtained by investigating the responses of territorial males to two series of playback experiments. Series I demonstrates discrimination between a male neighbour and a male stranger. Experiments are also designed to check the locational clue in individual recognition. Series II concerns discrimination between own mate and other females i.e. neighbour and stranger. Experiments also test whether there is sexual discrimination. All primary calls which were analysed and used in the experiments had been recorded from birds in a normal motivational

state, since gradation (see 4.2-3.) might obscure the individual characteristics. Southern (1970) noted that in the Tawny Owl excitation made their primary calls less individually unique.

# 5.2. Physical Basis of Individual Recognition by Primary Calls

## 5.2.1. Comparison of primary calls between two individuals

# 5.2.1.1. Methods

Primary calls were recorded from two neighbouring territorial males at Zushi in 1980. In order to control for the effect of motivational states upon the physical features of primary calls, recordings were only made when the birds were calling spontaneously at the centre of the territory after midnight during the early fledgling stage. They were not accompanied by their mates and all the neighbouring birds were quiet.

For each bird, 130 elements which were produced in consecutive bouts during approximately three minutes were analysed using a Kay Sonagraph 6061B and studied for the following physical features:-

- (a) duration of part A;
- (b) duration of part B;
- (c) minimum frequency of element (= minimum frequency of part A);
- (d) maximum frequency of element (= maximum frequency of part B);
- (e) harmonics structure;
- (f) duration of short interval between the two elements of unit;
- (g) duration of long interval between units in bouts.

For the temporal features, the range of 80-8000 Hz and wide-band setting were used. The unit of measurement was 10 ms. For the frequency features, the range of 40-4000 Hz and narrow-band setting were used. The unit of measurement was 20 Hz. The means, their one standard error and coefficients of variation (CV) were obtained for these features at every five cumulative samples. The last mean is considered to be most approximate to the true individual mean. If

earlier cumulative means are not significantly different from the last mean by <u>t</u>-test at the significance level of p < 0.05, the sample number to give the earliest cumulative mean is the minimum requirement for the analysis of individual features. This cumulative analysis was carried out separately for the first elements and the second elements. If the CV is less than 10%, it is considered to be fairly stable.

# 5.2.1.2. Results

#### Sonagrams

Primary calls of the two neighbouring territorial males were easily distinguishable by human ears. Sonagrams made the audible differences visually recognizable. Scanning the sonagrams of the respective birds easily convinced the researcher that in spite of some degrees of intra-individual variations each bird showed individuality in its time-frequency traces. In Fig. 5-1, examples of sonagrams are presented for the two types of preparation, one in the range of 80-8000 Hz at wide-band setting (A) and the other in that of 40-4000 Hz at narrow-band setting (B). It can be seen that the difference between the two birds' calls was clear. In particular, the second preparation threw a better light upon the differences in frequency modulation than the first one. For instance, the first bird showed squarish part B, while the second bird had roundish part B.

#### Statistical portraits

Statistical portraits of primary calls were drawn, using the last means of measured physical features and supplementary data of the maximum frequency of part A and minimum frequency of part B. In Fig. 5-1C, the first unit and the first element of the second unit are shown. The two birds' calls are overlapped to facilitate comparison. Although the portraits did not show the frequency modulation which was the prominent feature in distinguishing the two birds, the duration, interval and frequency range of primary calls still remained to show differences between the callers.

#### Similarities between the two males

In Fig. 5-2, results of the cumulative analyses are given. It

Fig. 5-1. Sonagrams and statistical portraits of the primary calls of two neighbouring territorial males, X and Y. A, wide-band sonagrams; B, narrow-band sonagrams; C, statistical portraits of primary calls are shown using three elements. The left and middle elements form a unit separated by a short interval. The right element follows them after a long interval to be the first element of the next unit. In sonagrams shown in A and B, elements, particularly those of male Y, show tails after part B. They are not the essential part of call, and are believed to be reverberation due to atmospheric or topographical conditions. Thus these shadows are ignored in the portraits (male X in white and male Y in black).



.

Fig. 5-2. <u>Cumulative analyses of the physical features of</u> <u>the primary call</u>. The mean, its one standard error, and coefficient of variation are shown at every five cumulative samples for two individuals. One standard error of the mean may be shown on either or both directions. A, duration of part A; B, duration of part B; C, duration of short interval between the elements of unit; D, duration of unit; E, duration of long interval between units; F, maximum frequency of element; G, minimum frequency of element. Owl X:  $\Box$ , the first element of unit;  $\blacksquare$ , the second element of unit;  $\blacktriangle$ , intervals. Owl Y: O, the first element of unit;  $\blacklozenge$ , the second element of unit;  $\vartriangle$ , intervals.





can be seen that the two males shared a similar extent of variation in the respective physical features. The duration of part A was highly variable, showing CV's generally between 10-40% (A), while that of part B was fairly stable, showing CV's of less than 10% (B). The duration of short interval between the elements of unit was stable, showing CV's of less than 10% (C), while that of long interval between units was not as stable as the former (E). The duration of unit (D) and maximum frequency of element (F) were very stable, showing CV's mostly of 2%. The minimum frequency of element generally showed CV's of less than 10% (G).

The overall range of fundamental frequency mostly overlapped between the two birds: Owl X in 310-810 Hz, and Owl Y in 350-810 Hz. However, only the maximum frequency of the second element was significantly similar between them (<u>t</u>-test: p > 0.05 for  $n = \frac{3}{2}$  5k). The first element was significantly higher in the minimum frequency than the second element (p < 0.01 in Owl X and p < 0.001 in Owl Y, for  $n = \frac{3}{2}$  5k). Harmonics were observed up to the eighth with the oddnumbered emphasized. The temporal features which were similar between them were the duration of part A of the first element (p > 0.05 for  $n = \frac{3}{2}$  5k) and that of unit (p > 0.05 for  $n = \frac{3}{2}$  5k). The first element was significantly shorter than the second element in the duration of both part A (p < 0.01 for  $n = \frac{3}{2}$  5k in Owl X, and p < 0.001for  $n = \frac{3}{2}$  5k in Owl Y) and part B (p < 0.02 for  $n = \frac{3}{2}$  5k in Owl X, and p < 0.001 for  $n = \frac{3}{2}$  5k in Owl Y).

## Differences between the two males

The two males had significantly different primary calls in terms of most of the physical features (t-test):-

- duration of part A of the second element (p<0.01 for  $n = \sum_{k=1}^{13} 5k$ );

- duration of part B of both elements (p < 0.001 for  $n = \sum_{k=1}^{13} 5k$ );

- duration of short interval between the elements of unit  $(p < 0.001 \text{ for } n = \sum_{k=1}^{13} 5k);$
- duration of long interval between units (p < 0.02 for  $n = \sum_{k=1}^{12} 5k$ );
- maximum frequency of the first element (p < 0.01 for  $n = \sum_{k=1}^{15} 5k$ );

- minimum frequency of the first element (p<0.01 for n =  $\sum_{k=2}^{13} 5k$ );
- minimum frequency of the second element (p< 0.001 for n =  $\sum_{k=1}^{13} 5k$ ).

The maximum frequency of the first element was significantly higher than that of the second element in Owl X (p < 0.02 for  $n = \sum_{k=1}^{13} 5k$ ), while it was as high as the latter in Owl Y (p > 0.05 for  $n = \sum_{k=1}^{13} 5k$ ).

#### Number of samples

As can be seen in the cumulative analyses of various physical features (see Fig. 5-2), the individual mean and CV tended to vary initially but settle eventually. In Table 5-1, the minimum number of sample is listed for the respective physical features in the first and second elements for the two males. The trends were shared between the two males. Although in some cases over twenty-five and up to forty samples were required for each individual in order to have the mean which was significantly similar to the last mean ( $\underline{t}$ -test, p < 0.05), significant differences between the two birds were detectable even from only five or ten samples.

# 5.2.2. Physical features of primary calls during the breeding season

# 5.2.2.1. Methods

In 1980, primary calls were regularly recorded from a territorial male from arrival to the beginning of nestling stage when it was replaced by another male. The replacing male's primary calls were recorded thereafter until the middle of August. The following physical features were studied:-

- (a) part A duration, maximum and minimum frequency;
- (b) part B duration, maximum and minimum frequency;
- (c) duration of short interval;
- (d) duration of long interval;
- (e) duration of unit.

Frequency features were measured up to 20 Hz from successive twelve

Table 5-1. Minimum number of samples required to obtain individual means (<u>t</u>-test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ )

Physical feature	Owl X	Owl Y
Duration of part A	5 <sup>f</sup> , 5 <sup>s</sup>	5 <sup>f</sup> , 5 <sup>s</sup>
Duration of part B	5 <sup>f</sup> , 40 <sup>s</sup>	5 <sup>f</sup> , 25 <sup>s</sup>
Duration of short interval between the elements of a unit	5	5
Duration of long interval between units	5	5
Duration of unit	10	5
Maximum frequency of element	5 <sup>f</sup> , 25 <sup>s</sup>	5 <sup>f</sup> , 25 <sup>s</sup>
Minimum frequency of element	5 <sup>f</sup> , 5 <sup>s</sup>	25 <sup>f</sup> , 30 <sup>s</sup>

Note: f, the first element; s, the second element.

elements, the sonagrams of which were obtained in the range of 40-4000 Hz at narrow-band setting. Temporal features were measured up to 10 ms from successive twenty elements, the sonagrams of which were obtained in the range of 80-8000 Hz at wide-band setting. However, as part A had low frequency, it was obscured by the background noise in 80-8000 Hz traces. The sonagrams prepared in the range of 40-4000 Hz at wide-band setting were used for its temporal measurement. The unit was thus 20 ms. The traces in which part A was too obscure were eliminated from the analysis and mean sample number for each recording was about six.

#### 5.2.2.2. Results

In Fig. 5-3, sonagrams of the primary calls recorded from a male from arrival to incubation stage (A) and those recorded from another male from nestling stage to fledgling stage (B) are presented. Temporal and frequency traces appeared fairly constant for each bird during the breeding cycle. The first male had part B in short, roundish crescents, while the second male tended to have part B in long, flat shapes. Most of the physical features, however, showed significant intra-individual variation (Table 5-2). For example, as can be seen from the duration of part A and that of part B in Fig. 5-4, these variations were not apparently related to the breeding stage. However, the first male appeared to increase the duration of long interval between units ( $F_s = 11.040$ , df = [1, 8], p < 0.025) and the maximum frequency of part B ( $F_s = 6.443$ , df = [1, 8], p < 0.05) from arrival to incubation stage. Yet there were significant deviations from the regression, suggesting the large amount of random heterogeneity in the duration of long interval between units (F  $_{\rm S}$  = 2.592, df = [8, 102], p<0.025) and also in the maximum frequency of part B (F<sub>S</sub> = 60.355, df = [8, 148], p<0.01). Each male appeared to make use of wide ranges of respective physical dimensions. Staying within the particular individual range, there were significant individual differences between the two males, except for the duration of long interval between units (see Table 5-2).



Fig. 5-3. Sonagrams of primary calls during the breeding cycle. A, the original male; B, the replacing male. Sonagrams are prepared at narrow-band setting in the range of 40-4000 Hz.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Intra-individ	dual variation	Inter-individual
Physical feature	The first male from arrival to incubation stage	The second male from nestling to fledgling stage	variation
Part A - duration	6.76 [8, 54]***	1.48 [2, 26] ns	66.96 [1, 80]***
- minimum frequency	6.89 [ 8, 55]***	2.88 [2, 27] ns	59.46 [1, 82]***
- maximum frequency	69.18 [ 8, 53]***	11.47 [2, 25]***	40.23 [1, 78]***
Part B - duration	7.37 [13, 233]***	12.46 [3, 76]***	508.58 [1, 309]***
- minimum frequency	26.94 [14, 143]***	6.84 [3, 38] ***	7.05 [1, 181]***
- maximum frequency	60.16 [14, 143]***	61.37 [3, 38] ***	108.07 [1, 181]***
Duration of interval between the two elements of unit <sup>.</sup>	8.71 [13, 109]***	21.40 [3, 36]***	44.71 [1, 145]***
Duration of interval between units in bouts	3.65 [13, 98]***	0.09 [3, 36] ns	2.14 [1, 134] ns
Duration of unit	5.98 [14, 61]***	1.85 [3, 17] ns	23.98 [1, 78]***

Table 5-2. Intra-individual and inter-individual variations in the physical structure of primary calls during

variation, a priori test was applied. F<sub>S</sub> values, degrees of freedom in brackets and significance levels (\*\*\*, p<0.01; \*\*, p<0.025; \*, p<0.05; ns, p≥0.05) are given.



Fig. 5-4. Duration of parts A and B during the breeding cycle. Part A: □, the original male; O, the replacing male. Part B: ■, the original male; ●, the replacing male.

## 5.2.3. Discussion

## 5.2.3.1. Consistency within individuals

No bird produces primary calls in an exactly identical form within an individual, and there are always some variations. Yet, somehow a bird's own individuality appears to remain intact, whilst allowing intra-individual variation to certain limited degrees. Firstly, variations observed in sonagrams are sufficient for identification. Wooler (1978) suggested that in view of the variation between individuals, visual inspection of sonagrams suffices to demonstrate the consistent individuality of calls. Secondly, temporal and frequency features are consistent within an individual. Regardless of the size of the coefficient of variation, the mean of respective physical feature was significantly similar to its last mean from the early stage of the cumulative analysis. This suggests that individuality is available even with a small number of elements. The later analyses by one-way ANOVA also confirmed this. It is particularly important if listeners can identify the caller without hearing a great many number of elements. This saves time and secures the probable gain obtained by quick recognition. Thirdly, despite significant variation during the breeding cycle without a clear seasonal trend, each individual is likely to maintain individuality in the physical features of their primary calls.

Intra-individual variation may be caused internally and externally. Internal variation is partly due to the changeable performance of the vocal organs. Bailey (1978) noticed amplituderelated variations of fundamental frequency modulation of individual separation calls in the Bobwhite Quail <u>Colinus virginianus</u>. The possibility of amplitude effect upon the fundamental frequency modulation of the owls' primary calls is unknown. Changes in motivational state is another possible factor to influence the physical structure of primary calls, although it is considered to be minimal in the present study based upon samples collected in controlled conditions. Physiological and endocrinological factors might also be involved. Wooler (1978) suggested the effects of hormonal level upon tonal differences. However, the lack of seasonal

trends do not support this mechanism.

External variation takes place once primary calls are produced into the sound field. The acoustic environment differs with the topographical features and vegetation. Sound characteristics of a bird's primary calls heard inside the wooded valley system were slightly different from the same calls heard outside. Atmospheric conditions and weather are never constant. The primary calls which were emitted on a very hot and humid night lacked clarity, sounding dull compared with the regular calls of the same bird. While these external factors are operative in the field, their effects are only minimal.

Some intra-individual variation may not be enough to confuse listeners with the identity of the caller, and others may be learnt by the listeners. In particular, variations due to the topographical and vegetational features may be associated with locations, since the owls occupy the same territories. Individuality of primary calls is considered to be contained in a relatively flexible range of physical dimensions. This flexibility may ensure transmission of the information of individual identity to receivers, while simultaneously sending other kinds of information (e.g. motivational state).

# 5.2.3.2. Differences between two individuals

In the comparison of primary calls between the two neighbouring territorial males, the different sonagrams immediately separated them. Most of the physical features were significantly different between them, but temporal features may be better than frequency features to separate the two. There were some differences in the physical features which separated two individuals between the first pair for the short-term comparison and the second pair for the seasonal comparison. The first pair did not differ in the duration of the unit, while the second pair did not differ in the duration of the long interval between units.

# 5.3. Inter-individual Differences in Primary Calls

# 5.3.1. Methods

In 1980, primary calls were recorded from ten different individuals of the Zushi population and nine of the Miyake-jima Island population during the breeding season. The former group consisted of six neighbouring territorial males from the main study area, two territorial males from a separate area in the north-east and two mated females. The latter group included eight neighbouring territorial males and one individual which was believed to be a female. Recordings were further supplemented with the primary calls of different individuals: one new territorial male and three mated females of the 1981 Zushi population and one female of the 1979 Zushi population.

For each individual, sonagrams were prepared for twelve successive elements at both narrow and wide-band settings in the range of 40-4000 Hz. Firstly, the temporal-frequency profile of primary calls was visually examined to compare the individuals. Secondly, the sonagrams of the nine males and six females of the Zushi population were used to measure the duration, maximum and minimum frequency, form of frequency modulation and harmonics structure of both parts of elements, and the duration of short and long intervals (see 2.2.6.). The units of measurement were 20 ms for temporal feature and 20 Hz for frequency feature. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to see the inter-individual differences in the temporal and frequency features. Forms of frequency modulation and occurrences of harmonics were analysed by the binominal test. The level of significance was  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

For the eight territorial males of the 1980 Zushi population, the part B and unit structure were re-examined applying the more accurate measurement. For temporal features, wide-band sonagrams were prepared for twenty successive elements in the range of 80-8000 Hz. The unit of measurement was 10 ms. For the maximum and minimum frequency, the elements were measured digitally at 8 Hz intervals in the range of 0-1000 Hz, using a Princeton Applied Research 4512 FFT real-time spectrum analyser and a Unigon UB-1 visual display unit.

# 5.3.2. Results

# Sonagrams of individuals' primary calls

In spite of simple structures of primary calls, it was not impossible to distinguish calling birds solely by their voices. Here are the sonagrams of wide-band trace, which are the representative units of primary calls of fifteen Zushi birds and nine Miyake-jima Island birds (Fig. 5-5). In spite of some intra-individual variations there were temporal-frequency profiles which were typical to individuals. Individuality and inter-individual differences can visually be noticed by scanning the sonagrams. The profiles were recognized in various forms between the neatly roundish crescent and straight squarish band. The profile of the first and second elements was almost identical within an individual. However, some birds presented a set combining two distinct profiles.

## Physical features

Physical features of individuals' primary calls measured at 40-4000 Hz in the first analysis are listed in Appendices A-1 - A-5 (pp. 208-212). In Fig. 5-6, examples of the individuals' distributions of physical features are given for the eight territorial males used in the second analysis. In all the physical features, individuals' distributions appeared different from one another to various extents. In Appendix E-1 (see pp. 263-267), the results of one-way ANOVA are shown for the first and second analyses. For every physical feature, there was a significant added variance component among individuals (p < 0.01). Regardless of the sexes, different individuals clearly produced their primary calls with significantly different temporal and frequency features.

In Fig. 5-7, individual means obtained in the first analysis are plotted for the following four pairs of physical features:-

- A. (duration of part A) x (duration of part B);
- B. for part A, (maximum frequency) x (minimum frequency);
- C. for part B, (maximum frequency) x (minimum frequency);
- D. (duration of short interval) x (duration of long interval).

The range of one standard error is also shown. It can be seen that



Fig. 5-5. <u>Sonagrams of primary calls</u> of the individuals of the two local populations: A, the Zushi population; B, the Miyake-jima Island population. Sonagrams are prepared at wide-band setting in the range of 40-4000 Hz. Individual calls are arranged according to the shape of part B between neatly roundish crescent and straight squarish band. F, female.



Fig. 5-6. <u>Individuals' distribution of the physical features of</u> <u>primary calls</u>. A, duration of part B; B, maximum frequency of part B; C, minimum frequency of part B; D, short interval between the elements of unit; E, long interval between units. Results are shown for eight territorial males, and figures to the right of each histogram indicate individuals.

Fig 5-7. Inter-individual differences of the physical features of primary calls in terms of combination of two features. A, (duration of part A) x (duration of part B); B, (minimum frequency) x (maximum frequency) for part A; C, (minimum frequency) x (maximum frequency) for part B; D, (duration of short interval) x (duration of long interval); □, male; ■, female. Individual identity is indicated by numbers. The range of one standard error of the mean is shown on both or either direction.







•



Fig. 5-7 (continued)

some plots form clusters or are close to each other, but that others occupy isolated locations. For example, in terms of pair A, three birds (males 6, 7 and 8), two birds (male 2 and female 4) and two birds (male 5 and female 5) respectively form close groups, whereas males 1, 3 and 4 are respectively apart from the rest. However, the individuals of the former three groups were different from one another in terms of the other pairs, B, C and D. Similar observations can be made for any clusters in other figures.

From the study of the eight birds, males 4, 6 and 7 had two similar elements in a unit in terms of the duration and frequency of part B, while the other birds differentiated the first element from the second in one or more of the physical features of part B (see Appendix E-2, p. 268). In male 2, for example, part B of the first element was significantly shorter in the duration and higher in the maximum frequency than that of the second element.

Forms of frequency modulation were shared among the different individuals to various extents. However, some individuals used particular forms more frequently than expected (see Appendix A-4, p. 211). For example, male 2 tended to produce part A in the category of DA and part B in that of right-biased AFD & AD, while male 8 did not have any prevailing forms for part A but tended to produce part B in the left-biased AFD & AD. Harmonic patterns seem to be less different among individuals, having the third and fifth harmonics significantly more than expected. However, one of the females (5) emphasized the second harmonic (see Appendix A-3, p. 210).

#### 5.3.3. Discussion

Visual inspection of sonagrams to identify individuals can be difficult if the number of individuals increases (Beightol & Samuel 1973). In the comparison of primary calls recorded from the two distant populations, however, individual differences are still visually noticeable within populations. Such differences in the neighbouring individuals' primary calls are important as a physical basis for neighbour and mate recognition. The analysis of frequency modulation further demonstrated individual trends in the sonagrams, and harmonic patterns also seem to aid individuality.

It is important to assess the sound complex of primary calls in various ways and study each physical domain separately. One-way ANOVA is believed to be appropriate for this task in spite of a criticism by Thomas and Dilworth (1980). That variation between individuals is significantly higher than variation within individuals has been used as part of evidence for the individual recognition of vocalizations by many authors (e.g. Marler & Isaac 1960; Borror & Gunn 1965; Hutchison et al. 1968; Beightol & Samuel 1973; Miller 1978; Mamman & Nowicki 1981). The obtained result for primary calls seems to support individual differences in every physical feature. This does not mean that each individual's primary calls differ significantly from those of the rest of the local population for every physical feature (Mamman & Nowicki 1981). Some features can be shared by some birds in a population, and others are quite separated between The latter is supposed to be the relevant clue for individual them. In some species, temporal structure is believed to identification. be an important carrier of individual identity (Derenne et al. 1979; Jouventin et al. 1979; Huxley & Wilkinson 1979; Bertram 1970), and amplitude pattern in others (White & White 1970). However, in Japanese Brown Hawk Owls no single feature is a self-sufficient clue for individual identity. Rather, with a reservation over amplitude, it is different patterns of sound as a composite structure of time and frequency that are considered important. This type of individual variation does not seem to be unusual and has been reported in other species (Hutchison et al. 1968; Bailey 1978; Wooler 1978).

Are the observed variations in the physical features of primary calls detected by listeners? Birds can detect 10-20% change in duration and 1% change in frequency (Dooling 1982). Suppose the mean duration of part B is 200 ms in a bird and 10% of the mean is 20 ms. Any birds which have their means between 180 and 220 ms would not be registered different from it. Fifteen individuals were studied to see how different from all the other individuals of the population they were in terms of five physical features (duration, maximum and minimum frequencies of part B, duration of short interval between the elements of a unit, and duration of long interval between units). Primary calls of an individual were found to be different from those of 2.1  $\pm$  0.4 owls by all the five physical features; similarly, 5.5
$\pm$  0.7 owls by any four features, 3.7  $\pm$  0.6 owls by any three features, 2.4  $\pm$  0.6 owls by any two features and 0.3  $\pm$  0.1 owls by any one feature. Similarly, with regard to the three physical features of part A studied for ten individuals, primary calls were found to be different from those of 5.4  $\pm$  0.5 owls by all three features and 3.6  $\pm$  0.5 owls by any two features.

It is important to note that sonagrams prepared in the range of 40-4000 Hz can be measured only up to 20 ms in duration and up to 20 Hz in frequency. The expected accuracy of the owls' detection of change in duration at the level of 10% are 5-11 ms for part A, 18-25 ms for part B, 30-45 ms for the short interval between the elements of a unit, and 52-100 ms for the long interval between units. The expected accuracy of their detection of change in frequency at the level of 1% are 3-6 Hz for the maximum frequency of part A, 2-5 Hz for the minimum frequency of part A, 6-8 Hz for the maximum, and 5-7 Hz for the minimum frequency of part B. Apart from the duration of the two intervals, the present measurement may not be comparable with the owls' ability of detecting the differences in the other physical features. Certainly, the second analysis with an improved accuracy of measurement of up to 10 ms in duration and up to 8 Hz in frequency revealed that more individuals' calls can be separated by every single physical feature. The rates of detection of the difference between every two individuals among the eight males were 67.9% for the duration of part B, 92.9% for part B's maximum frequency, 100.0% for part B's minimum frequency, 58.9% for the duration of short interval between the elements of the unit and 75.0% for that of the long interval between units in the second analysis, while in the first analysis they were respectively 28.6%, 89.3%, 96.4%, 48.2% and 55.4%. The relatively high rates of detection in frequency are due to the birds' supposed power of frequency resolution.

Primary calls are used in long-range communication, and to human ears the owls could be heard in the distance only with part B. The loudness and relatively small variation in the physical features of part B seem to satisfy the conditions necessary to be a carrier of individual information over a long distance. The low amplitude and large variation of part A may mean that it is constrained by the acoustic environment in which it has to travel. The relevance of part A as a source of individual identification may be small in long-range

communication. However, the significant individual differences of part A should still function in short-range communication. This leaves the possibility that part A functions in individual recognition and this can be tested by playback experiments.

# 5.4. Behavioural evidence for individual recognition by primary calls

## 5.4.1. Methods

## Subjects

During the breeding season in 1981, experiments were carried out on five territorial males from the Zushi population.

## Experimental tapes

The test primary calls were recorded from the following males and females:-

- (a) spontaneously calling neighbouring territorial males;
- (b) spontaneously calling strange males of the Miyake-jima Island population in 1981;
- (c) mated females which were performing duets with their mates (for the experimental tape, only the female calls were extracted).

Experimental tapes were prepared on 3-minute endless tapes, TDK EC-3.

#### Playback system

See 4.4.1. for details.

## Playback schedule

Two series of experiments were carried out. Series I investigated the male primary calls and Series II examined the female primary calls. In Series I, each bird was exposed to a set of three experiments as follows:-

(a) A recording of a neighbouring territorial male's primary calls was played at the middle location of the territory, i.e. within the central zone and more than 50 m away from the nest, on the side of the neighbour's territory (normal location).

- (b) A recording of stranger's primary calls was played at the middle location of the territory.
- (c) The same recording as used in (a) was played at the middle location of the territory on the other side of the neighbour's territory (opposite location).

To determine whether individual differences are detected by the subjects, the experiments were done during the incubation stage in May (Experiment I) and were repeated during the fledgling stage in July-August (Experiment II), when territorial relationships among territory owners were firmly established. In Series II, each territorial male was exposed to a set of four recordings as follows:-

- (d) primary calls of his own mate;
- (e) primary calls of neighbouring territorial male's mate;
- (f) primary calls of a strange female;
- (g) primary calls of strange males (control).

All the experiments were carried out at the centre of territory, i.e. within 20 m from the nest, during the late nestling and early fledgling stages in July-August. Further details of the playback schedule have been described in 4.4.1.

#### Categories of response and statistics

See 4.4.1. for details.

## 5.4.2. Individual recognition by male primary calls

In Experiment I, which was carried out during the incubation stage, all the five territorial males responded to playback in aggressive manners as described earlier in 4.3.2. In Experiment II, which was undertaken during the early fledgling stage, one of the test birds did not show noticeable response to playback of neighbour's primary calls regardless of repeated trials. Even with playback of stranger's primary calls it produced merely six primary call elements

at fifty seconds after the start of playback at the distance of 50 m from the speaker. However, all the others did respond. The results were compiled from these responsive individuals.

#### Latency of response

In Fig. 5-8, latency of response observed in Experiment I and Experiment II is shown to compare three playback conditions. In both experiments, the different conditions clearly had a significant effect upon latency of response (p < 0.01 and p < 0.025 respectively, see Appendix F-1, p. 270 for two-way ANOVA tables). Territorial males significantly more quickly approached within 40 m of the speaker or produced primary calls, when stranger's calls were broadcast than when neighbour's calls were played back at the normal location (Experiment I: p < 0.01, Experiment II: p < 0.025). However, the same recordings of neighbour's calls induced significantly swifter response when they were broadcast at the opposite location than when they were played back at the normal location (p < 0.01 for both experiments). Latency to neighbour's calls at the opposite location was not significantly different from that to stranger's calls (p > 0.05 for both experiments).

## Number of flights

In Table 5-3, mean number of flights during the playback experiment is listed with one standard error. Territorial males tended to fly during playback. In Experiment I, as can be seen from Appendix F-2a (see p. 271) they flew more times when stranger's calls were broadcast than when neighbour's calls were played back at the normal location (p < 0.01). Although number of flights shown during playback of neighbour's calls was higher at the opposite location than at the normal location, the difference between the means was not significant (p > 0.05). However, number of flights shown during playback of stranger's calls was not significantly different from that shown during playback of neighbour's calls at the opposite location (p >0.05). In Experiment II, there was no significant effect of the playback conditions upon number of flights during playback (see Appendix F-2b), and there were significant variations among the individuals.



Fig. 5-8. Latency of response to playback of primary calls of strange and neighbouring territorial males. NN, neighbour's calls broadcast at the normal location; S, stranger's calls; NO, neighbour's calls broadcast at the opposite location. The mean and its one standard error are shown.

Table 5-3. Number of flights during the playback experiment of primary calls recorded from strange and neighbouring territorial males

· ~~

ţ

A. Experiment I (5 males)	
---------------------------	--

	P1:	ayback calls (loca	tion)
Period	Stranger	Neighbour (Normal)	Neighbour (Opposite)
Before playback	0.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.2 + 0.2	0.2 <u>+</u> 0.2
During playback	2.8 <u>+</u> 0.4	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.4	1.8 <u>+</u> 0.2
After playback	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.8 <u>+</u> 0.2

## B. Experiment II (4 males)

	Pla	ayback calls (loca	tion)
Period	Stranger	Neighbour (Normal)	Neighbour (Opposite)
Before playback	0	0	0
During playback	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.9	1.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	1.8 <u>+</u> 0.6
After playback	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	0.8 + 0.6

#### Nearest distance from speaker

In Fig. 5-9, mean nearest distance of test birds from the speaker is shown to compare different playback conditions. In Experiment I, it seems that they approached closer to the speaker when stranger's calls were broadcast than when neighbour's calls were played back at the normal location. However, when the same recording of neighbour's calls was broadcast at the opposite location, the distance from the speaker was as short as that recorded with playback of stranger's calls. Again in Experiment II, it appears that the distance was shorter with the stranger's calls than with the neighbour's calls broadcast at the normal location. However, it does not seem that the location at which neighbour's calls were broadcast had a clear effect upon the distance of test birds from the speaker.

Two-way ANOVA (see Appendix F-3, p. 272) made it clear that there were significant differences in the distance from the speaker among playback conditions in both experiments (p < 0.01). However, there was highly significant evidence of interaction between playback conditions and test birds (p < 0.01). The study of individual means for different playback conditions revealed that Owl 4 in Experiment I and Owl 3 in Experiment II did not somehow approach closer to the speaker which was playing back stranger's calls than the speaker which was broadcasting neighbour's calls at the normal location. Yet they showed positive responses in other measures, i.e. latency and number of call elements. Owl 5 in both experiments and Owl 2 in Experiment II did not shorten the distance from the speaker with playback of neighbour's calls at the opposite location. They, however, responded more quickly at the opposite location than at the normal location.

#### Number of primary and graded call elements

In Fig. 5-10, mean numbers of primary and graded call elements produced during the playback experiment are shown. In both experiments, playback triggered test birds' primary and graded calls. In Experiment I, they appeared to produce more elements during and after playback of stranger's calls than during and after that of neighbour's calls at the normal location. When recordings of neighbour's calls were broadcast at the opposite location, they seemed to produce far more elements than they did at the normal location.



Fig. 5-9. <u>Nearest distance from speaker</u> during the playback experiment of primary calls recorded from strange and neighbouring territorial males. I, neighbour's calls broadcast at the normal location; •, stranger's calls; □, neighbour's calls broadcast at the opposite location; ○, play period.



Fig. 5-10. Number of primary and graded call elements during the playback experiment of primary calls recorded from strange and neighbouring territorial males. ■, neighbour's calls broadcast at the normal location; ●, stranger's calls; □, neighbour's calls broadcast at the opposite location; ○, play period.

Two-way ANOVA (see Appendix F-4, p. 273), however, gave sufficient evidence of interaction between playback conditions and test males over a number of elements during and after playback. Some of the test birds produced elements in different manners with different playback conditions. In Experiment II, the difference between playback conditions was not clear whether it was during or after playback. Test males did not produce significantly more elements to playback of stranger's primary calls.

## Maximum frequency of primary and graded calls

In Fig. 5-11, the mean difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls produced during the playback experiment and the individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls is presented for each playback condition. In Experiment I, the negative difference increased as playback continued and decreased after it ceased. However, there was no apparent neighbour-stranger difference in the pattern of frequency reduction. Two-way ANOVA (see Appendix F-5 a and b, p. 274) showed that there was no significant neighbour-stranger discrimination in the extent of frequency reduction, whereas the study of individual means showed that some of the males decreased frequency lower in response to stranger's calls than to neighbour's calls. From Fig. 5-11, it appears that reduction of frequency might be larger when neighbour's calls were broadcast at the normal location than when they were played back at the However, two-way ANOVA (see Appendix F-5 c and opposite location. d) failed to show statistical significance (p > 0.05). Two males decreased frequency more when neighbour's calls were broadcast at the opposite location than when they were played back at the normal location. In Experiment II, similar insignificant results were obtained.

## Effects upon females

In Experiment I, females did not respond to playback of primary calls of both neighbouring and strange males, except for the mate of male 3. This female approached her mate, while he was still producing primary calls after playback of stranger's calls had ceased. In Experiment II, the mates of males 2 and 4 respectively produced a bout



Fig. 5-11. Difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls during the playback experiment of primary calls recorded from strange and neighbouring territorial males. ■, neighbour's calls broadcast at the normal location; ●, stranger's calls; □, neighbour's calls broadcast at the opporite location; p, play period.

of only eight primary call elements as they joined in their mates' vocal responses after playback of neighbour's calls at the normal location had finished. The mate of male 4 also produced a bout of twelve elements towards the end of playback of stranger's calls and another bout of four elements afterwards. However, no female approached the playback site.

## 5.4.3. Individual recognition by female primary calls

#### Latency of response

In Fig. 5-12, latency of response is compared among different playback conditions. Although the mean latency to playback of female's calls is slightly longer than that of control male's calls, there was no significant difference between sexes in terms of approach within 40 m (A) and production of primary and graded calls (B). It is apparent that there was no clear difference among different types of female calls.

## Number of flights

In Table 5-4, number of flights recorded during the playback experiment of female primary calls with a control of strange male's calls is listed. Although the mean number of flights is slightly lower during playback of female's calls than during that of control male calls, there was no significant sexual difference. There was also no significant difference in the mean numbers among different females' calls (see Appendix G-1, p. 276).

#### Nearest distance from speaker

In Fig. 5-13, temporal patterns of the mean nearest distance of test males from the speaker are presented for the three types of female primary calls and control male stranger's calls. It is clear that males approached the speaker as playback of females' calls began and tended to stay close thereafter, as they did on hearing the control male calls. It appears that they were slower to approach the speaker which broadcast the recording of mate's primary calls than that of the other females' calls and control. Two-way ANOVA (see Appendix G-2, p. 276), however, revealed that there was no significant



Fig. 5-12. <u>Latency of response</u> to playback of female primary calls recorded from a stranger, neighbour, and mate. A, approach within 40 m of the speaker; B, production of primary and graded calls; C, control calls of a male stranger; S, strange female's calls; N, neighbouring female's calls; M, mate's calls.

		Playback	calls	
Period	Strange male (Control)	Strange Female	Neighbouring female	Mate
Before playback	0.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	0.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.4	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.4
During playback	2.8 <u>+</u> 1.0	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.6	1.8 <u>+</u> 0.4	1.8 <u>+</u> 0.5
After playback	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	0.4 <u>+</u> 0.2
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Table 5-4. Number of flights during the playback experiment of female primary calls recorded from different individuals



Fig. 5-13. <u>Nearest distance from speaker</u> during the playback experiment of female primary calls recorded from a stranger, neighbour and mate.  $\bullet$ , control calls of a male stranger; O, strange female's calls;  $\Box$ , neighbouring female's calls;  $\triangle$ , mate's calls;  $\bigcirc$ , play period.

difference among the four playback conditions during the initial two one-minute periods of playback. Yet as far as the nearest distance in the initial two-minute period of playback was concerned, males did approach closer when control calls were broadcast than that of mate's calls (non-parametric Friedman two-way ANOVA:  $x_T^2 = 5.0$ , df = 1, p < 0.05). There was no significant difference among recordings of female primary calls in the initial two-minute period of playback (nonparametric Friedman two-way ANOVA: p > 0.05). In the following experimental periods, there was no apparent intra and inter-sexual difference.

## Number of primary and graded call elements

In Fig. 5-14, temporal patterns of the mean number of primary and graded call elements are shown for the three types of female primary call and control male calls used for playback. It is clear that playback of female calls triggered the vocal response of territorial males as did that of male calls. The number of elements produced during playback of female stranger's calls and that of female neighbour's calls was not significantly different from that of control male calls (p > 0.05, see Appendix G-3a, p. 277). However, there was a significant difference between playback of mate's calls and that of control male calls (p < 0.01).

After playback, males still showed the different levels of call production among playback conditions (p<0.01, see Appendix G-3b). The most extraordinary point was that four out of the five males were hardly vocal after playback of the mate's calls. There was sufficient evidence of interaction between playback conditions and test males (p<0.01), suggesting that types of playback call affected birds differently during the four one-minute periods after playback. In Appendix G-3c, the result of two-way ANOVA applied for the whole fourminute period after playback is given. Territorial males produced significantly more elements after playback of female stranger's calls (p<0.05) and significantly less after playback of mate's calls (p< 0.01) than they did after playback of control male calls. There was no significant difference between playback of female neighbour's calls and that of control male calls (p>0.05). The number of elements after playback of mate's calls was significantly lower than after



Fig. 5-14. Number of primary and graded call elements during the playback experiment of female primary calls recorded from a stranger, neighbour, and mate.  $\bullet$ , control male stranger's calls; O, strange female's calls;  $\Box$ , neighbouring female's calls;  $\Delta$ , mate's calls;  $\bigcirc$ , play period.

playback of the other female calls (p < 0.01).

## Maximum frequency of primary and graded calls

In Fig. 5-15, temporal patterns of the mean difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls are presented for the three types of female primary call and control male calls used for playback. In the control experiments, the negative difference increased during the initial 1.5 minutes of playback and then gradually decreased during and after the rest of playback. Similar patterns were observed in the other experiments using female stranger and female neighbour's calls. Playback of mate's primary calls induced smaller initial reduction and slightly earlier beginning of recovery than that of the other types of call.

The differences in the extent of frequency reduction among these playback conditions are particularly noticeable during the first half of playback. Two-way ANOVA (see Appendix G-4a, p. 278) revealed that a significantly different response was found between different sexes of playback calls (p < 0.01). However, there was no significant difference among playback experiments using female calls (p > 0.05). During the second half of playback there was sufficient evidence for interaction between playback conditions and tested males (p < 0.01, see Appendix G-4b), suggesting that playback conditions did not affect birds in a consistent manner. However, it appears that playback of female stranger's calls induced a similar level of frequency reduction to that of control male calls (p > 0.05). Playback of female neighbour's calls and that of mate's calls induced smaller reduction than that of control male calls (p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 respectively). In response to playback of female stranger's calls, the frequency was reduced as much as that in response to playback of female neighbour's calls (p > 0.05), but it was a greater reduction than that seen in response to playback of mate's calls (p < 0.01). There was no significant difference in frequency reduction between playback of female neighbour's calls and that of mate's calls (p > 0.05).

## Effects upon females

The mates of males 1, 3 and 5 showed low levels of vocal



•, control male stranger's calls; 0, individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls during the playback experiment of female Fig. 5-15. Difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and strange female's calls; □, neighbouring female's calls;  $\Delta$ , mate's calls; p, play period. primary calls recorded from a stranger, neighbour, and mate.

production in the vicinity of the speaker which broadcast control male calls. Two of them also showed a low level of vocal production nearby mainly after playback of strange female's primary calls, while their mates, males 1 and 5, were emitting calls. 'Krrr' (see 2.3.7.) was also heard from both birds during and/or after playback. No female showed noticeable changes in behaviour during the playback experiment of neighbouring female's primary calls. The mate of male 4 did produce up to 118 elements of primary calls after playback of self primary calls, after her mate had already finished vocal response, and she called from afar. Females were busy attending their offspring in most cases.

## 5.4.4. Discussion

## 5.4.4.1. Neighbour-stranger discrimination

If territorial males recognize individuals by their primary calls, their response to neighbours' calls should be different from that shown to strangers' calls. Once territorial relationships are established between males, known neighbours' calls may not impose so much of a threat as strangers' calls. Thus territorial males may respond more aggressively to playback of stranger's calls than that of neighbour's calls. From the earlier observation and playback experiments documented in Chapter 4, it was speculated that territorial males should respond more quickly, approach the speaker more closely, fly more frequently, and produce more elements of primary and graded calls in lower frequency (Hz) as they became more aggressive.

The results of playback experiments (Series I), in which the territorial male's response to playback was compared between neighbour's primary calls and stranger's calls, provide some evidence that male Japanese Brown Hawk Owls are capable of discriminating neighbours from stranger. In Experiment I, which was carried out during the incubation stage, males responded with a stronger intensity to playback of stranger's calls than neighbour's calls, as has previously been demonstrated for several oscine and one non-oscine species (Weedon & Falls 1959; falls 1969; Emlen 1971; Krebs 1971; Harris & Lemon 1972; Goldman 1973; Lemon & Harris 1974; Brooks & Falls

198

- 27

------

1975a; Kroodsma 1976; Jarvi et al. 1977; Wunderle 1978; Falls & McNicholl 1979; Pickstock & Krebs 1980; Falls & d'Agincourt 1981; Searcy et al. 1981; Falls 1982; Yasukawa et al. 1982; Ritchison 1983a). Latency of response and number of flights indicated significant neighbour-stranger discrimination. However, there was some variation in response in terms of nearest distance from the speaker as well as number and maximum frequency of primary and graded call elements. One of the males approached closer to the speaker but did not call more during playback of stranger's calls. The other bird called more elements at lower pitch but did not approach closer to the speaker. Another bird approached the speaker closer and called more elements, but the maximum frequency of calls was not lower. Similarly in Experiment II, which was carried out during the fledgling stage, territorial males showed significant neighbour-stranger discrimination in terms of latency of response. The males responded more quickly to stranger's calls than to neighbour's calls. However, they again showed variable behaviour in terms of the other four measures. It can be seen that demonstrating neighbour-stranger discrimination by using only one of these measures is not feasible (Falls & McNicholl 1979). The introduction of a scaling system using combined measures as described by Emlen (1971) and Emlen et al. (1975) may be more useful in future work. Nevertheless, different behavioural measures should be studied separately as much as possible in order to understand individual variation in response.

In these experiments, responses to neighbour's calls were smaller than to stranger's calls. This may be due to habituation to neighbour's calls (Falls 1969; Petrinovich & Peeke 1973; Armstrong 1973). Neighbours generally concentrate their activity within their territory and do not present an imminent threat to territory owners. Strangers persistently attempt to invade and squeeze in between territories at any time during the breeding season, which imposes an immediate threat to the established territory owners. Therefore it is time and energy-saving to respond to stranger's calls more quickly and aggressively than to neighbour's calls. From the analysis of physical features of individuals' primary calls, individual identity of calls appears to remain throughout the breeding season and may last for life. If based upon long-term memory, then neighbour's

calls will always evoke less response than stranger's calls when the owls re-establish territories after every spring migration. Neighbour-stranger discrimination may be important in a population composed of the same individuals occupying the same site in each year (Falls 1982).

## 5.4.4.2. Recognition of neighbours

Beer (1970) pointed out that discriminative response of territorial males to vocalizations between neighbours and strangers does not necessarily mean that males recognize their neighbours individually. They may merely distinguish familiar vocalizations from unfamiliar ones. In territorial species, like Japanese Brown Hawk Owls, neighbours are located in fixed directions throughout the breeding season, and individual calls may be associated with these It follows that the owner of a territory should respond locations. more aggressively to a neighbour's calls when heard from a different location. The results of playback experiments (Series I), in which the response to neighbour's calls was compared between the normal and opposite locations, support this view. In both experiments, the males responded to playback with a stronger intensity when the speaker was at an opposite location, demonstrating individual recognition of neighbours by both primary calls and location. Falls and Brooks (1975), Wiley and Wiley (1977) and Wunderle (1978) showed this at the opposite side of a territory boundary, while Ritchison (1983a) did so at both the centre and edge of territory. In the present study, the location used was neither the centre nor the boundary but half-way between the centre and boundary. This location is considered to be more sensitive than the boundary in testing response to playback, and invading birds were also seen there. Wiley and Wiley (1977) have cast some doubt upon responses to playback of neighbour's calls at the wrong location as the birds only infrequently and temporarily move to unusual locations. In the present species, however, deep invasion was not necessarily infrequent nor temporary throughout the breeding season. No sooner did an invading neighbour produce primary calls than territorial males responded aggressively. Since there was no significant neighbour-stranger discrimination at the opposite

location, it is concluded that primary calls alone may not be sufficient for recognition of neighbours.

## 5.4.4.3. Speed of recognition

The results of playback experiments in Series I suggest that discrimination occurs quickly. Latency was significantly shorter when stranger's calls were played back than when neighbour's calls were presented. It was also significantly shorter when neighbour's calls were played back at the opposite location than at the normal location. In Experiment I, in particular, the first response in these playback conditions had been made before the first bout of ten - twenty elements was completed. This behavioural evidence supports the physical evidence given earlier that the individuality of primary calls is achieved within the physical features of a small number of primary call elements. In Experiment II, more elements were broadcast before the first response. This may be due to the seasonal decline in territorial aggression which has been discussed earlier. In view of the adaptive significance of recognizing individuals vocally, it seems unlikely that the birds' efficiency of recognition decreases with season.

## 5.4.4.4. Sexual discrimination and mate recognition

If territorial males recognize the sex of the caller by its primary calls, their response to female calls must also be different. The impact which females impose upon the territorial and reproductive strategy of males should vary from that of males. Females of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls play an assisting role in territorial defence and also use primary calls. However, in general, their primary calls appear more in interaction and integration with their mates. It is speculated that territorial males may respond to female primary calls with a weaker intensity than they do to male calls.

Similarly if territorial males recognize their own mates by primary calls, their response to the mate's calls must be different from the response to other females' calls. For territorial males which are well-established and occupied in the care of offspring,

interest in their mates is much more than in other females. Time for new mating with other females has passed, and so territorial males regard the primary calls of a female stranger as much as that of a male stranger. Although territorial males may be habituated to the primary calls of a female neighbour, the unusual location from which they hear them may affect the intensity of response. The mate's primary calls heard at the centre of territory may induce the least aggressive response. There may also be stronger responses to a female stranger's calls than to a female neighbour's calls.

The results of playback experiments in Series II, however, were not straightforward. Sexual and mate discrimination did not emerge in a unified manner with the five behavioural measures. The main source of evidence for male-female discrimination was given by the maximum frequency during the first half of playback. Frequency is considered to encode information about aggressive motivation as discussed in Chapter 4. Less reduction of maximum frequency in response to playback of female calls than that of male calls may mean that female calls are less provocative than male calls.

The best evidence for mate discrimination was provided by the number of call elements. During playback, territorial males produced significantly less calls in response to the mate's calls than to other calls, while there was no discrimination among the latter. After playback, mate discrimination was still observed and also discriminative reduction in calling emerged among the other calls (i.e. mate's calls < female neighbour's calls < female stranger's calls). Maximum frequency also showed significant mate discrimination during the second half of playback. Playback of mate's calls produced the least frequency reduction, and that of female stranger's calls as much as that of control male calls. Finally, neighbour's calls produced less reduction than the latter. More evidence of mate discrimination is given by the nearest distance from the speaker. Territorial males did not approach the speaker broadcasting the mate's calls as closely as they did the speaker broadcasting control male calls and also the other female calls.

In these experiments, latency of response and number of flights showed no discrimination, in spite of speculation that territorial males should respond slower and fly less often when female calls were

broadcast. Since test males tended to stay near the nest site in the centre of territory, they were already situated close to the speaker. Short distance might have obscured differences in latency and number of flights among playback conditions. In the experiments of Series I, where the initial distance between the males and speaker was long, clear differences were found in these two measures.

Why did males produce a lower number of call elements in response to the mate's calls? In vocal interaction between mates, it is very unusual that females initiate calling and produce their primary calls as insistently as presented in the playback experiment. It is also unusual for females to call in this way in the late nestling and early fledgling stage, when they are busy caring for young. Territorial males may well be puzzled by such an unusual vocal activity. It is remarkable that most of the males stopped calling immediately after playback of their mate's calls had finished. This suggests a nonaggressive nature of the males' response, and must be understood in the context of interactions with the mate. It is unlikely that the presence of the mate had an effect upon the males' response. No females produced their primary calls during playback, so there was no chance for territorial males to listen to and compare playback and live calls.

In view of the number of call elements, the nature of territorial males' response to playback of other females' calls is similar to the responses to other males' calls. It is evidently territorial. Not only does this suggest that territorial information is encoded in the number of call elements, but also that female primary calls can function as a territorial signal.

Males of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls are clearly capable of discriminating sexes and recognizing their own mates by listening to the females' primary calls. Several factors might encourage this vocal recognition to develop. Firstly, the owls are morphologically similar between sexes. Secondly, they are crepuscular and nocturnal, and live in a habitat where vision is restricted by the dense vegetation. Thirdly, they are long-lived and form the pair-bond for life.

## 5.4.4.5. Female responses

The observed intensity and manner of females' responses did not suggest that there was any strong influence upon the territorial male's response to playback. In species where females also respond to primary calls or songs, it is helpful to control female response in order to examine the territorial males' response. This was achieved by choosing incubation, late nestling and fledgling stages for the playback experiment. Female Japanese Brown Hawk Owls showed fairly vigorous responses during some of the pre-incubation stage. Petrinovich <u>et al</u>. (1976) also reported that the female's aggressive response to playback depends upon the breeding cycle. He believed that responsive females are in the process of nest-building or egglaying. In this species, there was no sign of female responses specially aimed at conspecific females as reported by Beletsky (1983b).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present study was pursued under the supervision of Dr C.K. Catchpole of the University of London. The study was financed by a Queensland International Fellowship from the Australian Federation of University Women, a travel grant from the International Federation of University Women and a research grant from the British Ornithologists' Union during the academic year of 1980-1981. These were a great help. I also would like to express my appreciation to my parents for further financial assistance before and after my tenure as an IFUW Fellow. I am grateful to Bedford College for the loan of their recording equipment and for providing me with necessary materials.

The work was in two parts. While I was doing the field work in Japan, I was granted an Apprentice Research Fellowship by the International Christian University, Mitaka, Tokyo. I am grateful for the support I enjoyed in the Department of Biology and the loan of their photometer. My thanks are due to Dr H. Hirata of the Kagawa Nutrition College for the loan of their Noctovision system. I owe thanks to Dr H. Higuchi of Tokyo University, who kindly provided me with not only accommodation at Miyake-jima Island but also invaluable advice concerning the field work and ornithological views. I thank Mr H. Momose for his assistance in the early field work and preparation of the playback system. The field work would have been impossible without the understanding and tolerance of local residents and my gratitude to Mr Matsu-ura, the Iwamure, Mr and Mrs Ishiwata, Mr and Mrs Sakuma, the Muto and people of the Fuboku Hall.

Analysis and writing up of the results was carried out mainly at the Department of Zoology of Bedford College in Regent's Park, London. I owe thanks to Professor R.P. Dales and my supervisor for making facilities available to me. I appreciate the technical assistance of Mr D.J. Field and Mr T. Butler. I thank Dr S.K. Alibhai for his advice in statistics. My thanks are due to all the staff and research students for their concern and encouragement.

I was helped by a number of librarians during the reference work. I particularly owe thanks to those in charge of inter-library loans

at Bedford College and those of the Science Reference Library (the British Library) at Aldwich. I am grateful to Dr J.R. Krebs of Edward Grey Institute of Field Ornithology for his permission to use their real-time spectrum analyser, and also to Dr P.K. McGregor for his assistance. Mr R.H. Kettle of the British Library National Sound Archive and Dr J.T. Marshall Jr of Walter Reed Army Institute of Research provided me with invaluable recordings and information. I am indebted to Dr T.B. Poole who initially encouraged the study of vocalizations at the Department of Zoology, University of Wales, Aberystwyth.

In preparation of the thesis, Cliff, Brian and many others taught me better English. Although the use of the word-processor had to be given up, Ann did her best. I appreciate the sincere help given by Caroline, who spared her precious time attempting to sort out the problems in word-processing and did work hard for me under intense pressure of time. OEM showed great sympathy to offer me free access to their machine. Eventually, Clare and Gilbert beautifully typed up the bulk in time for the deadline. Manoj and Yunus let me play with their photocopiers.

I am grateful to St Cuthbert's Church of Wood Green, in particular members of the home meeting at Woodside Road, for their prayerful support. Jim and Joyce were wonderful to provide me with peaceful accommodation during the final stage of preparation. I appreciate the care and encouragement shown by my friends, particularly Cara, Clem, Dave, Rachel, Christopher, Mei, Haruko, Shigeo and Hajime.

Finally, my parents sympathized with winged ones and exhorted their own wingless one to a new height. My sister complained of the noises, but after all she longs to preserve them in Ikego Hills, Zushi.

# Part A. <u>Physical Structure of Vocalizations of the Japanese Brown</u> Hawk Owl

For temporal features, the mean and one standard error (SE) are given in the unit of ms, and the coefficient of variation (CV) is provided in percentages. For frequency features, the mean, one standard error, and standard deviation (S) are given in the unit of Hz. Harmonic patterns are indicated by the mean strength of each harmonic. For the scoring method, see 2.2.6. For types of frequency modulation, the number of occurrences in a sample is shown. See Table 2-1 (p. 31) for categories of frequency modulation. (A), part A; (B), part B; x, absent; xx, few samples.

	Dura	tion (	(A)	Durat	cion (	B)	Short	inter	val	Long	inter	val
Individual	Mean	SE	сv	Mean	SE	cv	Mean	SE	CV	Mean	SE	CV
Male 1	98	9	22.0	198	ო	5.2	347	4	3.0	580	45	15.7
N	65	ო	14.3	238	9	2.4	357	<b>က</b>	2.3	640	ω	2.6
۲ ۲	105	7	20.9	237	N	ю. Э.	423	ω	4.6	565	19	6.7
4	47	9	43.4	217	ო	5.3	337	ო	2.4	625	61	19.6
വ	75	. Q	23.6	217	ო	5.3	343	ო	.2.4	850	86	20.2
9	62	9	28.2	207	ო	4.8	303	9	5.0	523	13	6.1
7	73	9	28.2	202	N	2.9	367	7	4.5	670	47	13.9
ω	64	2	33.9	205	ო	4.4	357	9	4.2	620	36	11.5
11	×			245	9	7.9	367	4	4.2	×		
Female 1	xx			177	2	13.5	383	10	6.1	596	21	8.0
N	xx			193	ო	5.1	448	ω	4.0	1000	102	22.7
ო	xx			212	ო	4.9	373	18	12.1	532	39	16.5
4	69	ω	39.6	235	9	8.2	420	12	6.7	780	47	12.0
വ	11	ω.	38.6	223	N	3.5	353	4	2.9	625	30	9.6
Q	xx			208	9	9.6	370	4	3.0	596	23	8.7
Juvenile 1	50	10	28.3	180	10	17.8	392	15	8.5	980	53	<b>6</b> .3
7	40	9	25.0	200	5	6.3	467 '	18	6.5	850	10	1.7

A-1. 'K.hoo' (the primary call): temporal features

.

	Maxi	mum (A	()	iniM	י) שחש	A )	ľaxi	imum (J	3)	iniM	mum.	3)
Individual	Mean	SE	ß	Mean	SE	S	Mean	SE	ß	Mean	SE	S
Male 1	319	ω	29	248	വ	16	712	4	13	505	4	12
N	456	വ	45	334	6	30	742	9	22	493	12	40
ო	384	12	34	294	വ	15	649	ω	26	498	ო	10
4	396	12	33	333	19	62	693	9	22	550	ო	10
បា	503	ю	Ø	450	11	32	772	വ	18	618	ω	28
Q	327	С,	80	292	4	14	695	9	19	458	13	44
7	400	ω	20	387	20	66	714	თ	29	542	13	45
ω	500	0	0	476	ი	29	745	Ю	б	638	10	34
11	×			×			633	ω	29	507	n	10
Female 1	xx			xx			. 743	9	21	633	11	37
2	xx			хх			733	12	41	646	12	43
n	xx			xx			837	10	34	673	വ	17
4	564	ω	26	458	20	65	777	7	25	606	თ	33
Ð	520	0	0	439	18	58	755	7	15	591	12	41
Q	xx			хх			663	11	40	552	വ	18
Juvenile 1	×			×			816	16	49	689	17	53
2	630	15	26	587	7	12	1063	15	37	720	17	41

 $\sim$ 

A-2. 'K.hoo' (the primary call): frequency features

				Harmonics		
Individu	ual	2Н	ЗН	4H	5H	6H
Male	1	0.5	3.8***	0.2	2.8*	0
	2	2.2	5.0***	1.1	3.5**	0
	3	0	2.5*	0	0	0
	4	0	4.9***	0	2.6*	0
	5	0.4	4.8***	0.7	1.8	0
	6	0	5.0***	0	3.0***	0
	7	0	2.8**	0	0	0
	8	1.7	4.4**	0.1	2.2	0
	11	1.8	0.2	0	0	0
Female	1	0	0	0	0	0
	2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0
	3	1.1	2.8	0	0	0
	4	1.3	4.3**	0	3.1*	0
	5	3.5***	1.5	0.8	0	.0
	6	0	0	0	0	0

A-3. 'K.hoo' (the primary call): harmonic patterns of part B, 'hoo'

~~

Note: Binomial test (\*, p<0.025; \*\*, p<0.01; \*\*\*, p<0.001),

.

n = 12.

1

.

A-4. 'K.hoo' (the primary call): types of frequency modulation

,

Individual   A   F   D   DA   FDA   FDA   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   A   F   F   A			Type	s of par	t A				Type	s of par	t B	
	Individua	L A	ţ	Q	DA	FDA	ы	A & AF	AF	D & AD	ŀ	DF & D
Male   1   2   6   0   3   1   0   7   5   1     2   1   0   0   1   1   0   0   7   5   1   0   7   5   1									н	U	ы	
2 1 0 0 10*** 0 0 1 3 1   3 3 1 0 0 1 0 0 3 1   3 3 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 3 3 1   4 0 4 0 1 <td< td=""><td>Male</td><td>۲ ۲</td><td>9</td><td>0</td><td>ო</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>ى ب</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></td<>	Male	۲ ۲	9	0	ო	1	0	0	7	ى ب	0	0
		1	0	0	10***	0	0	0	*8	ო	Ч	0
4 0 4 0 1		3	1	0	9	1	0	0	* * 0	ო	0	0
5 1	•	4	4	0	7	0	0	0	12***	0	0	0
6 2 6 1 0 0 1		5 1	Ч	-1	ß	0	0	0	0	12***	0	0
7 2 7 0 1 0		9 5	9	Ч	0	0	0	0	0	12***	0	0
8 6 2 0 3 2 0 3 2 0 7**   11 x x x x x x 0 1 5 0 7**   11 x x x x x x 0 0 3 2 0 7**   11 x x x x x x x 0 0 1 0 0 1** 0	-	7 2	7*	0	ч	0	0	0	ო	6	0	0
11 X X X X X X   1 X X X X X X X   1 X X X X X X X X   1 X<		3	N	0	ო	0	0	ო	N	0	****	0
Female 1 × <td>н Н</td> <td>1 × .</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>0</td> <td>, L</td> <td>ں. ک</td> <td>9</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	н Н	1 × .	×	×	×	×	0	, L	ں. ک	9	0	0
7 4 0 0 0 1 1   1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1   1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1   1 </td <td>Female</td> <td>L x</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td>Ļ</td> <td>* * 0</td> <td>N</td> <td>н</td> <td>N</td> <td>۲-1</td>	Female	L x	×	×	×	×	Ļ	* * 0	N	н	N	۲-1
3 × ×   3 × ×   4 4 ×   5 4 1   5 3 ×   5 4 0   5 4 0   5 4 0   5 5 0   5 5 0   5 5 0   5 5 5   6 5 5   6 5 5   6 5 6   7 5 6   6 5 6   7 5 6   6 5 6   7 5 6   7 6 7   7 6 7   7 7 7		×	×	×	×	×	0	****0	N	, <del>Li</del>	0	0
4 0 2 0 4 0   5 7*** 4 0 0 4 0   5 7*** 4 0 0 2 4 0   5 7** 4 0 0 2 4 0   6 x x x x x 1		x	×	×	×	×	0	0	1	8	ო	0
5 7*** 4 0 0 0 0 2 7 3 0 6 x x x x 0 1 0 7 7**	-	4 0	N	0	* の	0	0	0	4	ω	0	0
6 X X X Y Y 0 1 0 7 7**	~	5 7***	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	m	0	0
		×	×	×	×	×	0	-1	0	7	**	0

~~~

| Indiv | idual | Md | Range  | sk   | n   |
|-------|-------|----|--------|------|-----|
| Male  | 1     | 6  | 2 - 60 | 1.04 | 88  |
|       | 2     | 8  | 2 - 24 | 0.88 | 163 |
|       | 9     | 8  | 1 - 22 | 0.40 | 54  |
|       | 10    | 8  | 2 - 24 | 0.82 | 42  |

A-5. 'K.hoo' (the primary call): number of elements in a bout

Note: Skewness (sk) was obtained using the following formula:-

$$sk = \frac{3 (M - Md)}{S}$$

.

where M = mean, Md = median, and S = standard deviation (Mills 1965).

.

| features |
|----------|
| temporal |
| 'Hoh'    |
| A-6.     |

|            | Dure | ation | (A)  | Dura | ation | (B)  | I    | nterva |      |
|------------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|--------|------|
| Individual | Mean | SE    | CV   | Mean | SE    | CV   | Mean | SE     | CV   |
| Male 1     | ×    |       |      | 198  | N     | 0.1  | 408  | 7      | 7.5  |
| 80         | 27   | 7     | 43.3 | 252  | 2     | 12.2 | 447  | 80     | 7.1  |
| G          | 127  | 26    | 50.6 | 246  | 17    | 20.9 | 509  | 57     | 31.9 |
| 10         | ×    |       |      | 147  | 15    | 25.4 | 403  | 9      | 3.7  |
| 11         | ×    |       |      | 220  | 6     | 10.0 | 456  | 10     | 4.8  |
| 12         | ×    |       |      | 87   | 13    | 26.6 | 540  | 101    | 32,3 |
| 13         | 157  | 27    | 41.5 | 190  | 12    | 24.3 | 501  | 13     | 9.7  |
| Female 1   | 134  | 10    | 28.3 | 211  | 7     | 12.4 | 409  | ω      | 6.8  |
| ε          | ×    |       |      | 160  | 13    | 17.7 | 440  | 18     | 8°3  |
| 4          | ×    |       |      | 178  | 17    | 27.2 | 480  | 38     | 20.8 |
| വ          | ×    |       |      | 200  | 12    | 10.0 | 560  | 140    | 35.4 |
| Q          | ×    |       |      | 130  | 17    | 26.6 | 440  | 20     | 6.4  |

|            | Maxi | , mum | (4)         | A       | linimum                                                                                          | (   |    | Maxim | um (E |        | Minin      | um (B  | ~        |
|------------|------|-------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|-------|-------|--------|------------|--------|----------|
| Individual | Mean | SE    | വ           | Mea     | n SE                                                                                             | (-1 | S  | Mean  | SE    | o<br>م | Mean       | SE     | N        |
| Male 1     | ×    |       |             |         | ×                                                                                                |     |    | VE9   | -     | 31     | 530        | г      | 5        |
| ω          | 415  | ŋ     | 25          | с.<br>С | 1<br>7<br>7                                                                                      | -   | 75 |       | . (î  | 14     | 2000<br>月1 | . u    | н с<br>1 |
| ი<br>ე     | 420  | 40    | 0<br>0<br>0 | 50 60   | 3<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>5<br>7<br>5<br>7<br>5<br>7<br>7<br>5<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7<br>7 |     | 60 | 549   | 0 00  | 27     | 388        | о<br>С | 76       |
| 10         | ×    |       |             |         | ×                                                                                                |     | -  | 477   | 10    | 23     | 450        | ~ ~    | 17       |
| 11         | ×    |       |             |         | ×                                                                                                |     |    | 480   | თ     | 22     | 443        | 9      | 15       |
| 12         | . *  |       |             |         | ×                                                                                                |     |    | 467   | 7     | 12     | 427        | 27     | 46       |
| 13         | 307  | 11    | 27          | 28      | 3 13                                                                                             | ~   | 33 | 486   | ю     | 12     | 449        | 7      | 29       |
|            |      |       |             |         |                                                                                                  |     |    |       |       | ·      |            |        |          |
| Female 1   | 329  | ო     | 12          | 25      | 0<br>7                                                                                           | +   | LS | 519   | വ     | 19     | 451        | 9      | 24       |
| n          | ×    |       |             | ·       | ×                                                                                                |     |    | 552   | 12    | 27     | 544        | 15     | 33       |
| 4          | ×    |       |             |         | ×                                                                                                |     |    | 584   | 13    | 37     | 523        | 15     | 42       |
| ى<br>ك     | ×    |       |             |         | ×                                                                                                |     |    | 553   | 2     | 12     | 487        | 7      | 12       |
| 9          | ×    |       |             |         | ×                                                                                                |     |    | 530   | 17    | 35     | 490        | 19     | 38       |
|            |      |       |             |         |                                                                                                  |     |    |       |       |        |            |        |          |

A-7. 'Hoh': frequency features
|         |     |   |   | _  |               |            | Type    | es  | · |   |
|---------|-----|---|---|----|---------------|------------|---------|-----|---|---|
| Individ | ual | A | F | AF | $\frac{A}{R}$ | AFD &<br>C | AD<br>L | FD  | D | 0 |
| Male    | 1   | 0 | 0 | 2  | 7             | 7          | 3       | 1   | 0 | 0 |
|         | 8   | 0 | 0 | 1  | 0             | 6          | 12      | ĺ   | 0 | 0 |
|         | 9   | 1 | 0 | 1  | 2             | 2          | 3       | 0   | 0 | 0 |
|         | 10  | 1 | 0 | 2  | 0             | 0          | 2       | 0   | 0 | 0 |
|         | 11  | 0 | 0 | 1  | 0             | 1          | 1       | 2   | 1 | 0 |
|         | 12  | 0 | 0 | 2  | 0             | 1          | 0       | 0   | 0 | 0 |
|         | 13  | 0 | 5 | 1  | 0             | 1          | 7       | 0   | 2 | 0 |
| Female  | 1   | 0 | 0 | 5  | 0             | 4          | 5       | · 1 | 0 | 0 |
|         | З   | 0 | 0 | -  | 0             | 1          | 0       | 0   | 3 | 1 |
|         | 4   | 0 | 0 | -  | 0             | 6          | 2       | 0   | 0 | 0 |
|         | 5   | 0 | 0 | -  | 0             | 0          | 1       | 1   | 1 | 0 |
|         | 6   | 1 | 0 | 2  | 0             | 0          | 0       | 0   | 1 | 0 |

A-8. 'Hoh': types of frequency modulation of part B

.

CΛ 2.8 6.0 5.2 7.0 Ŋ 25 Maximum (B) Interval 15 15 SЕ 10 σ ဖ SE 445 Mean 412 453 427 Mean 503 . 21.0 14.8 22.1 22.7 СV Ŋ Duration (B) Minimum (A) 14 12 SE ω 9 SE Mean 248 229 Mean 173 187 × 12.8 СV ഗ Duration (A) Maximum (A) വ SE A-10. 'Guf': frequency features SE 'Guf': temporal features Mean Mean 145 × × × × Individual 10 11 Ч Ч Individual Ч Female Male A-9. Male

S 25 12 29 30 Minimum (B) SЕ ဖ ო 9 ω Mean 409 392 416 347 15 37 32 ო ω თ 568 448 482 38 11 225 × × 54 13 318 × × 10 11 Ч Female

~~~

							Туре	5			
Individ	ual	-	A	F	AF	AF R	D & 1 C	AD L	FD	D	0
Male	1		0	1	0	0	0	14	5	0	0
	10		0	З	4	0	2	7	0	2	2
	11		1	1	4	0	2	4	4	4	0
Female	1		0	0	0	1	5	5	0	0	2

.

.

.

A-11. 'Guf': types of frequency modulation of part B

A-12. 'Oo.coo': temporal features

	Jun	ation	(Y)	Dura	ation	(B)	In	lterva.	
Individual	Mean	SE	CV	Mean	SE	CV	Mean	SE	сv
Male 1	198	12	18.5	179	4	10.6	469	10	8.7
e	276	13	10.9	287	11	9.5	532	.12	5.0
8	180	വ	10.8	245	N	4.5	402	ო	3.4
თ	158	13	27.0	194	വ	12.6	507	23	19.0
10		თ	29.1	189	თ	21.8	328	ი	11.6
12	160	20	47.2	185	2	16.8	446	ω	8.1
14	154	11	22.1	241	9	11.6	369	2	8.7
Ношојо -		, ,	ר ק ס	0 1	ſ	0	200 1	u C	
	10T			00T	•	0.11	100	20	C.UZ
n	×			229	16	21.5	250	15	16.6
					•				

~\_\_\_

.

A-13. 'Oo.coo': frequency features

	Maxin	num (A	C	Minir	חעד ( <i>א</i>		Maxi	mum (E	3)	Mini	mum (1	3)
Individual	Mean	СE CE	N N	Mean	SE	S I	Mean	SE	N	Mean	SE	N N
Male 1	254	4	13	181	16	49	507	4	18	415	12	53
ო	286	4	ი	234	4	<b>6</b>	496	4	თ	410	7	17
ω	407	വ	22	259	10	42	502	4	16	402	വ	, 21
თ	428	ω	21	316	32	96	529	თ	40	480	7	32
10	369	14	54	332	14	56	481	9	28	437	8	34
12	288	ω	33	265	4	15	509	4	19	452	വ	23
14	238	വ	17	224	വ	17	348	വ	22	324	4	18
Female 1	327	11	35	261	വ	15	498	7	30	451	വ	22
σ	×			×			593	ო	10	556	ი	28

A-14. 'Oo.coo': types of frequency modulation

	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ч	0	0
	Q	7	0	0	0	0	Ч	2	4	0
	FD	4	0	0	Ч	0	N	Ч	0	0
part B	Г  D	ω	9	15	ო	9	വ	12	9	0
es of	FD & A	0	0	വ	14	ω	10	0	വ	0
Typ	R	0	0	0	0	Ч	0	ო	Ч	0
	AF	0	0	0	Ч	4	Ч	0	Ч	0
	ĹŦ4	Ч	0	0	Н	0	Ч	0	N	н
	A	0	0	0	0	Ч	0	Ч	0	ω
	o	0	Ö	0	N	0	Ч	0	0	×
	DFA & DA	0	0	0	Ч	0	ц.	0	0	×
part A	AFD & AD	0	0	0	0	ო	1	2	N	×
pes of	Q	0	വ	18	4	10	9	ო	9	×
Tyı	Έų	4	0	0	N	.4	ო	9	N	×
	A	0	0	0	0	0	N	0	0	×
	lual		ю	80	თ	10	12	14	Ч	ო
	Indiviđ	Male							Female	

		Du	ration	1	In	terva	L
Individ	Jal	Mean	SE	CV	Mean	SE	CV
Female	2	194	7	16.8	456	28	26.0
	7	204	7	14.4	344	17	21.6

## A-15. 'Poo': temporal features

### A-16. 'Poo': frequency features

		М	aximur	n	M	inimum	
Individ	ual	Mean	SE	S	Mean	SE	S
Female	2	566	4	9	512	4	20
	7	517	4	18	503	2	10

## A-17. 'Poo': types of frequency modulation

						Types				
Individ	ual	A	F	AF	$\frac{AF}{R}$	D & A C	D L	FD	D	0
Female	2	8	0	9	1	2	0	0	0	0
	7	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
							~			

A-18. 'Hyu': temporal features

		ING	atio	ц	Short	t inte	rval	Long	inter	val
Individual	Mea	ue	SE	CV	Mean	SE	CV	Mean	SE	CV
Male 1	17	74	13	25.0	387	12	7.8	580	64	19.2
80	17	77	വ	11.2	423	26	14.8	630	24	7.6
11	20	04 0	18	20.1	390	30	10.9	560	I	I
12	17	70	ω	16.3	327	11	8.4	733	53	12.6
13	. 15	54	7	20.3	422	16	11.2	818	92	33.7
15	10	80	ი	46.6	345	19	9.4	657	192	41.3
Female 2	10	08	თ	17.6	210	20	13.5	340	I	I
വ	17	78	თ	18.2	417	10	5.6	740	36	9.6
7	13	33	9	12.1	418	б	3.7	815	196	41.7

Note: There was only one sample of the long interval for male 11 and female 2 each.

·.....

		Ma	ximum		Mi	inimum	
Individ	ual	Mean	SE	S	Mean	SE	S
Male	1	525	10	36	464	9	28
	8	580	14	50	517	11	38
	11	528	5	11	476	4	9
	12	661	15	53	584	15	52
	13	576	9	40	533	11	49
	15	563	6	15	523	3	8
Female	2	700	20	41	588	13	25
	5	633	13	45	548	11	37
	7	xxx			xxx		
		<u></u>			 		

## A-19. 'Hyu': frequency features

Note: xxx, absence of the fundamental frequency

				Harmo	nics	
Individ	ual	2H	ЗН	4H	5H	6H
Male	1	0.4	1.3	0.8	0.3	0.1
	8	0.5	2.9	1.9	0	0
	11	0.2	4.0	2.4	0	0
	12	2.5	2.1	0	0	0
	13	0.7	3.3	3.6	0	0
	15	0	0	0.3	0.5	0
Female	2	1.0	1.5	3.3	0	0
	5	2.5	4.7	3.2	0.8	0.3
	7	0.9	3.4	3.3	0	0

~\_\_\_\_

## A-20. 'Hyu': harmonic patterns

						Ту	rpes			
Individ	ual	Ā	F	AF	R	AFD & C	AD L	FD	D	0
Male	1	0	0	4	0	3	2	1	0	0
	8	0	0	6	2	4	0	1	0	0
	11	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0
	12	0	0	1	1	9	0	0	0	0
	13	0	2	8	3	7	0	0	0	0
	15	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Female	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
	5	0	0	2	5	5	0	0	0	0
	7	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	0

A-21. 'Hyu': types of frequency modulation

A-22. 'Krrr': duration and frequency

.

	Du	ratio	c		、	Frequen	cy		
				Ma	ximum		Mî	nimum	
Individual	Mean	SE	CV	Mean	SE	o ۲	Mean	SE	N
Male 1	995	65	9.2	580	20	58	570	50	28
Female 1	1456	104	21.6	542	ω	23	467	7	20
4.	1040	40	5.4	600	0	0	540	40	57
ω	986	98	19.8	594	4	ω	540	ω	15
σ	1047	124	20.4	560	12	- 50	560	12	20
Unidentified sex	. 937	100	28.3	587	11	32	530	14	42
	1160	74	21.2	509	15	49	418	23	75

225

## PART B. Behavioural Contexts of Vocalizations of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl

Percentages of occurrences of observed behaviour are listed for the three phases of vocal occasions: PR, preceding phase; SI, simultaneous phase; FO, following phase. The result is shown for total individuals (T), males (M) and females (F). Occurrences between zero and one percentage are indicated by " $\emptyset$ ". Those with a probability level of less than 0.05 (binominal test) are underlined. Sample numbers are as follows:-

for 'k.hoo'

		Sexes	
Phase	T	М	F
PR	446	258	8
SI	612	313	13
FO	522	285	10

for 'hoh'

		Sexes	
Phase	Т	М	F
PR	140	65	23
SI	206	73	28
FO	157	64	25

for	'hyu	

		Sexes (1976-1978)	
Phase	Т	Μ	F
PR	33	9	11
SI	47	9	10
FO	40	9	10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Sexes (1979-1981)	
Phase	Т	М	F
PR	41	35	6
SI	41	35	6
FO	41	35	6

for 'krrr'

		Sexes	
Phase	Т	М	F
PR	346	103	139
SI	381	106	144
FO	374	103	149

.

Behaviour codes used for the analysis of the context of vocalizations are listed below:-

со	Confront rivals
AT	Attack rivals
RET	Retreat from rivals
0(v)	Neighbouring territorial males or rivals produced vocalization, v
AO	Perch within 20 m from the mate
Al	Perch within 1 m from the mate
A2	Feed the mate
A3a	Mount
A3b	Copulate
A4	Preen the mate
A5	Approach the stationary mate
A6	Approach the flying mate
A7	Fly with the mate
8A	Fly away from the mate
AE	Chase the mate with physical contacts
M(v)	The mate produced vocalization, v
N7.5	The la farmed the next
Nl	Fly to/around the nest
N2	Enter the nest
NЗ	Stay in the nest
N4	Stay near the nest
N5	Leave the nest
m	A postfixed symbol for N1-N5 to indicate that the mate is in the nest

- FLY Fly to a fledgling
- SY Stay with a fledgling
- DY Fly away from a fledgling
- FY Feed a fledgling
- FP Fly to the parent
- HM Juveniles bobbed their heads
- YFD Juveniles were near or on the ground and were approached by a potential enemy
- J(v) Juveniles produced vocalization, v

Н	Hunt
HF	Hold food
PF	Prepare food
Е	Eat .
PRE	Preen
R	Rest with the eyes closed
Р	Perch with the eyes open
L	Look around
AL	Alert
F	Fly and jump
-	A prefixed symbol for the behaviour codes listed above to indicate non-caller's behaviour

		PR			SI				FO	
Behaviour	Т	М	F	 T	М	F		Т	М	F
СО	1	2	0	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	0		ø	ø	0
AT	ø	Ø	0	0	0	0		1	2	0
RET	Ø	1	0	0	0	Ō		0	0	0
0(k.hoo)	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	0	<u>18</u>	26	8		<u>6</u>	<u>10</u>	0
AO	13	10	38	17	13	46	·	9	8	20
Al	6	7	13	6	8	8		5	6	10
A2	Ø	Ø	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
A5	3	5	13	1	1	. 0		3	4	10
-A5	1	Ø	0	Ø	Ø	0		4	З	0
A6	Ø	Ø	0	0	0	0		Ø	Ø	0
-A6	0	0	0	0	0	0		Ø	0	0
Α7	1	Ø	0	0	0	0		2	1	0
A8	1	1	0	Ø	0	0		2	2	10
AE	Ø	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
M(k.hoo)	4	0	13	15	4	<u>77</u>		3	1	0
Nl	4	3	0	2	1	0		3	3	10
N2	ø	Ø	0	Ø	Ø	0		1	Ø	0
N3	Ø	Ø	0	1	1	0		Ø	Ø	0
N4	12	7	13	21	13	23		11	8	20
N5	1	0	0	0	· 0	0		1	1	0
Nlm	2	3	0	Ø	ø	0		1	1	0
N2m	0	0	0	Ø	Ø	0		0	0	0
NЗm	Ø	Ø	0	Ø	Ø	0		0	0	0
N4m	5	5	0	4	7	0		4	5	0
N5m	ø	Ø	0	0	0	0		0	0	0

~

### B-1. Behavioural contexts of 'k, hoo'

.

### **B-1** (continued)

		PR				SI		FO				
Behaviour	Т	М	F		T	М	F		T	M	F	
FLY	ø	0	0		0	0	0		ø	0	0	
SY	ø	0	0		1	0	Ö		1	0	0	
DY	0	0	0		0	0	0		Ø	0	0	
FY	Ø	0	0		0	0	0		ø	0	0	
FP	0	0	0		0	0	0		1	0	0	
НМ	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	
J(leee & gililili)	Ø	0	0		2	0	· 0		5	0	0	
Н	3	2	13		0	0	0		2	3	0	
HF	Ø	0	0		1	1	0		1	0	0	
PF	0	0	0		0	0	0		Ø	Ø	0	
E	1	1	13		Ø	Ø	0		1	1	0	
PRE	1	1	0		ø	Ø	0		Ø	Ø	0	
Р	24	31	0	-	<u>33</u>	39	23		<u>11</u>	8	30	
L	5	<u>6</u>	0		4	<u>5</u>	0		<u>5</u>	6	0	
F	21	<u>19</u>	13		2	Ø	0		<u>24</u>	<u>22</u>	10	

		PR				SI			FO	
Behaviour	T	М	F		T	М	F	——– Т	M	F
AO	15	20	17		<u>33</u>	33	36	 21	22	
Al	6	6	4		<u>21</u>	8	<u>39</u>	<u>15</u>	8	12
A2	0	0	0		1	1	4	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	0
A3a	0	0	0		0	0	0	<u>3</u>	5	8
A5	22	31	13		2	5	0	5	9	4
-A5	<u>9</u>	3	<u>30</u>		0	0	0	3	З	4
A6	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	2	0
-A6	1	0	4		0	0	0	1	0	0
A7	3	2	4		0	0	0	3	2	4
A8	2	2	4		2	4	0	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>20</u>
AE	3	0	5		0	0	0	1	0	0
M(k.hoo)	4	3	4		<u>33</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>61</u>	3	2	0
Nl	22	25	13		0	0	0	2	5	0
N2	1	0	0		<u>6</u>	7	0	4	3	8
N3	1	2	0		2	3	0	<u>3</u>	6	0
N4	20	32	0		44	<u>49</u>	14	20	27	4
N5	4	З	0		1	З	0	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	0
Nlm	<u>7</u>	<u>14</u>	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
N2m	1	0	0		1	4	0	3	5	0
NЗm	1	2	0		1	З	0	2	5	0
N4m	6	<u>12</u>	0		7	14	0	6	13	0
N5m	1	2	0		1	3	0	3	6	0
SY	1	0	4		1	0	4	0	0	0
FP	1	0	0	·	0	0	0	1	0	0
J(lee & gililili)	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	0	0

~~~

## B-2. Behavioural contexts of 'hoh'

## **B-2** (continued)

|           |   | PR |   |   | SI |   |   | FO |   |  |  |
|-----------|---|----|---|---|----|---|---|----|---|--|--|
| Behaviour | T | М  | F | Т | М  | F | T | М  | F |  |  |
| Н         | 2 | 3  | 0 | ø | 1  | 0 | 1 | 2  | 0 |  |  |
| HF        | 5 | 6  | 0 | 5 | 8  | Ö | 3 | 3  | 4 |  |  |
| -HF       | 1 | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 |  |  |
| PF        | 1 | 3  | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 |  |  |
| E         | 1 | 2  | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 2 | 3  | 0 |  |  |
| PRE       | 0 | 0  | 0 | Ø | 1  | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 |  |  |
| R         | 0 | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 1 | 2  | 0 |  |  |
| Р         | 2 | 0  | 4 | 3 | 1  | 4 | 4 | З  | 4 |  |  |
| L         | 0 | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0 | 1 | 2  | 0 |  |  |
| F         | 6 | 3  | 0 | Ø | 1  | 0 | 8 | 9  | 4 |  |  |

|                       |           | PR        |    |           | SI        |    |           | FO        |    |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|-----------|----|
| Behaviour             | T         | М         | F  | T         | М         | F  | <br>T     | М         | F  |
| RET                   | 3         | 11        | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  |
| O(k.hoo)              | 0         | 0         | 0  | 6         | 11        | 0  | 3         | 11        | 0  |
| AO                    | 6         | 11        | 0  | 15        | 33        | 0  | 13        | 33        | 0  |
| Al                    | З         | 0         | 0  | 4         | 0         | 10 | 3         | 0         | 0  |
| A5                    | 12        | 22        | 9  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  |
| -A5                   | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 3         | 11        | 0  |
| A6                    | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 3         | 0         | 0  |
| A7                    | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 5         | 0         | 10 |
| M(k.hoo)              | 3         | 0         | 10 | 13        | 0         | 10 | 3         | 0         | 0  |
| Nl                    | <u>30</u> | <u>78</u> | 9  | 0         | 0         | 0  | З         | 0         | 10 |
| N2                    | 0         | 0         | 0  | 2         | 0         | 0  | 3         | 0         | 0  |
| N3                    | 3         | 0         | 0  | 2         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  |
| N4                    | 21        | 11        | 18 | 45        | <u>89</u> | 30 | 25        | <u>56</u> | 10 |
| N5                    | 3         | 0         | 9  | 0         | 0         | 0  | <u>13</u> | 33        | 0  |
| N1m                   | 6         | 22        | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  |
| N4m                   | 3         | 11        | 0  | 6         | 33        | 0  | 8         | 33        | 0  |
| FLY                   | 6         | 0         | 18 | 6         | 0         | 10 | О         | 0         | 0  |
| SY                    | 6         | 0         | 18 | <u>13</u> | 0         | 40 | 8         | 0         | 30 |
| DY                    | 3         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  |
| FY                    | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 10        | 0         | 20 |
| J(leee &<br>gililili) | 0         | 0         | 0  | 4         | 0         | 0  | 13        | 0         | 10 |
| Н                     | З         | 0         | 9  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  |
| HF                    | 6         | 0         | 9  | 9         | 0         | 10 | 8         | 11        | 0  |
| PF                    | 3         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  | 0         | 0         | 0  |
| Р                     | 0         | 0         | 0  | 9         | 0         | 0  | 3         | 0         | 0  |
| F                     | 15        | 0         | 9  | 2         | 0         | 10 | 10        | 0         | 0  |

**B-3.** Behavioural contexts of 'hyu' (a) Observations during 1976-1978

~

|                       |    | PR               |    |     | SI |   | •     | FO  |    |
|-----------------------|----|------------------|----|-----|----|---|-------|-----|----|
| Behaviour             | Т  | М                | F  | T   | M  | F | <br>T | M   | F  |
| AO                    | 0  | 0                | 0  | 2   | 0  | 0 | 0     | 0   | 0  |
| A1                    | 2  | 3                | 0  | 2   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| A2                    | 0  | 0                | 0  | 0   | 0  | ò | 20    | 23  | 0  |
| A5                    | 0  | 0                | 0  | 20  | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| -A5                   | 0  | , <sup>6</sup> O | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 7     | 6   | 17 |
| A8                    | 2  | 0                | 17 | 0   | 0  | 0 | 0     | 0   | 0  |
| M(k.hoo)              | 2  | 0                | 17 | 2   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 0   | 17 |
| M(krrr)               | 0  | 0                | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| Nl                    | 5  | З                | 17 | 0   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| N2                    | 0  | 0                | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 0   | 17 |
| N4                    | 0  | 0                | 0  | 5   | 0  | 0 | 7     | 9   | 0  |
| N2m                   | 0  | 0                | 0  | 5   | 0  | 0 | 17    | 20  | 0  |
| NЗm                   | 0  | 0                | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 5.    | , З | 17 |
| N4m                   | 22 | 26               | 0  | 29  | 0  | 0 | 7     | 9   | 0  |
| FLY                   | 2  | З                | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 5     | 3   | 17 |
| SY                    | 2  | 0                | 17 | 5   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| FY                    | 0  | 0                | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 5     | 0   | 33 |
| FP                    | 0  | 0                | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| J(leee &<br>gililili) | 2  | 0                | 17 | 0   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| Н                     | 41 | 43               | 33 | 0   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| HF                    | 12 | 11               | 17 | 56  | 0  | 0 | 27    | 29  | 17 |
| PF                    | 7  | 9                | 0  | . 2 | 0  | 0 | 0     | 0   | 0  |
| Е                     | 0  | 0                | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0 | 2     | 3   | 0  |
| Р                     | 20 | 20               | 17 | 20  | 0  | 0 | 12    | 11  | 17 |
| L                     | 0  | 0                | 0  | 2   | 0  | 0 | 0     | 0   | 0  |

B-3 (continued) (b) Obsrvations during 1979-1981

 $\sim$ 

Note: The binominal test was not applied to these results.

|           |           | PR        |           |   |           | SI        |           |           | FO        |           |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Behaviour | <br>T     | М         | F         | _ | T         | М         | F         | Т         | М         | F         |
| 0(k.hoo)  | Ø         | 0         | 1         |   | ø         | 0         | 1         | ø         | 0         | 1         |
| OA        | 27        | <u>43</u> | 28        |   | <u>34</u> | <u>56</u> | 36        | <u>30</u> | 53        | 31        |
| Al        | З         | 4         | 4         |   | 8         | 10        | З         | 5         | 8         | 5         |
| A2        | 0         | 0         | 0         |   | 1         | 0         | 2         | 1         | 0         | 2         |
| A4        | 1         | 1         | 1         |   | <u>1</u>  | 2         | 2         | Ø         | 0         | 1         |
| A5        | 9         | 12        | 9         |   | 4         | 5         | 8         | З         | З         | 2         |
| -A5       | 4         | 4         | 6         |   | 2         | 2         | З         | З         | 2         | З         |
| A6        | ø         | 0         | 0         |   | Ø         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| -A6       | 0         | 0         | 0         |   | Ø         | 0         | ́о        | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| A7        | 0         | 0         | 0         |   | 0         | 0         | 0         | 1         | 1         | 1         |
| A8        | 3         | 4         | 4         |   | 1         | 1         | 3         | 4         | 4         | 3         |
| AE        | 0         | 0         | 0         |   | 1         | 1         | 1         | Ø         | 1         | 0         |
| M(k.hoo)  | 1         | 0         | 4         |   | 8         | 12        | 10        | 1         | 1         | 2         |
| Nl        | 11        | 12        | 11        |   | 5         | <u>6</u>  | 5         | 3         | З         | 3         |
| N2        | 1         | 0         | 1         |   | 2         | 1         | 2         | 8         | 2         | <u>12</u> |
| NЗ        | 1         | 0         | 2         |   | 1         | 0         | 2         | 1         | 0         | 2         |
| N4        | <u>42</u> | <u>62</u> | <u>42</u> |   | <u>59</u> | <u>75</u> | <u>60</u> | <u>43</u> | <u>70</u> | <u>46</u> |
| N5        | 3         | 2         | З         |   | 1         | 1         | 1         | 2         | 2         | 2         |
| N1m       | 1         | 3         | 0         |   | 1         | 2         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| N2m       | 0         | 0         | 0         |   | 1         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         | 0         |
| NЗm       | 0         | 0         | 0         |   | 0         | 0         | 0         | Ø         | 0         | 0         |
| N4m       | 3         | 10        | 1         |   | 4         | 12        | 1         | 4         | 13        | 1         |
| N5m       | 0         | 0         | 0         |   | Ø         | 1         | 0         | 1         | Ø         | 0         |
| FLY       | 3         | 0         | 1         |   | 0         | 0         | 0         | <u>2</u>  | 1         | 1         |
| SY        | 1         | 0         | 0         |   | 4         | 1         | 3         | 1         | 1         | 1         |
| DY        | 1         | 1         | 1         |   | Ø         | 0         | 0         | 1         | . 0       | 0         |
| FY        | ø         | 0         | 0         |   | 0         | 0         | 0         | <u>3</u>  | 1         | 1         |

~~

### B-4. Behavioural contexts of 'krrr'

•

|                       |          | PR       |   |       | SI       |    |          |            | FO       |    |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|---|-------|----------|----|----------|------------|----------|----|
| Behaviour             | Т        | М        | F | T     | М        | F  |          | Т          | М        | F  |
| FP                    | 0        | 0        | 0 | <br>0 | 0        | 0  | <u>,</u> | ø          | 0        | 1  |
| НМ                    | 0        | 0        | 0 | 0     | 0        | Ó  |          | ø          | 0        | 0  |
| J(leee &<br>gililili) | 0        | 0        | 0 | Ø     | 0        | 0  |          | 3          | 0        | 2  |
| н                     | 5        | <u>7</u> | 4 | ø     | 0        | 0  |          | З          | 2        | 5  |
| HF                    | <u>7</u> | 2        | 6 | 10    | 5        | 11 |          | <u>7</u>   | 1        | 12 |
| -HF                   | 2        | 0        | 4 | 2     | 1        | 1  |          | ø          | 0        | 0  |
| PF                    | 3        | 5        | 0 | 2     | 1        | ´1 |          | 0          | 0        | 0  |
| Е                     | 1        | 0        | 2 | 0     | 0        | 0  |          | 2          | 0        | 4  |
| PRE                   | <u>3</u> | <u>7</u> | 5 | 2     | <u>6</u> | 0  |          | <u>3</u>   | <u>8</u> | 1  |
| R                     | 0        | 0        | 0 | 0     | 0        | 0  |          | ø          | 1        | 0  |
| Р                     | 4        | 0        | З | 7     | З        | 6  |          | 5          | 0        | 3  |
| L                     | 1        | 0        | 1 | Ø     | 0        | 0  |          | 1          | 1        | 1  |
| F                     | 7        | 7        | 8 | 2     | 2        | 0  |          | <b>7</b> · | 4        | 5  |

|            |    | Mew |    |    | Meeev | Ň        |
|------------|----|-----|----|----|-------|----------|
| Behaviour  | PR | SI  | FO | PR | SI    | FO       |
| CO         | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 1     | 0        |
| АТ         | 0  | 0   | 0  | 1  | 0     | 0        |
| O(k.hoo)   | 0  | 0   | 0  | .2 | 0     | 1        |
| O(buf)     | 1  | 0   | 1  | 0  | 0     | 0        |
| A5         | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0     | 2        |
| M(k.hoo)   | 0  | 0   | 0  | 1  | 0     | 0        |
| M(krrr)    | 1  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0     | 0        |
| RM1        | 0  | 1   | 1  | 2  | 5     | 2        |
| RM2        | 1  | 0   | 0  | 7  | 7     | 8        |
| RM3        | 1  | 1   | 0  | 2  | 2     | 2        |
| RM(k.hoo)  | 0  | 0   | 0  | 7  | 4     | 4        |
| RM(hoh)    | 0  | 0   | 0  | 1  | 0     | 1        |
| RM(oo.coo) | 0  | 0   | 0  | 2  | 2     | 2        |
| N1         | 0  | 0   | 0  | 5  | 1     | 0        |
| N2         | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0  | 0     | 1        |
| N4         | 0  | 2   | 2  | 4  | 7     | 5        |
| N5         | 3  | 0   | 0  | 2  | 0     | 2        |
| N4m        | 0  | 0   | 0  | 2  | 0     | <b>1</b> |
| YFD        | 4  | 4   | 4  | 0  | 0     | 0        |
| 0S1        | 0  | 0   | 0  | 6  | 6     | 7        |
| 052        | 0  | 0   | 0  | 3  | 3     | З        |
| 053        | 0  | 0   | 0  | 1  | 1     | 1        |
| 054        | 2  | 2   | 2  | 0  | 0     | 0        |

## $B{-}5.$ Behavioural contexts of 'mew' and 'meeew'

~~

Note: Number of occurrences is shown. RM1, A widowed female (caller) was chased by a replacing male; RM2, A replacing male stayed

near the nest of a widowed female (caller); RM3, A replacing male was near a widowed female (caller); RM(v), A replacing male produced vocalization, v; OS1, the presence of <u>Strix</u> <u>uralensis</u>; OS2, the presence of <u>Milvus migrans</u>; OS3, the presence of <u>Nycticorax nycticorax</u>; OS4, wailing noises from an unidentified bird.

0

238

| Behaviour             | PR | SI | FO |
|-----------------------|----|----|----|
| A1                    | 5  | 11 | 11 |
| A5                    | 5  | 5  | 0  |
| -A6                   | 0  | 0  | 5  |
| N1                    | 16 | 16 | 5  |
| N2                    | 0  | 5  | 11 |
| N3                    | 0  | 0  | 5  |
| N4                    | 11 | 5  | 16 |
| SY                    | 5  | 5. | 0  |
| FY                    | 0  | 0  | 42 |
| J(leee &<br>gililili) | 5  | 5  | 5  |
| Н                     | 53 | 0  | 0  |
| HF                    | 0  | 53 | 0  |
|                       |    |    |    |

### B-6. Behavioural contexts of 'hooh'

Note: Samples are taken from observations during 1979-1981. Sample number was 19. The binominal test was not applied.

.

#### PART C. Primary Call Production of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl

C-1. Nocturnal patterns of primary call production of the original and replacing males at Zushi in 1980

Number of primary call elements (NE) produced from 18:00 to 5:30 Japanese Standard Time (JST) is shown in histograms. Also included in the figures are the environmental conditions such as time of sunset ( $\checkmark$ ) and sunrise ( $\blacktriangle$ ), the age and appearance of the moon (e.g.  $\bigcirc$ , full moon), rainfall (R), ambient temperature (T, solid line), and relative humidity (RH, broken line). On July 31, temperature could not be measured due to the heavy rain. Subjects and breeding stages in respective figures are as follows: (a) the original male during the pre-incubation stage; (b) the original male from the incubation to early nestling stage; (c) the replacing male during stage (July 31 – September 1) to post-breeding stage.





C-1 (continued)



C-1 (continued)

·



C-1 (continued)

C-2. Conspecific environment of primary call production

Number of primary call elements produced in the ten-minute period is given for the following conspecific environment:-

 $\square$ , No other conspecifics produced primary calls.

The mate of the original male produced primary calls.

[See], Conspecifics produced primary calls.

, Conspecifics invaded the territory.

[m], The mate of the original male produced 'meeew'.

, The mate of the original male was within 20 m.

Subjects and breeding stages in respective figures are as follows:-

(1) The original male during the pre-incubation stage.

- (2) The original male during the incubation stage.
- (3) The replacing male during the vocal accentuation.

(4) The replacing male after the vocal accentuation.

Mann-Whitney U-test was carried out to examine whether the subject produced more primary calls in the following conditions:-

- (a) When the mate of the original male produced primary calls than when no other conspecifics did.
- (b) When conspecifics produced primary calls than when no other conspecifics did.
- (c) When conspecifics produced primary calls than when the mate of the original male did.
- (d) When conspecifics invaded the territory than when they neither invaded it nor emitted primary calls.
- (e) When the mate of the original male was within 20 m than when other conspecifics were neither within 20 m nor emitted primary calls.
- (f) When the mate of the original male called 'meeew' than when other conspecifics called neither 'meeew' nor primary calls.

Significance levels are indicated by black symbols for O-setting and white symbols for 5-setting as follows:

p<0.0001 by four triangles
p<0.001 by three triangles
p<0.01 by two triangles
p<0.05 by one triangle
p>0.05 (not significant) by a square

244





C-2 (continued)



# C-2 (continued)



C-2 (continued)

## PART D. Effects of Speaker's Location in the Territory and Breeding Stage (Two-way ANOVA Tables)

Symbols: df, degrees of freedom; SS, sums of squares; MS, mean squares;  $F_s$ , sample statistics of F-distribution.

Probability: \*\*\*, p<0.01; \*\*, p<0.025; \*, p<0.05; ns, p≥0.05 (not significant)

D-1. Latency of response and speaker's location in the territory

#### a. Pre-incubation stage

| Source of variation | df     | SS     | MS    | Fs                  |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Individuals         | 4      | 1446.9 | 361.7 | 0.797 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Locations           | 2      | 688.9  | 344.5 | 0.759 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 8      | 3633.1 | 454.1 |                     |
| Total               | <br>14 | 5768.9 |       |                     |
|                     |        |        |       |                     |

### b. Fledgling stage

| Source of variation | df | SS     | MS     | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|--------|--------|---------------------|
| Individuals         | 4  | 592.9  | 148.2  | 0.259 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Locations           | 1  | 1338.4 | 1338.4 | 2.338 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 4  | 2289.6 | 572.4  |                     |
| Total               | 9  | 4220.9 |        |                     |

# D-2. Latency of response and breeding stage

### a. Central location

| Source of variation | df | SS       | MS            | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|----------|---------------|---------------------|
| Individuals         | 4  | 4261.3 · | 1065.3        | 0.849 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Breeding stages     | 2  | 1161.7   | 580 <b>.9</b> | 0.463 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 8  | 10042.3  | 1255.3        |                     |
| Total               | 14 | 15465.3  |               |                     |

### b. Middle location

| Source of variation | df | SS     | MS    | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Tadividuala         |    | 2422 6 | 0EE 7 | 0 107*              |
| Individuals         | 4  | 3422.0 | 855.7 | 9.13/*              |
| Breeding stages     | 1  | 230.4  | 230.4 | 2.460 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 4  | 374.6  | 93.7  |                     |
|                     | -  |        |       |                     |
| Total               | 9  | 4027.6 |       |                     |
D-3. Number of flights and speaker's location in the territory

| Source of variation | df | SS   | MS   | Fs       |
|---------------------|----|------|------|----------|
| Individuals         | 4  | 46.9 | 11.7 | 9.142*** |
| Locations .         | 2  | 24.4 | 12.2 | 9.506*** |
| Error               | 8  | 10.3 | 1.3  |          |
| Total               | 14 | 81.6 |      |          |

a. Pre-incubation stage: the first playback period

b. Pre-incubation stage: the second playback period

| Source of variation | df | SS   | MS  | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|------|-----|---------------------|
| Individuala         | Δ  | 20.0 |     | 1 570NS             |
| Individuals         | 4  | 20.9 | 5.2 | 1.570               |
| Locations           | 2  | 10.0 | 5.0 | 1.570 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 8  | 26.7 | 3.3 |                     |
|                     |    |      |     |                     |
| Total               | 14 | 57.6 |     |                     |

#### c. Fledgling stage: the playback period

| Source of variation                                                                                              | df  | SS   | MS  | Fs                  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|-----|---------------------|
|                                                                                                                  |     |      |     |                     |
| Individuals                                                                                                      | 4   | 4.6  | 1.2 | 0.237 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Locations                                                                                                        | 1   | 0.1  | 0.1 | 0.021 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error                                                                                                            | 4   | 19.4 | 4.9 |                     |
|                                                                                                                  | -   |      |     |                     |
| Total                                                                                                            | . 9 | 24.1 |     |                     |
| and the second |     |      |     |                     |

## $D\mathchar`-4.$ Number of flights and breeding stage

| Source of variation | df | SS   | MS   | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|------|------|---------------------|
|                     |    |      |      |                     |
| Individuals         | 4  | 11.0 | 2.8  | 0.337 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Breeding stages     | 1  | 14.4 | 14.4 | 1.767 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 4  | 32.6 | 8.2  |                     |
|                     | -  |      |      |                     |
| Total               | 9  | 58.0 |      |                     |

a. Central location: the first playback period

#### b. Middle location: the first playback period

| Source of variation | df | SS   | MS  | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|------|-----|---------------------|
| Individuals         | 4  | 12.3 | 3.1 | 2.244***            |
| Locations           | 2  | 5.7  | 2.9 | 2.098 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 8  | 10.9 | 1.4 |                     |
| Total               | 14 | 28.9 |     |                     |

 $D{-}5.$  Nearest distance from speaker and the speaker's location in the territory

| Source of variation            | df | SS      | MS     | Fs        |
|--------------------------------|----|---------|--------|-----------|
|                                |    |         |        |           |
| Subgroups                      | 14 | 29062.6 | 2075.8 | 7.663***  |
| Individuals (A)                | 4  | 8238.5  | 2059.6 | 7.602***  |
| Individuals (B)                | 2  | 7215.0  | 3007.5 | 11.100*** |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ B) | 8  | 13609.1 | 1701.1 | 6.279***  |
| Within subgroups               | 45 | 12192.4 | 270.9  |           |
|                                |    |         |        |           |
| Total                          | 59 | 41255.0 |        |           |

## a. Pre-incubation stage: the first playback period

b. Pre-incubation stage: the second playback period

| Source of variation | df  | SS     | MS    | Fs                  |
|---------------------|-----|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Individuals         | 4   | 1306.3 | 326.6 | 1.457 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Locations           | 2   | 140.8  | 70.4  | 0.314 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | · 8 | 1792.5 | 224.1 |                     |
| Total               | 14  | 3239.6 |       |                     |

c. Fledgling stage: the playback period

| Source of variation   | df  | SS      | MS     | Fs        |
|-----------------------|-----|---------|--------|-----------|
| ·                     |     |         |        |           |
| Subgroups             | . 9 | 9142.9  | 1015.9 | 30.599*** |
| Individuals (A)       | 4   | 1425.4  | 356.4  | 10.723*** |
| Locations (B)         | 1   | 3132.9  | 3132.9 | 94.270*** |
| Interaction (A $x$ B) | . 4 | 4584.6  | 1146.2 | 34.388*** |
| Within subgroups      | 30  | 997.0   | 33.2   |           |
|                       |     | 10120 0 |        |           |
| Total                 | 39  | 10123.3 |        |           |

| Source of variation   | df | SS     | MS    | Fs        |
|-----------------------|----|--------|-------|-----------|
|                       |    |        |       |           |
| Subgroups             | 9  | 2251.3 | 250.1 | 8.346***  |
| Individuals (A)       | 4  | 728.8  | 182.2 | 6.078***  |
| Breeding stages (C)   | 1  | 522.0  | 522.0 | 17.416*** |
| Interaction (A $x$ C) | 4  | 1000.5 | 250.1 | 8.345***  |
| Within subgroups      | 30 | 899.2  | 30.0  |           |
| Total                 | 39 | 3150.5 |       |           |

## a. Central location: the first playback period

b. Middle location: the first playback period

| Source of variation            | df | SS      | MS     | Fs        |
|--------------------------------|----|---------|--------|-----------|
|                                |    |         |        |           |
| Subgroups                      | 14 | 20493.1 | 1463.8 | 8.360***  |
| Individuals (A)                | 4  | 9536.8  | 2384.2 | 13.620*** |
| Breeding stages (C)            | 2  | 4645.2  | 2322.6 | 13.268*** |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ C) | 8  | 6311.1  | 788.9  | 4.507***  |
| Within subgroups               | 45 | 7877.5  | 175.1  |           |
|                                |    |         |        |           |
| Total                          | 59 | 28370.6 |        |           |

.

# D-7. Number of primary and graded call elements and speaker's location in the territory

a. Pre-incubation stage: the first playback and its post-play period

~~

.

| Source of variation            | df  | SS      | MS     | F <sub>s</sub>      |
|--------------------------------|-----|---------|--------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                      | 14  | 13973.3 | 998.1  | 3.672*              |
| Individuals (A)                | 4   | 3694.9  | 923.7  | 3.398**             |
| Locations (B)                  | 2   | 6453.3  | 3226.7 | 11.872***           |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ B) | 8   | 3825.1  | 478.1  | 1.759 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups               | 105 | 28540.6 | 271.8  |                     |
| Total                          | 119 | 42513.9 |        |                     |

b. Pre-incubation stage: the second playback and its post-play period

| Source of variation            | df | SS      | MS     | Fs                  |
|--------------------------------|----|---------|--------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                      | 14 | 7174.3  | 512.5  | 2.716**             |
| Individuals (A)                | 4  | 493.5   | 123.4  | 0.654 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Locations (B)                  | 2  | 3279.3  | 1639.6 | 8.688***            |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ B) | 8  | 3401.5  | 425.2  | 2.253 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups               | 28 | 5284.0  | 188.7  |                     |
| Total                          | 42 | 12458.3 |        |                     |

c. Fledgling stage: the playback period

| Source of variation | df  | SS      | MS    | Fs                  |
|---------------------|-----|---------|-------|---------------------|
|                     |     |         |       |                     |
| Subgroups           | . 9 | 6308.0  | 700.9 | 3.407***            |
| Individuals (A)     | 4   | 1936.9  | 484.2 | 2.354 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Locations (B)       | 1   | 680.6   | 680.6 | 3.308 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction (A x B) | 4   | 3690.5  | 922.6 | 4.485***            |
| Within subgroups    | 30  | 6171.8  | 205.7 |                     |
| Total               | 39  | 12479.8 |       |                     |

|                                 | ······································ |         |        |                     |  |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|--|
| Source of variation             | df                                     | SS      | MS     | Fs                  |  |
| Subgroups                       | 9                                      | 6445.0  | 716.1  | 3.177***            |  |
| Individuals (A)                 | 4                                      | 4346.4  | 1086.6 | 4.821***            |  |
| Breeding stages (C)             | 1                                      | 765.6   | 765.6  | 3.397 <sup>ns</sup> |  |
| Interactions (A $\mathbf{x}$ C) | 4                                      | 1333.0  | 333.3  | 1.479 <sup>ns</sup> |  |
| Within subgroups                | 30                                     | 6761.8  | 225.4  |                     |  |
| Total                           | 39                                     | 13206.8 |        |                     |  |

a. Central location: the first playback period

b. Central location: the first post-play period

| Source of variation  | df | SS             | MS     | Fs                  |
|----------------------|----|----------------|--------|---------------------|
| Subgroups            | 9  | 12064.9        | 1340.5 | 13.233***           |
| Individuals (A)      | 4  | 4956.8         | 1239.2 | 178.986***          |
| Breeding stages (C)  | 1  | 6916 <b>.9</b> | 6916.9 | 68.315***           |
| Interactions (A x C) | 4  | 191.2          | 47.8   | 0.472 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups     | 30 | 3037.5         | 101.3  |                     |
| Ťotal                | 39 | 15102.4        |        |                     |

c. Middle location: the first playback period

| Source of variation             | df  | SS      | MS    | Fs       |
|---------------------------------|-----|---------|-------|----------|
| Subgroups                       | 14  | 11173.2 | 798.1 | 4.890*** |
| Individuals (A)                 | · 4 | 3585.6  | 896.4 | 5.491*** |
| Breeding stages (C)             | 2   | 472.4   | 236.2 | 1.447ns  |
| Interactions (A $\mathbf{x}$ C) | 8   | 7115.2  | 889.4 | 5.449*** |
| Within subgroups                | 45  | 7345.5  | 163.2 |          |
| Total                           | 59  | 18518.7 |       |          |

#### D-8 (continued)

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |    |         |        |           |
|---------------------------------------|----|---------|--------|-----------|
| Source of variation                   | df | SS      | MS     | Fs        |
|                                       |    |         |        |           |
| Subgroups                             | 14 | 12913.9 | 922.4  | 12.584*** |
| Individuals (A)                       | 4  | 2948.4  | 737.1  | 10.061*** |
| Breeding stages (C)                   | 2  | 1013.0  | 506.5  | 6.913***  |
| Interactions (A $x$ C)                | 8  | 8952.5  | 1119.1 | 15.274*** |
| Within subgroups                      | 45 | 3297.0  | 73.3   |           |
|                                       |    |         |        |           |
| Total                                 | 59 | 16210.9 |        |           |
|                                       |    |         |        |           |

.

D-9. Speaker's location in the territory and difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls

| Source of variation            | df | SS        | MS       | Fs                  |
|--------------------------------|----|-----------|----------|---------------------|
|                                |    |           |          |                     |
| Subgroups                      | 11 | 546249.5  | 49659.0  | 6.388***            |
| Individuals (A)                | 3  | 41426.6   | 13808.9  | 1.776 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Locations (B)                  | 2  | 300243.5  | 150121.7 | 19.310***           |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ B) | 6  | 204579.4  | 34096.6  | 4.386***            |
| Within subgroups               | 81 | 629727.0  | 7774.4   |                     |
| Total                          | 92 | 1175976.5 |          |                     |

a. Pre-incubation stage: the first playback period

b. Pre-incubation stage: the first two minutes of the first playback period

| Source of variation            | df | SS       | MS       | Fs                  |
|--------------------------------|----|----------|----------|---------------------|
|                                |    |          |          |                     |
| Subgroups                      | 11 | 281211.4 | 25564.7  | 2.393**             |
| Individuals (A)                | 3  | 15641.7  | 5213.9   | 0.488 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Locations (B)                  | 2  | 202680.5 | 101340.3 | 9.488***            |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ B) | 6  | 62889.2  | 10481.5  | 0.981 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups               | 34 | 363149.1 | 10680.9  |                     |
| Total                          | 45 | 644360.5 |          |                     |

c. Pre-incubation stage: the first post-play period

| Source of variation   | df | SS       | MS       | Fs                  |
|-----------------------|----|----------|----------|---------------------|
| Subgroups             | 11 | 445133.5 | 40466.7  | 11.412***           |
| Individuals (A)       | 3  | 407844.3 | 135948.1 | 38.338***           |
| Locations (B)         | 2  | 13989.2  | 6994.6   | 1.972 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction (A $x$ B) | 6  | 23300.0  | 3883.3   | 1.095 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups      | 84 | 297870.7 | 3546.1   |                     |
| Total                 | 95 | 743004.2 |          |                     |
|                       |    |          |          |                     |

d. Pre-incubation stage: the second playback period and the following one minute

| Source of variation            | df | SS       | MS      | Fs                  |
|--------------------------------|----|----------|---------|---------------------|
| · ·                            |    |          |         |                     |
| Subgroups                      | 8  | 156161.3 | 19520.2 | 3.715***            |
| Individuals (A)                | 2  | 68405.1  | 34202.5 | 6.510***            |
| Locations (B)                  | 2  | 74297.8  | 37148.9 | 7.071***            |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ B) | 4  | 13458.4  | 3364.6  | 0.640 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups               | 27 | 141854.8 | 5253.9  |                     |
|                                |    |          |         |                     |
| Total                          | 35 | 298016.1 |         |                     |
|                                |    | · · ·    |         |                     |

e. Fledgling stage: the playback period

| Source of variation            | df | SS        | MS             | Fs        |
|--------------------------------|----|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| Subgroups                      | 9  | 841425.0  | 93491.7        | 9.346***  |
| Individuals (A)                | 4  | 696014.7  | 174003.7       | 18.325*** |
| Locations (B)                  | 1  | 47852.1   | 47852.1        | 5.040**   |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ B) | 4  | 97558.2   | 24389.5        | 2.569*    |
| Within subgroups               | 68 | 645683.3  | 9495 <b>.3</b> |           |
| Total                          | 77 | 1487108.3 |                |           |

. .

f. Fledgling stage: the post-play period

| Source of variation   | df  | SS       | MS       | Fs         |
|-----------------------|-----|----------|----------|------------|
| Subgroups             | . 9 | 586091.5 | 65121.3  | 52.666***  |
| Individuals (A)       | 4   | 504548.2 | 126137.1 | 102.011*** |
| Locations (B)         | 1   | 13536.0  | 13536.0  | 10.947***  |
| Interaction (A $x$ B) | 4   | 68007.3  | 17001.8  | 13.750***  |
| Within subgroups      | 70  | 86551.8  | 1236.5   |            |
| Total                 | 79  | 672643.3 |          |            |

- D-10. Breeding stage and difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls
- a. Central location: the initial two minutes of the first playback period

| Source of variation    | df | SS       | MS      | <br>F <sub>S</sub>  |
|------------------------|----|----------|---------|---------------------|
|                        |    |          |         |                     |
| Subgroups              | 9  | 212003.8 | 23556.0 | 2.939**             |
| Individuals (A)        | 4  | 96537.8  | 24134.5 | 3.011*              |
| Breeding stages (C)    | 1  | 1367.6   | 1367.6  | 0.170 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interactions (A $x$ C) | 4  | 114098.4 | 28524.6 | 3.559*              |
| Within subgroups       | 28 | 224396.7 | 8014.2  |                     |
| Total                  | 37 | 436400.5 |         |                     |

b: Central location: the last two minutes of the first playback period

| Source of variation   | df | SS         | MS      | Fs                  |
|-----------------------|----|------------|---------|---------------------|
|                       | 0  | 1 490 47 0 | 12216 2 | 2 200***            |
| Subgroups             | 9  | 140947.0   | 13210.3 | 3.399               |
| Individuals (A)       | 4  | 69132.4    | 17283.1 | 4.444***            |
| Breeding stages (C)   | 1  | 42211.0    | 42211.0 | 10.855***           |
| Interaction (A $x$ C) | 4  | 37603.6    | 9400.9  | 2.417 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups      | 30 | 116660.9   | 3888.7  |                     |
|                       |    |            |         |                     |
| Total                 | 39 | 265607.9   |         |                     |
|                       |    |            |         |                     |

c. Central location: the initial two minutes of the first post-play period

~

| Source of variation   | df | SS       | MS      | Fs        |  |
|-----------------------|----|----------|---------|-----------|--|
| Subanouna             |    | 100000   |         |           |  |
| Subgroups             | 9  | 198203.0 | 22022.6 | 10.095*** |  |
| Individuals (A)       | 4  | 109211.5 | 27302.9 | 12.515*** |  |
| Breeding stages (C)   | 1  | 57912.1  | 57912.1 | 26.546*** |  |
| Interaction (A $x$ C) | 4  | 31079.4  | 7769.9  | 3.562**   |  |
| Within subgroups      | 30 | 65446.6  | 2181.6  |           |  |
|                       |    | ·······  |         |           |  |
| Total                 | 39 | 263649.6 |         |           |  |

d. Central location: the last two minutes of the first post-play period

| Source of variation   | df | SS       | MS      | Fs                  |
|-----------------------|----|----------|---------|---------------------|
| Subgroups             | 9  | 115953.2 | 12883.7 | 9.246***            |
| Individuals (A)       | 4  | 83266.1  | 20816.5 | 14.960***           |
| Breeding stages (C)   | 1  | 4068.3   | 4068.3  | 2.919 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction (A $x$ C) | 4  | 28618.8  | 7154.7  | 5.134***            |
| Within subgroups      | 30 | 41805.1  | 1393.5  |                     |
| Total                 | 39 | 157758.3 |         |                     |

e. Middle location: the initial two minutes of the first playback period

| Source of variation   | df   | SS       | MS      | Fs                  |
|-----------------------|------|----------|---------|---------------------|
| Subgroups             | . 11 | 451014.9 | 41001.4 | 2.718***            |
| Individuals (A)       | 3    | 123096.2 | 41032.1 | 2.720 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Breeding stages (C)   | 2    | 17966.4  | 8983.2  | 0.596 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction (A $x$ C) | 6    | 309952.3 | 51658.7 | 3.425*              |
| Within subgroups      | 36   | 543008.4 | 15083.6 |                     |
| Total                 | 47   | 994023.3 |         |                     |

261

f. Middle location: the last two minutes of the first playback period

| Source of variation   | df | SS       | MS      | Fs                  |
|-----------------------|----|----------|---------|---------------------|
| Subgroups             | 11 | 484534.2 | 44048.6 | 16.713***           |
| Individuals (A)       | 3  | 113221.0 | 37740.3 | 14.319***           |
| Breeding stages (C)   | 2  | 7891.0   | 3945.5  | 1.497 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction (A $x$ C) | 6  | 363422.2 | 60570.4 | 22.982***           |
| Within subgroups      | 36 | 94881.7  | 2635.6  |                     |
| Total                 | 47 | 579415.9 |         |                     |

.

g. Middle location: the first post-play period

| Source of variation            | df | SS       | MS      | Fs        |
|--------------------------------|----|----------|---------|-----------|
|                                |    |          |         |           |
| Subgroups                      | 11 | 737412.1 | 67037.5 | 26.269*** |
| Individuals (A)                | З  | 240070.9 | 80023.6 | 31.357*** |
| Breeding stages (C)            | 2  | 80510.3  | 40255.2 | 15.774*** |
| Interaction (A $\mathbf{x}$ C) | 6  | 416830.9 | 69471.8 | 27.222*** |
| Within subgroups               | 79 | 201608.4 | 2552.0  |           |
| Total                          | 90 | 939020.5 |         |           |

PART E. Inter-individual differences in primary calls

E-1. One-way ANOVA tables for inter-individual differences of physical features of primary calls

Symbols: df, degrees of freedom; SS, sum of squares; MS, mean squares; F<sub>s</sub>, sample statistics of F-distribution; [ ], sample.
Probability: \*\*\*, p<0.01.

a. Duration of part A

[8 males]

| Source of variation | df | SS      | MS     | Fs        |
|---------------------|----|---------|--------|-----------|
| Among groups        | 7  | 29295.8 | 4185.1 | 11.126*** |
| Within groups       | 77 | 28963.0 | 376.1  |           |
| Total               | 84 | 58258.8 |        |           |

[8 males and 3 females]

| Source of variation           | df            | SS                 | MS              | Fs        |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Among groups<br>Within groups | ,<br>10<br>98 | 46413.1<br>28963.0 | 4641.3<br>295.5 | 15.704*** |
| Total                         | 108           | 75376.1            |                 |           |

## b. Maximum frequency of part A

[8 males]

| Source of variation | df | SS       | MS      | Fs        |
|---------------------|----|----------|---------|-----------|
| Among groups        | 7  | 82247.5  | 11749.6 | 19.972*** |
| Within groups       | 52 | 30592.5  | 588.3   |           |
| Total               | 59 | 112840.0 |         |           |

[8 males and 2 females]

| Source of variation | df     | SS                | MS      | Fs        |
|---------------------|--------|-------------------|---------|-----------|
| Among groups        | 9      | 326633 <b>.</b> 3 | 36292.6 | 68.077*** |
| Within groups       | 63     | 36832.5           | 584.6   |           |
| Total               | <br>72 | 363465.8          |         |           |

÷

#### c. Minimum frequency of part A

2

[8 males]

| Source of variation | df  | SS       | MS      | Fs        |
|---------------------|-----|----------|---------|-----------|
| Among groups        | 7   | 351818.3 | 50259.8 | 53.472*** |
| Within groups       | 100 | 93991.9  | 939.9   |           |
| Total               | 107 | 445810.2 |         |           |

[8 males and 2 females]

| Source of variation | df  | SS       | MS      | Fs        |
|---------------------|-----|----------|---------|-----------|
| Among groups        | 9   | 655282.0 | 72809.1 | 37.400*** |
| Within groups       | 98  | 190784.7 | 1946.8  |           |
| Total               | 107 | 846066.7 |         |           |

#### d. Duration of part B

[8 males]

| Source of variation | df  | SS       | MS      | Fs        |
|---------------------|-----|----------|---------|-----------|
| Among groups        | 7   | 98799.4  | 14114.2 | 95.159*** |
| Within groups       | 152 | 22545.0  | 148.3   |           |
| Total               | 159 | 121344.4 |         |           |
|                     |     |          |         |           |

[9 males and 6 females]

| Source of variation | df  | SS              | MS     | Fs        |
|---------------------|-----|-----------------|--------|-----------|
|                     |     | •               |        |           |
| Among groups        | 14  | 59990.4         | 4285.0 | 24.213*** |
| Within groups       | 165 | 29200.7         | 177.0  |           |
| Total               | 179 | 89191 <b>.1</b> |        |           |

#### e. Maximum frequency of part B

#### [8 males]

| Source of variation | df  | SS       | MS      | Fs         |
|---------------------|-----|----------|---------|------------|
| Among groups        | 7   | 248819.1 | 35545.6 | 130.653*** |
| Within groups       | 150 | 40809.2  | 272.1   |            |
|                     |     |          |         |            |
| Total               | 157 | 289628.3 |         |            |

#### [9 males and 6 females]

| Source of variation | df  | SS       | MS      | Fs        |
|---------------------|-----|----------|---------|-----------|
| Among groups        | 14  | 352607.5 | 25186.2 | 17.977*** |
| Within groups       | 164 | 229765.7 | 1401.0  |           |
| Total               | 178 | 582373.2 |         |           |

## f. Minimum frequency of part B

## [8 males]

| Source of variation | df  | SS       | MS      | Fs         |
|---------------------|-----|----------|---------|------------|
| Among groups        | 7   | 314366.4 | 44909.5 | 137.911*** |
| Within groups       | 152 | 49497.6  | 325.6   |            |
| Total               | 159 | 363864.0 |         |            |

[9 males and 6 females]

| Source of variation | df  | SS                | MS      | Fs        |
|---------------------|-----|-------------------|---------|-----------|
| Among groups        | 14  | 745107 <b>.</b> 4 | 53222.0 | 55.003*** |
| Within groups       | 165 | 159658.7          | 967.6   |           |
| Total               | 179 | 904766.1          |         |           |

#### g. Short interval between the elements of a unit

## [8 males]

Within groups

Total

| Source of variation     | df | SS       | MS      | Fs        |
|-------------------------|----|----------|---------|-----------|
| Among groups            | 7  | 105355.0 | 15050.7 | 90.004*** |
| Within groups           | 72 | 12040.0  | 167.2   |           |
| Total                   | 79 | 117395.0 |         |           |
| [9 males and 6 females] |    |          |         |           |
| Source of variation     | df | SS       | MS      | Fs        |
| Among groups            | 14 | 108359.6 | 7740.0  | 21.342*** |
| Within groups           | 80 | 29013.0  | 362.7   |           |

94

137372.6

#### h. Long interval between units

[8 males]

| Source of variation     | df | SS        | MS       | Fs        |
|-------------------------|----|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Among groups            | 7  | 1101879.8 | 157411.4 | 12.747*** |
| Within groups           | 69 | 852102.0  | 12349.3  |           |
| Total                   | 76 | 1953981.8 |          |           |
| [8 males and 6 females] |    |           |          |           |
| Source of variation     | df | SS        | MS       | Fs        |
| Among groups            | 13 | 1092982.2 | 84075.6  | 8.008***  |
| Within groups           | 48 | 503953.3  | 10499.0  |           |
| Total                   | 61 | 1596935.5 |          |           |

| elements   |
|------------|
| second     |
| and        |
| first      |
| the        |
| between    |
| щ          |
| part       |
| of         |
| features   |
| physical   |
| of         |
| Comparison |
| E-2.       |

|          |      |                     |            |                     | Fred           | luency         |                   |
|----------|------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
|          |      | Dura                | tion       | Maxir               | num            | Mini           | mum               |
| en       | lent | ВШ                  | 14         | Hz                  | +1             | Hz             | 14                |
| Ľ,       | st   | 167 ± 2             |            | 714 ± 4             |                | 607 ± 4        | 0.429             |
| ŭ        | puc  | 166 <u>+</u> 2      |            | 719 <u>+</u> 3      |                | 617 ± 3        | )<br> <br> <br>   |
| <u>ب</u> | st   | 207 ± 3             | -2.425     | 726 ± 3             | 3. 255<br>255  | 594 <u>+</u> 4 |                   |
| Ũ        | ond  | 217 <u>+</u> 2      | )<br> <br> | 712 ± 3             | ) *<br>  *<br> | 594 + 9        |                   |
| Ω,       | st   | 209 + 2             | -3.867     | 632 <u>+</u> 9      |                | 528 ± 6        |                   |
| ŏ        | pud  | 222 + 2             | · *<br>· * | 637 <u>+</u> 8      |                | 531 ± 6        |                   |
| Ц        | st   | 184 + 8             |            | 651 + 10            |                | 560 + 5        |                   |
| õ        | puc  | -<br>193 <u>-</u> 5 |            | -<br>664 <u>+</u> 7 |                | 566 + 5        |                   |
| ы        | st   | 199 <u>+</u> 2      | 1.715      | 768 + 3             | 3.075          | 658 <u>+</u> 3 | -6.565            |
| õ        | pud  | 190 ± 3             | *          | 757 ± 3             | *              | 683 + 2        | ) *<br>) *<br>) * |

|         | Dur            | ation       | Maxi           | mum            | Minim          | лш |
|---------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----|
| Element | SE             | ال          | ZH             | 14             | Hz             | 14 |
| First   | 183 <u>+</u> 3 |             | 686 <u>+</u> 2 |                | 573 ± 11       |    |
| Second  | 180 ± 3        |             | 684 <u>+</u> 2 |                | 579 ± 7        |    |
| First   | 132 ± 5        |             | 690 + 4        |                | 629 + 6        |    |
| Second  | 133 <u>+</u> 7 | 10<br>4<br> | 685 + 3        |                | 629 + 5        |    |
| First   | 178 <u>+</u> 2 |             | 742 ± 3        | 2.222          | 653 <u>+</u> 2 |    |
| Second  | 173 <u>+</u> 2 |             | 733 <u>+</u> 3 | <br> <br> <br> | 656 <u>+</u> 0 |    |

\*, p<0.05. Degrees of freedom (df) is 18, except for the maximum frequency of male 6 where df Significant results of <u>t</u>-test are given with significant levels: \*\*\*, p<0.001; \*\*, p<0.01; is 16. Note:

E-2 (continued)

269

- PART F. Individual recognition by male primary calls: response to playback (two-way ANOVA tables including planned comparisons between strange and neighbouring territorial males' primary calls and also between the normal and opposite locations of the speaker by <u>a priori</u> test)
- Symbols: df, degrees of freedom; SS, sums of squares; MS, mean squares; F<sub>S</sub>, sample statistics of F-distribution; S, strange males' primary calls; NN, neighbours' primary calls at the normal location; NO, neighbours' primary calls at the opposite location.

Probability: \*\*\*, p<0.01; \*\*, p<0.025; \*, p<0.05; ns, p≥0.05.

| Source of variation | df | SS      | MS      | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|---------|---------|---------------------|
| Playback conditions | 2  | 62452.1 | 31226.1 | 15.650***           |
| S vs. NN            | 1  | 42120.1 | 42120.1 | 21.110***           |
| NN vs. NO           | 1  | 51122.5 | 51122.5 | 25.622***           |
| S vs. NO            | 1  | 435.6   | 435.6   | 0.218 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Test males          | 4  | 9584.9  | 2387.2  | 1.197 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 8  | 15961.9 | 1995.2  |                     |
| Total               | 14 | 87998.9 |         |                     |
|                     |    |         |         |                     |

F-1. Latency of response

a. Experiment I

.

#### b. Experiment II

| Source of variation | df | SS      | MS             | Fc                  |
|---------------------|----|---------|----------------|---------------------|
|                     |    |         |                | <u> </u>            |
| Playback conditions | 2  | 6630.2  | 3315.1         | 8.344**             |
| S vs. N             | 1  | 3570.1  | 3570.1         | 8.986**             |
| NN vs. NO           | 1  | 6050.0  | 6050 <b>.0</b> | 15.228***           |
| S vs. NO            | 1  | 325.1   | 325.1          | 0.818 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Tested males        | 3  | 3870.9  | 1290.3         | 3.248 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 6  | 2383.8  | 397.3          |                     |
| Total               | 11 | 12884.9 |                |                     |

.

#### F-2. Number of flights during playback

#### a. Experiment I

.

| Source of variation | df | SS   | MS  | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|------|-----|---------------------|
|                     | 2  | 6.5  | 3.3 | 6.323**             |
| S vs. N             | 1  | 6.4  | 6.4 | 12.387***           |
| NN vs. NO           | 1  | 0.9  | 0.9 | 1.742 <sup>ns</sup> |
| S vs. NO            | 1  | 2.5  | 2.5 | 4.839 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Tested males        | 4  | 2.3  | 0.6 | 1.097 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 8  | 4.1  | 0.5 |                     |
| Total               | 14 | 12.9 |     |                     |

#### **F-2** (continued)

#### b. Experiment II

| Source of variation | df | SS   | MS  | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|------|-----|---------------------|
| Playback conditions | 2  | 0.7  | 0.3 | 0.300 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Test males          | 3  | 29.3 | 9.8 | 8.800**             |
| Error               | 6  | 6.7  | 1.1 |                     |
| Total               | 11 | 36.7 |     |                     |
|                     | ±± | 30.7 |     |                     |

~

#### F-3. Nearest distance from speaker during playback

#### a. Experiment I

| Source of variation          | df | SS       | MS     | F <sub>s</sub>      |
|------------------------------|----|----------|--------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                    | 14 | 64870.4  | 4633.6 | 5.271***            |
| Playback conditions (P)      | 2  | 16892.6  | 8446.3 | 9.608***            |
| Test males (M)               | 4  | 4144.8   | 1036.2 | 1.179 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction ( $P \times M$ ) | 8  | 43833.0  | 5479.1 | 6.233***            |
| Within subgroups (Error)     | 45 | 39558.5  | 879.1  |                     |
|                              |    | <u> </u> |        |                     |
| Total                        | 59 | 104428.9 |        |                     |
|                              |    |          |        |                     |

#### b. Experiment II

| Source of variation          | df | SS      | MS     | Fs        |
|------------------------------|----|---------|--------|-----------|
| Subgroups                    | 11 | 39010.6 | 3546.4 | 10.827*** |
| Playback conditions (P)      | 2  | 6694.6  | 3347.3 | 10.219*** |
| Test males (M)               | 3  | 13181.1 | 4393.7 | 13.414*** |
| Interaction ( $P \times M$ ) | 6  | 19134.9 | 3189.1 | 9.736***  |
| Within subgroups (Error)     | 36 | 11791.7 | 327.5  |           |
| Total                        | 47 | 50802.3 |        |           |

# $F\mathchar`-4.$ Number of graded and primary call elements

# a. Experiment I: during playback

| Source of variation            | df | SS      | MS     | Fs                  |
|--------------------------------|----|---------|--------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                      | 14 | 8106.7  | 579 1  | 3 657***            |
| Playback conditions (P)        | 2  | 3654.2  | 1827.1 | 11.538***           |
| Test males (M)                 | 4  | 1191.7  | 297.9  | 1.881 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction (P $\mathbf{x}$ M) | 8  | 3260.8  | 407.6  | 2.574**             |
| Within subgroups (Error)       | 45 | 7126.3  | 158.4  |                     |
| Total                          | 59 | 15233.0 |        |                     |

#### b. Experiment I: after playback

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |    |         |        |           |
|---------------------------------------|----|---------|--------|-----------|
| Source of variation                   | df | SS      | MS     | Fs        |
| Subgroups                             | 14 | 13291.2 | 949.4  | 8.725***  |
| Playback conditions (P)               | 2  | 2711.4  | 1355.7 | 12.459*** |
| Test males (M)                        | 4  | 3295.1  | 823.8  | 7.571***  |
| Interaction (P $x$ M)                 | 8  | 7284.7  | 910.6  | 8.369***  |
| Within subgroups (Error)              | 45 | 4896.5  | 108.8  |           |
| Total                                 | 59 | 18187.7 |        |           |

.

#### c. Experiment II: during playback

|                     |                                                                                                                | ····     |        |                     |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| Source of variation | df                                                                                                             | SS       | MS     | Fs                  |
|                     |                                                                                                                |          |        |                     |
| Subgroups           | 7                                                                                                              | 4644.2   | 663.5  | 2.261 <sup>ns</sup> |
| S vs. NN            | 1                                                                                                              | 552.8    | 552.8  | 1.884 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Test males          | 3                                                                                                              | 3129.3   | 1043.1 | 3.555*              |
| Interaction         | 3                                                                                                              | 962.1    | 320.7  | 1.092 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups    | 24                                                                                                             | 7041.3   | 293.4  |                     |
|                     |                                                                                                                | <u> </u> |        |                     |
| Total               | 31                                                                                                             | 11685.5  |        |                     |
|                     | the second s |          |        |                     |

- F-5. Difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls in Experiment I
- a. Comparison between neighbour's and stranger's calls during the last three minutes of playback

| Source of variation | df | SS       | MS      | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|----------|---------|---------------------|
| Subgroups           | 7  | 81097.7  | 11585.4 | 1.318 <sup>ns</sup> |
| S vs. NN            | 1  | 1674.6   | 1674.6  | 0.190 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Test males          | З  | 65885.0  | 21961.7 | 2.498 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction         | 3  | 13538.1  | 4512.7  | 0.513 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups    | 39 | 342857.8 | 8791.2  |                     |
| Total               | 46 | 423955.5 |         |                     |

b. Comparison between neighbour's and stranger's calls during the first minute after playback

| Source of variation | df | SS      | MS      | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|---------|---------|---------------------|
| Subgroups           | 7  | 51160.4 | 7308.6  | 2.640 <sup>ns</sup> |
| S vs. NN            | 1  | 1596.0  | 1596.0  | 0.576 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Test males          | 3  | 44921.4 | 14973.8 | 5.408*              |
| Interaction         | 3  | 4643.0  | 1547.7  | 0.559 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups    | 8  | 22151.9 | 2769.0  |                     |
| Total               | 15 | 73312.3 |         |                     |

#### **F-5** (continued)

c. Comparison between the normal and opposite locations during the last three minutes of playback

| Source of variation | df | SS       | MS      | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|----------|---------|---------------------|
| Subgroups           | 9  | 217501.4 | 24166.8 | 5.470***            |
| NN vs. NO           | 1  | 632.2    | 632.2   | 0.143 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Test males          | 4  | 196308.2 | 49077.1 | 11.108***           |
| Interaction         | 4  | 20560.9  | 5140.2  | 1.163 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups    | 47 | 207663.7 | 4418.4  |                     |
| Total               | 56 | 425165.1 | .'      |                     |

# d. Comparison between the normal and opposite locations during the first minute after playback

| Source of variation | df | SS      | MS     | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|---------|--------|---------------------|
| Subgroups           | 9  | 29058.8 | 3228.8 | 2.298 <sup>ns</sup> |
| NN vs. NO           | 1  | 2832.2  | 2832.2 | 2.016 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Test males          | 4  | 10959.2 | 2739.8 | 1.950 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction         | 4  | 15267.4 | 3816.8 | 2.717 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups    | 10 | 14047.3 | 1404.7 |                     |
| Total               | 19 | 43106.1 |        |                     |

- PART G. Individual Recognition by Female Primary Calls: response to playback (two-way ANOVA tables including planned comparisons between sexes and also between different female calls by <u>a priori</u> test)
- Symbols: df, degrees of freedom; SS, sums of squares; MS, mean squares; F<sub>S</sub>, sample statistics of F-distribution; SM, strange male's primary calls (control); SF, strange female's primary calls; NF, neighbouring female's primary calls; MF, mate's primary calls; M, male's primary calls; F, female's primary calls.

Probability: \*\*\*, p<0.01; \*\*, p<0.025; \*, p<0.05; ns, p≥0.05.

G-1. Number of flights during playback

| Source of variation | df | SS   | MS  | Fs                  |
|---------------------|----|------|-----|---------------------|
| Playback conditions | 3  | 3.0  | 1.0 | 0.645 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Tested males        | 4  | 15.3 | 3.8 | 2.508 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 12 | 18.3 | 1.5 |                     |
| Total               | 19 | 36.6 |     |                     |

G-2. Nearest distance from speaker during the first two one-minute periods of playback

| Source of variation      | df   | SS     | MS    | Fs                  |
|--------------------------|------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                | 19   | 6142.9 | 323.3 | 5.897***            |
| Playback conditions (P)  | 3    | 479.7  | 159.9 | 2.916 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Tested males (M)         | 4    | 2471.0 | 617.8 | 11.268***           |
| Interaction (P x M)      | 12   | 3192.2 | 266.0 | 4.852***            |
| Within subgroups (Error) | . 20 | 1096.5 | 54.8  |                     |
| Total                    | 39   | 7239.4 |       |                     |

# G-3. Number of primary and graded call elements

| Source of variation       | df | SS      | MS     | Fs                  |
|---------------------------|----|---------|--------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                 | 19 | 13241.6 | 696.9  | 2.897***            |
| Playback conditions (P)   | 3  | 5167.6  | 1722.5 | 7.159***            |
| SM vs. SF                 | 1  | 38.0    | 38.0   | 0.158 <sup>ns</sup> |
| SM vs. NF                 | 1  | 6.4     | 6.4    | 0.027 <sup>ns</sup> |
| SM vs. MF                 | 1  | 3534.4  | 3534.4 | 14.690***           |
| SF vs. NF                 | 1  | 75.6    | 75.6   | 0.314 <sup>ns</sup> |
| SF vs. MF                 | 1  | 2839.2  | 2839.2 | 11.800***           |
| NF vs. MF                 | 1  | 3841.6  | 3841.6 | 15.966***           |
| Tested males (M)          | 4  | 3451.3  | 862.8  | 3.586**             |
| Interaction ( $P \ge M$ ) | 12 | 4622.7  | 385.2  | 1.601 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups          | 60 | 14436.8 | 240.6  |                     |
| Total                     | 79 | 27678.4 |        |                     |

# a. During playback: four one-minute periods

b. After playback: four one-minute periods

| Source of variation     | df      | SS      | MS     | Fs        |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|
| Subgroups               | 19      | 26884 8 | 1/15 0 | 15 17/*** |
| Playback conditions (P) | 10<br>1 | 17407 9 | 5802 6 | 60 007*** |
| Tested males (M)        | 4       | 3590.7  | 897.7  | 9.627***  |
| Interaction (P x M)     | 12      | 5886.2  | 490.5  | 5.260***  |
| Within subgroups        | 60      | 5595.0  | 93.3   |           |
| Total                   | —<br>79 | 32479.8 |        |           |
|                         |         |         |        |           |

| Source of variation | df     | SS       | MS      | Fs                  |
|---------------------|--------|----------|---------|---------------------|
| Playback conditions | 3      | 69631.6  | 23210.5 | 11.830***           |
| SM vs. SF           | 1      | 12460.9  | 12460.9 | 6.351*              |
| SM vs. NF           | 1      | 1768.9   | 1768.9  | 0.902 <sup>ns</sup> |
| SM vs. MF           | 1      | 20611.6  | 20611.6 | 10.505***           |
| SF vs. NF           | 1      | 4840.0   | 4840.0  | 2.467 <sup>ns</sup> |
| SF vs. MF           | 1      | 65124.9  | 65124.9 | 33.192***           |
| NF vs. MF           | 1      | 34456.9  | 34456.9 | 17.561***           |
| Tested males        | 4      | 14362.7  | 3590.7  | 1.830 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Error               | 12     | 23544.9  | 1962.1  |                     |
| Total               | <br>19 | 107539.2 |         |                     |

c. After playback: one four-minute period.

G-4. Difference between the observed maximum frequency of primary and graded calls and individual standard maximum frequency of primary calls

a. During the first two minutes of playback

| Source of variation          | df | SS       | MS       | Fs                  |
|------------------------------|----|----------|----------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                    | 19 | 274045.6 | 14423.5  | 1.776*              |
| Playback conditions (P)      | З  | 144684.7 | 48228.2  | 5.939***            |
| SM vs. SF                    | 1  | 94851.3  | 94851.3  | 11.680***           |
| SM vs. NF                    | 1  | 34190.4  | 34190.4  | 4.210*              |
| SM vs. MF                    | 1  | 118032.0 | 118032.0 | 14.535***           |
| SF vs. NF                    | 1  | 13482.0  | 13482.6  | 1.660 <sup>ns</sup> |
| SF vs. MF                    | 1  | 2345.1   | 2345.1   | 0.300 <sup>ns</sup> |
| NF vs. MF                    | 1  | 25396.5  | 25396.5  | 3.127 <sup>ns</sup> |
| M vs. F                      | 1  | 117594.7 | 117594.7 | 14.481***           |
| Tested males (M)             | 4  | 42061.4  | 10515.4  | 1.295 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Interaction ( $P \times M$ ) | 12 | 87299.4  | 7275.0   | 0.896 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Within subgroups (Error)     | 50 | 406027.5 | 8120.6   |                     |
| Total                        | 69 | 680073.1 |          |                     |

278

#### G-4 (continued)

| Source of variation      | df | SS       | MS      | Fs                  |
|--------------------------|----|----------|---------|---------------------|
|                          | ·  |          |         |                     |
| Subgroups                | 19 | 270010.0 | 14211.1 | 5.892***            |
| Playback conditions (P)  | З  | 43910.2  | 14636.7 | 6.068***            |
| SM vs. SF                | 1  | 1860.8   | 1860.8  | 0.771 <sup>ns</sup> |
| SM vs. NF                | 1  | 11764.9  | 11764.9 | 4.878*              |
| SM vs. MF                | 1  | 38893.9  | 38893.9 | 16.126***           |
| SF vs. NF                | 1  | 4087.0   | 4087.0  | 1.659 <sup>ns</sup> |
| SF vs. MF                | 1  | 22962.4  | 22962.4 | 9.520***            |
| NF vs. MF                | 1  | 7876.4   | 7876.4  | 3.266 <sup>ns</sup> |
| M vs. F                  | 1  | 20690.2  | 20690.2 | 8.578***            |
| Tested males (M)         | 4  | 94216.6  | 23554.2 | 9.766***            |
| Interaction (P x M)      | 12 | 131883.2 | 10990.3 | 4.557***            |
| Within subgroups (Error) | 59 | 142304.8 | 2411.9  |                     |
| Total                    | 78 | 412314.8 |         |                     |

~

b. During the last two minutes of playback

#### PART H. Differences Between Races of the Brown Hawk Owl

The differences between races have been described in terms of size and plumage colour. In H-1, a summary of published measurements from different races is given. In general, northern races are larger and paler than southern races.

There are distinct intraspecific differences in the primary call (see H-2):

Type A, 'k.hoo-k.hoo, k.hoo-k.hoo..... k.hoo-k.hoo'

Type B, 'hoo-oip, hoo-oip..... hoo-oip'

Type C, 'hoop, hoop.... hoop'

Type A is found in the northern races, japonica and <u>ussuriensis</u>. Although Yuri and Aragaki (1975) described the call of <u>totogo</u> as 'k.hoo-k.hoo-k.hoo', trisyllabic calls are considered to be a casual variation from Type A (Yonashiro pers. comm.). Type B belongs to southern races, <u>lugubris</u>, <u>hirsuta</u>, <u>obscura</u>, <u>burmanica</u> and <u>scutulata</u>, and possibly <u>bornensis</u> and <u>javanensis</u>. Unlike other authors, Henry (1971) described the first element 'hoo' as higher pitched than the second 'oip' in the call of Sri Lankan birds. Type C is suggested to belong to randi (see H-3 for geographical references).

Vaurie (1960) proposed synonymizing japonica and <u>ussuriensis</u> in his argument based upon the comparison of wing-lengths. Dement'ev (1966) also questioned the difference between them. The discreteness observed between the different types of primary calls and its correspondence to the geographical isolation of breeding populations of races suggest the need for a study of the subspecific status of these two races, and possibly totogo.

280

| Race        | Wing    | Tail    | Tarsus | Beak  |
|-------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| ussuriensis | 221-245 | 117-128 |        |       |
| japonica    | 202–229 | 107–128 | 25–30  | 20–25 |
| totogo      | 201–214 | 112-122 | -      |       |
| lugubris    | 204–228 | 124–135 | 24     | 21–22 |
| burmanica   | 206–222 | 128–134 | 24–25  | 21–22 |
| hirsuta     | 190–212 | 112–122 | 24–28  | 22–24 |
| obscura     | 197–220 | 120–126 | 28     | 22–23 |
| randi       | 218–242 | 135     | 28     | 26–28 |
| scutulata   | 180-202 | 119–127 | 25–28  | -     |
| bornensis   | 175–193 | -       | -      | -     |
| javanensis  | 175     | -       | -      | _     |
|             |         |         |        |       |

H-1. A summary of the published measurements of body parts of different races of <u>Ninox scutulata</u> (unit: mm)

~

References: Buturlin 1910; Stevens 1924; Robinson 1927; Stresemann 1928; Mishima 1956; Ripley & Rabor 1958; Kiyosu 1965; Vaurie 1965; Dement'ev 1966; Sálim & Ripley 1969; Du Pont 1971; Kobayashi 1976.



- 0.5 s Time
- H-2. Different types of primary calls of the Brown Hawk Owl: A, separate disyllabic type 'k.hoo-k.hoo' recorded from a Japanese bird by the author in 1980; B, continuous disyllabic type 'hoo-oip' recorded from an Indian bird by Marshall in 1974;
  C, monosyllabic type 'hoop' recorded from a bird of Mindanao Island in the Philippines by Marshall in 1971.

| Location                       | Туре | References                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Japan                          | A    | Hayashi 1902; Kuroda 1914, 1922b,<br>1931, 1934; Kawaguchi 1918; Momiyama<br>& Nomura 1919; Kawaguchi & Ikemura<br>1920; Saito 1924; Ishizawa 1934;<br>Yamashina 1961; Kiyosu 1965; Abe <u>et</u><br>al. 1979; Ito <u>et al</u> . 1979. |
| Korea                          | А    | Gore & Won 1971.                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| China                          | А    | La Touche 1931-1934; Etchécopar & Hüe<br>1978.                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Ussuriland                     | А    | Dement'ev 1966; Panov 1973; Zubakin<br><u>et al</u> . 1979*.                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Okinawa                        | А    | Yonashiro pers. comm.                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Nepal                          | В    | Proud 1949; Fleming <u>et al</u> . 1979.                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| North India<br>and Bangladesh  | В    | Inglis <u>et al</u> . 1920; Hoogerwerf 1949;<br>Sálim 1954, 1977; Wright 1957; Sálim<br>& Ripley 1969.                                                                                                                                  |
| South India                    | В    | Davidson 1898; Fergusson & Bourdillon<br>1904; Sálim 1943, 1969; Sálim & Ripley<br>1969.                                                                                                                                                |
| Sri Lanka                      | В    | Butler 1896; Wait 1931; Sálim & Ripley<br>1969.                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Andaman and<br>Nicobar Islands | В    | Butler 1899a; Abdulali 1964, 1978;<br>Sálim & Ripley 1969; Marshall pers.<br>comm.                                                                                                                                                      |
| Burma                          | В    | Stanford & Ticehurst 1939; Smythies<br>1953; Sálim & Ripley 1969.                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Thailand                       | В    | Lokagul & Cronin 1974; Holmes 1974*;<br>Marshall pers. comm.*                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Malay Peninsula                | В    | Medway & Wells 1976; Kersley 1972*;<br>Marshall pers. comm.*                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Sumatra                        | В    | Marshall pers. comm.*                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |

## H-3. Geographical distribution of primary call types

 $\sim$ 

#### H-3 (continued)

| Location | Туре | References                     |
|----------|------|--------------------------------|
| Borneo   | (B)  | Hose 1893; Smythies 1968.      |
| Java     | (B)  | Bartels 1928; Hoogerwerf 1949. |
| Mindanao | С    | Marshall pers. comm.*          |

~~~

.

.

Note: \*, disc or tape recordings; (), a possible type.

284

### PART I. List of the Scientific Names of Avian Species, Genera and Families Referred to in the Thesis

Acrocephalus Aegolius funereus Asio A. flammeus A. otus Athene A. brama A. (Speotyto) cunicularia A. noctua Bonasa umbellus Bubo B. bubo B. capensis B. virginianus Cardinalinae Cardinalis cardinalis Carduelinae Colinus virginianus Cracticidae Dendrogapus obscurus Emberiza citrinella E. schoeniclus Emberizinae Estrildidae Fringillidae Gallus domesticus Gavia immer Geothlypis trichas Glaucidium G. passerinum Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus Haematopus ater Hydrobatidae

Icteridae Ketupa blakistoni Laridae Luscinia luscinia Melopsittacus undulatus Micrathene whitneyi Milvus migrans Muscicapidae Ninox N. connivens N. novaeseelandiae N. rufa N. scutulata N. strenua Numida meleagris Nyctea scandiaca Nycticorax nycticorax Otus 0. asio 0. flammeolus 0. trichopsis Paridae Parulidae Parus major Petroica australis Phasianidae Picidae Procellariidae Psittacidae Puffinus puffinus Pulsatrix Rallidae Recurvirostra avosetta

Sceloglaux albifacies Scolopacidae Scotopelia peli Seiurus aurocapillus Setophaga rusticilla Spheniscidae Spizella pusilla Strigidae Strix S. aluco S. nebulosa S. occidentalis S. uralensis

Sturnidae <u>Sturnus vulgaris</u> <u>Surnia ulula</u> <u>Thryothorus ludovicianus</u> Troglodytidae <u>Turdus ericetorum</u> <u>T. merula</u> <u>Tyrannus tyrannus</u> <u>Tyto alba</u> <u>T. capensis</u> <u>Vermivora Chrysoptera</u> <u>Zonotrichia albicollis</u>

,
## REFERENCES

## PART A. Bibliography

- Adulali, H. 1964. The birds of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 61: 483-571.
- Adulali, H. 1978. The birds of Great and Car Nicobars with some notes on wildlife conservation in the islands. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 75: 744-72.
- Abe, M., Kojima, N., Masuoka, S. and Kusunoki, Y. 1979. (Observations of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls). Wild Birds, 44: 361-65 (in Japanese).
- Adret, P. 1982. The sound signals of the adult Avocet <u>Recurvirostra</u> <u>avosetta</u> during the perinatal phase. Ibis, 124: 275-87.
- Adret-Hausberger, M. 1984. Seasonal variation in the whistles of the Starling Sturnus vulgaris. Ibis, 126: 372-78.
- Alexander, H.G. 1931. The effect of severe weather on bird song. British Birds, 15: 97-101.
- Andrew, F.J. 1961. The motivational organization controlling the mobbing calls of the Blackbird (<u>Turdus merula</u>). Behaviour, 17: 224-26.
- Andrew, R.J. 1969. The effects of testosterone on avian vocalizations. In: Bird Vocalizations (ed. R.A. Hinde), pp. 97-130. Cambridge: University Press.
- Armstrong, E.A. 1965. Bird Display and Behaviour. New York: Dover. Armstrong, E.A. 1973. A Study of Bird Song. New York: Dover.
- Armstrong, E.A. and Phillips, G.W. 1925. The breeding of the Short-eared Owl in Yorkshire. British Birds, 18: 226-30.
- Armstrong, J. 1876. Notes on birds collected in the Rangoon District of the Irrawaddy Delta. Stray Feathers, 4: 295-351.
- Arnold, A.P. 1982. Neural control of passerine song. In: Acoustic Communication in Birds, Vol. 1 (ed. D.E. Kroodsma and E.H. Miller), pp. 75-94. London: Academic Press.
- Aschoff, J. 1967. Circadian rhythms in birds. In: The Proceedings of the XIV International Ornithological Congress (ed. D.W. Snow), pp. 81-105. Oxford: Blackwell.

Aström, G. 1976. Environmental influence on daily song activity of the Reed Bunting Emberiza schoeniclus (L.). Zoon Supplement, 2.

Attwood, L. and Attwood, M. 1949. Marsh Owl under observation. African Wild Life, 2: 62-66.

Aubin, E.A. 1972. Aural communication in ruffed grouse. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 50: 1225-29.

Avery, M.I. and Krebs, J.R. 1984. Temperature and foraging success of Great Tits <u>Parus major</u> hunting for spiders. Ibis, 126: 33-38.

Bailey, K. 1978. The structure and variation of the separation call of the Bobwhite Quail (<u>Colinus virginianus</u>, Odontophorinae). Animal Behaviour, 26: 296-303.

Bailey, N.T.J. 1959. Statistical Methods in Biology. London: Hodder and Stoughton.

Baker, E.C.S. 1927. The Fauna of British India: Including Ceylon and Burma, 2nd edn., Vol. 4. London: Taylor and Francis.

Baker, M.C., Cunningham, M.A. and Thompson, A.D. Jr. 1984. Cultural and genetic differentiation of two subspecies of White-crowned Sparrow. Condor, 86: 359-67.

Barklow, W.E. 1979. Graded frequency variations of the tremolo call of the common loon (Gavia immer). Condor, 81: 53-64.

Barnard, H.G. 1911. Field notes for Cape York. Emu, 11: 17-32.

Barrows, C. 1980. Feeding ecology of the Spotted Owl in California. Raptor Research, 14: 73-78.

Bartels, M. Jr. 1928. Zur Lebensweise von <u>Ninox scutulata javanensis</u> Stres. Ornithologische Monatsbericht, 36: 81.

Becker, P.H. 1982. The coding of species-specific characteristics in bird sounds. In: Acoustic Communication in Birds, Vol. 1 (ed. D.E. Kroodsma and E.H. Miller), pp. 213-52. London: Academic Press.

Beecher, M.D. 1982. Signature systems and kin recognition. American Zoologist, 22: 477-90.

Beer, C.G. 1970. Individual recognition of voice in the social behaviour of birds. In: Advances in the Study of Behaviour, Vol. 3 (ed. D.S. Lehrman, R.A. Hinde and E. Shaw), pp. 27-74. New York: Academic Press.

Beightol, D.R. and Samuel, D.E. 1973. Sonagraphic analysis of the American Woodcock's peent call. Journal of Wildlife Management, 37: 470-75.

Beletsky, L.D. 1983a. Vocal mate recognition in male Red-winged Blackbirds Agelaius phoeniceus. Behaviour, 84: 124-34.

Beletsky, L.D. 1983b. An investigation of individual recognition by voice in female Red-winged Blackbirds. Animal Behaviour, 31: 355-62.

Berger, D.D. and Hamerstrom, F. 1962. Protecting a trapping station from raptor predation. Journal of the Wildlife Management, 26: 203-6.

Berger, L.R. and Ligon, J.D. 1977. Vocal communication and individual recognition in the Pinon Jay <u>Gymnorhinus</u> <u>cyanocephalus</u>. Animal Behaviour, 25: 567-84.

Berggren, V. and Wahlstedt, J. 1977. Lappugglans <u>Strix nebulosa</u> läten (The sound repertoire of the Great Grey Owl). Vår Fågelvärld, 36: 243-49.

Bergman, H.-H. and Ganso, M. 1965. Zur Biologie des Sperlingskauzes (Glaucidium passerinum (L.)). Journal für Ornithologie, 106: 255-84.

Bertram, B. 1970. The vocal behaviour of the Indian Hill Mynah Gracula religiosa. Animal Behaviour Monograph, 3: 81-192.

Borror, D.J. and Gunn, W.W.H. 1965. Variation in White-throated Sparrow songs. Auk, 82: 26-47.

Brainerd, J.W. 1974. Shizen Hogo Handobukku. Japanese translation of "Nature Study for Conservation". Tokyo: Chijin Shokan.

Bremond, J.C. 1963. Acoustic behaviour of birds. In: Acoustic Behaviour of Animals (ed. R.G. Busnel), pp. 709-50. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

Bremond, J. 1968. Recherches sur la semantique et les elements vecteurs d'information dans les signaux acoustiques de Rougegorge (<u>Erithacus rubecula</u> L.). La Terre et la Vie, 2: 109-220.

Bremond, J. 1976. Species recognition in the song of Bonelli's Warbler (Phylloscopus bonelli). Behaviour, 48: 99-116.

Brooke, M. de L. 1978. Sexual differences in the voice and individual vocal recognition in the Manx Shearwater (<u>Puffinus</u> puffinus). Animal Behaviour, 26: 622-29.

Brooks, R.J. and Falls, J.B. 1975a. Individual recognition by song in white-throated sparrows. I. Discrimination of songs of neighbours and strangers. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 53: 879-88.

Brooks, R.J. and Falls, J.B. 1975b. Individual recognition by song in white-throated sparrows. III. Song features used in individual recognition. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 53: 1749-61.

Bryant, C.E. 1941. Notes on Boobook Owl nestlings. Emu, 41: 97-100.

- Buckley, P.A. and Buckley, F.G. 1970. Color variation in the soft parts and down of Royal Tern chicks. Auk, 87: 1-13.
- Bühler, P. and Epple, W. 1980. Die Lautausserungen der Schleiereule (Tyto alba). Journal für Ornithologie, 121: 36-70.

Bunn, D.S. 1974. The voice of the Barn Owl. British Birds, 67: 493-501.

- Butler, A.L. 1896. Ornithological notes from the Cocoawatte Estate, Lunugala, in the province of Uva, Ceylon. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 10: 284-315.
- Butler, A.L. 1899a. Birds of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (I). Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 12: 555-71,

Butler, A.L. 1899b. Birds of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (II). Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 12: 684-96.

Buturlin, S.A. 1910. <u>Ninox scutulata ussuriensis</u> subspecies. Ornithologische Mitteilungen, 1: 187.

- Carter, T. 1903. Birds arriving in the region of the North-west Cape. Emu, 3: 34-35.
- Catchpole, C.K. 1973. The functions of advertising song in the Sedge Warbler (<u>Acrocephalus schoenobaenus</u>) and the Reed Warbler (<u>A.</u> <u>scirpaceus</u>). Behaviour, 46: 300-20.

- Catchpole, C.K. 1977. Aggressive responses of male Sedge Warblers (<u>Acrocephalus schoenobaenus</u>) to playback of species song and sympatric species song before and after pairing. Animal Behaviour, 25: 489-96.
- Cheney, M.G. 1915. Birds of Wangaratta District, Victoria. Emu, 14: 199-213.
- Chew, L. 1981. Geographic and individual variation in the morphology and sequential organization of the song of the Savannah Sparrow (<u>Passerculus sandwichensis</u>). Canadian Journal of Zoology, 59: 702-13.
- Clark, R.J. 1975. A field study of the Short-eared Owl <u>Asio flammeus</u> (Pontoppidan) in North America. Wildlife Monographs, 47: 1-67.
- Clark, R.J., Smith, D.G. and Kelso, L.H. 1978. Working Bibliography of Owls of the World: with Summaries of Current Taxonomy and Distribution Status. NWF Scientific/Technical Series No. 1. Washington, D.C.: Raptor Information Centre, National Wildlife Federation.
- Coulombe, H.N. 1971. Behavior and population ecology of the Burrowing Owl, <u>Spectyto cunicularia</u>, in the Imperial Valley of California. Condor, 73: 162-76.
- Dabelsteen, T. 1981. The sound pressure level in the dawn song of the Blackbird <u>Turdus merula</u> and a method for adjusting the level in experimental song to the level in natural song. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie, 56: 137-49.
- Davidson, J. 1898. The Birds of North Kanara. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 12: 43-72.
- Dawkins, S.R. and Krebs, J.R. 1978. Animal signals: information or manipulation? In: Behavioural Ecology, an Evolutionary Approach (ed. J.R. Krebs and N.B. Davies), pp. 282-309. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Delacour, J. and Mayr, E. 1946. Birds of the Philippines. New York: MacMillan.
- Dement'ev, G.P. 1966. Genus <u>Ninox</u> Hodgson, Needle-legged Owls. In: Birds of the Soviet Union, Vol. 1 (ed. G.P. Dement'ev and N.A. Gladkov), pp. 433-35. Translation of "Ptitsy Sovetskogo Soyuza", Moscow, 1951-1954. Jerusalem: Israel Program for Scientific Translations.

Derenne, M., Jouventin, P. and Mougin, J.L. 1979. Le chant du Manchot royal <u>Aptenodytes patagonica</u> et sa signification evolutive. Gerfaut, 69: 211-24.

Dickinson, E.C. 1975. The identity of <u>Ninox scutulata</u> Raffles. Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club, 95: 104-5.

Dooling, R.J. 1982. Auditory perception in birds. In: Acoustic Communication in Birds, Vol. 1 (ed. D.E. Kroodsma and E.H. Miller), pp. 95-130. London: Academic Press.

Du Pont, J.E. 1971. Philippine Birds. Monograph Series, No. 2. Greenville (Delaware): Delaware Museum of Natural History.

- Eisner, E. 1960. The relationship of hormones to the reproductive behaviour of birds, referring especially to parental behaviour: a review. Animal Behaviour, 8: 155-79.
- Ellis, C.R. Jr. and Stokes, A.W. 1966. Vocalizations and behavior in captive Gambel Quail. Condor, 68: 72-80.

Emlen, J.T. 1973. Vocal stimulation in the Great Horned Owls. Condor, 75: 126-27.

- Emlen, S.T. 1971. The role of song in individual recognition in the Indigo Bunting. Zeitshrift für Tierpsychologie, 28: 241-46.
- Emlen, S.T. 1972. An experimental analysis of the parameters of bird song eliciting species recognition. Behaviour, 41: 130-71.

Emlen, S.T., Rising, J.D. and Thompson, W.L. 1975. A behavioural and morphological study of sympatry in the Indigo and Lazuli buntings of the Great Plains. Wilson Bulletin, 87: 145-79.

Etchécopar, R.D. and Hue, F. 1978. Les Oiseaux de Chine, de Mongolie et de Corée: Non Passereaux. Papeete (Tahiti): Les éditions du pacifique.

- Evans, R.M. 1970. Parental recognition and "mew" call in Blackbilled Gulls (Larus bulleri). Auk, 87: 503-13.
- Everett, M. 1977. A Natural History of Owls. London: Hamlyn.
- Exo, K.-M. 1984. Die akustische Unterscheidung von steinkauzmänchen und -weibchen (<u>Athene noctua</u>). Journal für Ornithologie, 125: 94-97.
- Falls, J.B. 1969. Functions of territorial song in the whitethroated sparrow. In: Bird Vocalizations (ed. R.A. Hinde), pp. 207-32. Cambridge: University Press.

- Falls, J.B. 1982. Individual recognition by sounds in birds. In: Acoustic Communication in Birds, Vol. 2 (ed. D.E. Kroodsma and E.H. Miller), pp. 237-78. London: Academic Press.
- Falls, J.B. and Brooks, R.J. 1975. Individual recognition by song in white-throated sparrows. II: Effects of location. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 53: 1412-20.
- Falls, J.B. and d'Agincourt, L.G. 1981. A comparison of neighborstranger discrimination in Eastern and Western Meadowlarks. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 59: 2380-85.
- Falls, J.B. and McNicholl, M.K. 1979. Neighbor-stranger discrimination by song in male blue grouse. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 57: 457-62.
- Favaloro, N. (and Fleay, D.). 1946. Eggs of the Powerful Owl. Emu, 46: 44-48.
- Fergusson, H.-S. and Bourdillon, T.F. 1904. The birds of Travancore with notes on their nidification. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 15: 654-73.
- Ferrell, R. and Baptista, L.F. 1982. Diurnal rhythms in the vocalizations of Budgerigars. Condor, 84: 123-24.
- Ficken, M.S. and Ficken, R.W. 1973. Effects of number, kind and order of song element on playback responses of the golden-winged warbler. Behaviour, 46: 114-28.
- Fisher, J.B. 1977. Wildlife Sound Recording. London: Pelham Books.
- Fitzpatrick, J.W. 1975. A record of allopreening in the Barred Owl. Auk, 92: 598-99.
- Fleay, D. 1940. The Barking Owl mystery. Victorian Naturalist, 57: 71-95.
- Fleay, D. 1942. Barking Owl (rather than 'Winking Owl') record of nesting habits. Emu, 42: 25-30.
- Fleay, D. 1949. The Tasmanian Masked Owl. Emu, 48: 169-76.
- Fleay, D.H. 1925. The Boobook Owl and Tawny Frogmouth. Emu, 25: 91-93.
- Fleming, R.L. Sr., Fleming, R.L. Jr. and Lain, S.B. 1979. Birds of Nepal: with Reference to Kachimir and Sikkim, 2nd edn. Kathmandu: Avalok.
- Fogden, M. 1973. Fishing owls, Eagle owls and the Snowy owl. In: Owls of the World, Their Evolution, Structure and Ecology (ed. J.A. Burton), pp. 61-93. London: Peter Lowe.

Fonstad, T. 1981. Song activity of the Willow Warbler <u>Phylloscopus</u> <u>trochilus</u> and the Brambling <u>Fringilla montifringilla</u> in a heath birch forest during the breeding season. Cinclus, 4: 87-96.

Forsman, E.D., Meslow, C.E. and Wight, H.M. 1984. Distribution and biology of the Spotted Owl in Oregon. Wildlife Monograph, 87: 1-64.

Gargett, V. 1977. Notes on the Cape Eagle Owl <u>Bubo capensis</u> <u>mackinderi</u> in the Matopos, Rhodesia. Ostrich, 48: 41-42.

Gargett, V. 1978. Mackinder's Eagle Owl in the Matopos, Rhodesia. Proceedings of the Symposium on African Predatory Birds, 41-61.

Goldman, P. 1973. Song recognition by field sparrows. Auk, 90: 106-13.

Gore, M.E.J. and Won, P.-O. 1971. (The birds of Korea). Seoul: Royal Asiatic Society, Korea Branch (in Korean).

Görner, M. 1977. Der Uhu und sein Schutz im Thüringen.

Landschaftspflege und Naturschutz in Thüringen, 14: 1-16.

- Gottfried, B.M. and Gottfried, A.H. 1978. Preliminary studies of the vocal responses of territorial Cardinals (<u>Cardinalis</u> <u>cardinalis</u>) to songs of a strange male. Ohio Journal of Science, 78: 85-87.
- Green, S. 1975. Communication by a graded vocal system in Japanese Monkeys. In: Primate Behaviour, Vol. 4 (ed. L.A. Rosenblum), pp. 1-102. New York: Academic Press.

Grossman, M.L. and Hamlet, J. 1964. Birds of Prey of the World. London: Cassell.

- Gruson, E.S. 1976. A Checklist of the Birds of the World: a Complete List of the Species, with Names, Authorities and Areas of Distribution. London: Collins.
- Guhl, A.M. and Ortman, L.L. 1953. Visual patterns in the recognition of individuals among chickens. Condor, 55: 287-98.
- Hansen, L. 1952. Natuglens (<u>Strix a. aluco</u> L.) døgn- og årsrytme. Dansk Ornithologisk Forening Tidsskrift, 46: 158-72.
- Harris, M.A. and Lemon, R.E. 1972. Songs of Song Sparrows (<u>Melospiza</u> <u>melodia</u>): individual variation and dialects. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 50: 301-9.
- Harrison, C. 1973. Hawk owls. In: Owls of the World, Their Evolution, Structure and Ecology (ed. J.A. Burton), pp. 147-63. London: Peter Lowe.

Haverschmidt, F. 1946. Observations on the breeding habits of the Little Owl (Athene noctua). Ardea, 34: 214-46.

Hayashi, T. 1902. (Miscellaneous observation of animals), Part I. Zoological Magazine, 14: 17-22 (in Japanese).

Hekstra, G.P. 1973. Scops and Screech owls. In: Owls of the World, Their Evolution, Structure and Ecology (ed. J.A. Burton), pp. 94-115. London: Peter Lowe.

Henry, G.M.R. 1971. A Guide to the Birds of Ceylon, 2nd edn. London: Oxford University Press.

- Hiett, J.C. and Catchpole, C.K. 1982. Song repertoires and seasonal song in the Yellowhammer, <u>Emberiza citrinella</u>. Animal Behaviour, 30: 568-74.
- Higgins, R. McR. 1979. Temperature-related variation in the duration of morning song of the Song Thrush <u>Turdus ericetorum</u>. Ibis, 121: 333-35.
- Higuchi, H. 1973. Birds of the Izu Islands (I) Distribution and habitat of the breeding land and freshwater birds. Tori, 22: 14-24 (in Japanese with an English summary).
- Higuchi, H. and Momose, H. 1980. On the calls of the Collard Scops Owl Otus bakkamoena in Japan. Tori, 29: 91-94.
- Hill, C.H. 1955. Notes on the habits and breeding of the Tasmanian Masked Owl. Emu, 55: 203-10.
- Hinde, R.A. 1952. The behaviour of the great tit (<u>Parus major</u>) and some other related species. Behaviour Supplement, 2.

Hirons, G.T.M. 1976. A population study of the Tawny Owl <u>Strix</u> <u>aluco</u> L. and its main prey species in woodland. Doctor of Philosophy Thesis at the University of Oxford.

- Hjorth, I. 1976. The divalent origin and adaptive radiation of grouse songs. Ornis Scandinavica, 7: 147-57.
- Höglund, N.H. and Lansgren, E. 1969. The Great Grey Owl and its prey in Sweden (Strix nebulosa lapponica). Viltrevy, 5: 364-421.
- Holman, F.C. 1926. Nesting of the California Pygmy Owl in Yosemite (Valley, California). Condor, 28: 92-93.

Hoogerwerf, A. 1949. De Avifauna van de Plantentuin te Buitenzorg (Java). Buitenzorg: Koninklijke Plantentuin van Indonesië.

Hose, C. 1893. On the avifauna of Mt. Dulit and the Barum district in the Territory of Sarawak. Ibis, Ser. 6, 2: 381-424. Howard, R. and Moore, A. 1980. A Complete Checklist of the Birds of the World. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hutchison, R.E., Stevenson, J.G. and Thorpe, W.H. 1968. The basis for individual recognition by voice in the Sandwich Tern (<u>Sterna</u> <u>sandvicensis</u>). Behaviour, 32: 150-57.

Huxley, C.R. and Wilkinson, R. 1977. Vocalizations of the Aldabra White-throated Rail <u>Dryolimnas cuvieri aldabranus</u>. Proceedings of Royal Society of London B. Biological Science, 197: 315-31.

Huxley, C.R. and Wilkinson, R. 1979. Duetting and vocal recognition by Aldabra White-throated Rails <u>Dryolimnas cuvieri aldabranus</u>. Ibis, 121: 265-73.

Ickes, R.A. and Ficken, M.S. 1970. An investigation of territorial behaviour in the American Redstart utilizing recorded songs. Wilson Bulletin, 82: 167-76.

Ikeda, S. and Ishizawa, N. 1949. (Food habits of the Strigiformes in Japan), Part VI. Choju Chosa Hokoku, 12: 31-38 (in Japanese).

Inglis, C.M., Travers, W.L., O'Donel, H.V. and Shebbeare, E.D. 1920.
A tentative list of the vertebrates of the Jalpaiguri district,
Bengal. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 27:
151-62.

Ishizawa, N. 1934. (Food habits of the Japanese Brown Hawk Owl).
Wild Birds, 1: 26-31 (in Japanese).

Ito, Y., Daisaku, E. and Morii, T. 1979. (Wild Birds in Tokai, Photographic Investigation). Nagoya: Chunichi Shimbunsha (in Japanese).

James, P.C. 1984. Sexual dimorphism in the voice of the British Storm Petrel Hydrobates pelagicus. Ibis, 126: 89-92.

James, P.C. 1985. Geographical and temporal variation in the calls of the Manx shearwater <u>Puffinus puffinus</u> and British storm petrel <u>Hydrobates pelagicus</u>. Journal of Zoology Series A, 207: 331-44.

Järvi, T. Radesäater, T. and Jakobsson, S. 1977. Individual recognition and variation in the Great Tit (<u>Parus major</u>) twosyllable song. Biphon, 5: 4-9.

Jeans, Sir J. 1937. Science & Music. Cambridge: University Press. Jerdon, T.C. 1862. The Birds of India - Being Natural History of All the Birds Known to Inhabit Continental India, Vol. 1. Calcutta: T.C. Jerdon.

Jouventin, P. 1972. Un nouveau système de reconnaissance acoustique chez les oiseaux. Behaviour, 43: 176-85.

Jouventin, P., Guillotin, M. and Cornet, A. 1979. Le chant de Manchot empereur et sa signification adaptative. Behaviour, 70: 232-50.

Jouventin, P. and Roux, P. 1979. Le chant du Manchot adelie (<u>Pygoscelis adeliae</u>). Role dans la reconnaissance individuelle et comparaison avec le Manchot empereur non territorial. Oiseaux et la Revue Française Ornithologie, 49: 31-37.

Kabaya, T. and Higuchi, H. 1977. Songs and calls of the Scops Owl Otus scops in the Ryukyu Island. Tori, 26: 93-94.

Kacelnik, A. 1979. The foraging efficiency of Great Tits <u>Parus major</u> in relation to light intensity. Animal Behaviour, 27: 237-41.

- Kacelnik, A. and Krebs, J.R. 1983. The dawn chorus in the Great Tit (<u>Parus major</u>): proximate and ultimate causes. Behaviour, 83: 287-309.
- Kawaguchi, M. 1918. (Observations of the breeding of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls). Tori, 2: 89-92 (in Japanese).
- Kawaguchi, M. 1937. (The ecology of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls). In: Nippon Chorui Seitaigaku Shiryo, pp. 76-97. Tokyo: Sourin Shobo (in Japanese).

Kawaguchi, M. and Ikemura, H. 1920. (Breeding progress of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls). Tori, 2: 299-305 (in Japanese).

Kiyosu, Y. 1965. (The Birds of Japan), revised and enlarged edn., Part II. Tokyo: Kodansha (in Japanese).

Knudsen, E.T. 1981. The hearing of the Barn Owl. Scientific American, 245: 83-91.

- Kobayashi, K. 1976. (The Birds of Japan in Natural Colours), newly revised and enlarged edn. Osaka: Hoikusha (in Japanese).
- König, C. 1965. "Zeigen" der Bruthöhle bei Sperlingskauz (<u>Glaucidium</u> <u>passerinum</u>) und Zwergohreule (<u>Otus scops</u>). Journal für Ornithologie, 106: 349-50.
- König, C. 1972. Owls. In: Grzimek's Animal Life Encyclopedia, Vol. 8 (ed. B. Grzimek, W. Meise, G. Niethammer and J. Steinbacher), pp. 392-420. Translation of "Grzimeks Tierleben", Zurich, 1968 (ed. G.M. Narita). New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.

Konishi, M. 1963. The role of auditory feedback in the vocal behaviour of the domestic fowl. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychology, 20: 349-67.

Krebs, J.R. 1971. Territory and breeding density in the Great Tit Parus major L. Ecology, 52: 2-22.

Krebs, J.R. 1976. Bird song and territorial defence. New Scientist, 70: 534-36.

Kroodsma, D.E. 1976. The effect of large song repertoires on neighbor "recognition" in male song sparrows. Condor, 78: 97-99.

Kroodsma, D.E. and Miller, E.H. (ed.). 1982. Acoustic Communication in Birds, 2 volumes. London: Academic Press.

Kuhk, R. 1941. Uber die Stimme des männlichen Rauhfusskauzes. Journal für Ornithologie, 89: 423.

Kuroda, Nagahisa. 1967. A note on the asymmetric ears in <u>Asio</u> <u>flammeus</u>. Miscellaneous Reports of the Yamashina Institute for Ornithology, 5: 106-9 (in Japanese).

Kuroda, Nagamichi. 1914. (Juveniles of Japanese Brown Hawk Owls and their habits). Zoological Magazine, 26: 485-86 (in Japanese).

Kuroda, Nagamichi. 1922a. Notes on the birds of Tsushima and Iki Islands, Japan. Ibis IV, Ser. 11: 75-105.

- Kuroda, Nagamichi. 1922b. Birds in the vicinity of Shizuura, Suruga (I). Tori, 3: 40-58 (in Japanese).
- Kuroda, Nagamichi. 1931. On the migration of certain birds in Tokyo and vicinity (II). Tori, 7: 112-66.
- Kuroda, Nagamichi. 1934. (Birds in Life Colours), Vol. 2. Tokyo: Shukyosha Shoin (in Japanese).
- La Touche, J.D.D. 1931-1934. A Handbook of the Birds of Eastern China (Chihli, Shantung, Kiangsu, Anhwei, Kiangsi, Chekiang, Fohkien and Kwangtung Provinces), Vol. 2. London: Taylor and Francis.

Leibundgut, H. 1973. Studien ueber das Verhalten von Uhus in der Gefangenschaft. Zeitschrift für Jagdwissenschaft, 19: 122-31.

- Lein, M.R. 1972. Territorial and courtship songs of birds. Nature (London), 237: 48-49.
- Lein, M.R. 1978. Song variation in a population of chestnut-sided warblers (<u>Dendroica pennsylvanica</u>): its nature and suggested significance. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 56: 1266-83.

- Lein, M.R. 1981. Display behavior of Ovenbirds (<u>Seiurus</u> <u>aurocapillus</u>) II. Song variation and singing behavior. Wilson Bulletin, 93: 21-41.
- Lekagul, B. and Cronin, E.W. Jr. 1974. Bird Guide of Thailand, 2nd revised edn. Bangkok: Association for the Conservation of Wildlife.
- Lemon, R.E. and Harris, M.A. 1974. The question of dialects in the songs of White-throated Sparrows. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 52: 83-98.
- Leopold, A. and Eynon, A.E. 1961. Avian daybreak and evening song in relation to time and light intensity. Condor, 63: 269-93.

Le Souëf, D. 1918. Queensland notes. Emu, 18: 43-49.

- Ligon, J.D. 1967. The biology of the Elf Owl, <u>Micrathene whitneyi</u>. Miscellaneous Publications of Museum of Zoology University of Michigan, 136: 6-67.
- Ligon, J.S. 1926. Habits of the Spotted Owl (<u>Syrnium occidentale</u>). Auk, 43: 421-29.
- Logan, C.A. 1983. Reproductively dependent song cyclicity in mated male Mockingbirds (Mimus polyglottos). Auk, 100: 404-13.
- Lundberg, A. 1980. Vocalizations and courtship feeding of the Ural Owl Strix uralensis. Ornis Scandinavica, 11: 65-70.
- MacGillivray, W. 1914. Notes on some North Queensland birds. Emu, 13: 132-86.
- MacGillivray, W. 1918. Ornithologists in North Queensland. Emu, 17: 180-212.
- Maier, V. 1982. Acoustic communication in the Guinea Fowl (<u>Numida-meleagris</u>) structure and use of vocalizations, and the principles of message coding. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie, 59: 29-83.
- Mamman, D.L. and Nowicki, S. 1981. Individual differences and within flock convergence in Chickadee calls. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 9: 179-86.
- Marler, P. 1957. Specific distinctiveness in the communication signals of birds. Behaviour, 11: 13-39.
- Marler, P. 1960. Bird songs and mate selection. In: Animal Sounds and Communication (ed. W. Lanyon and W. Tavolga), pp. 348-67. Washington: American Institute of Biological Sciences.

- Marler, P. 1965. Communication in monkeys and apes. In: Primate Behavior (ed. I. De Vore), pp. 544-84. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Marler, P. 1967. Animal communication signals. Science (New York), 157: 769-74.
- Marler, P. 1975. On the origin of speech from animal sounds. In: The Role of Speech in Language (ed. F. Kavanagh and J. Cuttings), pp. 11-37. Cambridge (Massachusetts): MIT Press.
- Marler, P. and Isaac, C. 1960. Song variation in a population of Brown Towhees. Condor, 62: 272-83.
- Marler, P. and Mundinger, P.C. 1975. Vocalizations, social organization and breeding biology of the Twite <u>Acanthus</u> <u>flavirostris</u>. Ibis, 117: 1-17.
- Marler, P. and Tamura, M. 1964. Culturally transmitted patterns of vocal behaviour in sparrows. Science, 146: 1483-86.
- Marshall, J.T. Jr. 1939. Territorial behavior of the Flammulated Screech Owl. Condor, 41: 71-78.
- Marshall, J.T. Jr. 1964. Voice in communication and relationship among Brown Towhees. Condor, 66: 345-56.
- Marshall, J.T. Jr. 1966. Relationships of certain owls around the Pacific. Natural History Bulletin of the Siam Society, 21: 235-42.
- Marshall, J.T. Jr. 1973. Letter to the editor. Natural History Bulletin of the Siam Society, 24: 509.
- Martin, D.J. 1973a. A spectrographic analysis of Burrowing Owl vocalizations. Auk, 90: 564-78.
- Martin, D.J. 1973b. Selected aspects of Burrowing Owl ecology and behavior. Condor, 75: 446-56.
- Martin, G.R. 1986. Sensory capacity and the nocturnal habit of owls (Strigiformes). Ibis, 128: 266-77.
- Massey, B.R. 1976. Vocal differences between American Least Terns and the European Little Tern. Auk, 93: 760-73.
- Masuda, A. 1974. Brooding and rearing of Japanese Brown Hawk Owl, <u>Ninox scutulata japonica</u>. Research Reports of the Kochi University (Natural Science), 22: 193-200 (in Japanese with an English abstract).

- McCann, C. 1933. The Brown Hawk Owl (<u>Nonox scutulata</u> Raffles) feeding on bats. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 36: 1002-3.
- McClure, H.E. 1974. Some bionomics of the birds of Khao Yai National Park, Thailand. Natural History Bulletin of Siam Society, 25: 99-167.
- McQueen, L.B. 1972. Observations on copulatory behavior of a pair of Screech Owls (Otus asio). Condor, 74: 101.
- Medway, L. and Wells, D.R. 1976. The Birds of the Malay Peninsula: a General Account of the Birds Inhabiting the Region from the Isthmus of Kra to Singapore with the Adjacent Islands, Vol. 5. London: H.F. & G. Witherby in association with Penerbit Universiti Malaya.
- Mees, G.F. 1970. Notes on some birds from the island of Formosa (Taiwan). Zoologische Mededelingen, 44: 285-304.
- Mikkola, H. 1973. Wood owls. In: Owls of the World, Their Evolution, Structure and Ecology (ed. J.A. Burton), pp. 116-46. London: Peter Lowe.
- Miller, A.H. 1934. The vocal apparatus of some North American owls. Condor, 36: 204-13.
- Miller, A.H. and Miller, L. 1951. Geographic variation of the screech owls of the deserts of western North America. Condor, 53: 161-77.
- Miller, D.B. 1978. Species-typical and individually distinctive acoustic features of crow calls of Red Jungle Fowl. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie, 47: 182-93.
- Miller, D.B. 1979. The acoustic basis of mate recognition by female Zebra Finches (<u>Taeniopygia guttata</u>). Animal Behaviour, 27: 376-80.
- Miller, E.H. 1979. An approach to the analysis of graded vocalizations of birds. Behaviour and Neural Biology, 27: 25-38.
- Miller, E.H. and Baker, A.J. 1980. Displays of the Magellanic Oystercatcher (<u>Haematopus leucopodus</u>). Wilson Bulletin, 92: 149-68.
- Miller, L. 1930. The territorial concept in the horned owl. Condor, 32: 290-91.

- Mills, F.C. 1965. Statistical Methods, 3rd edn. London: Sir Isaac Pitman & Sons.
- Minnemann, D. and Busse, H. 1980. Reproductive biology of the Turkmen SSR Eagle Owl <u>Bubo bubo omissus</u>. Milu, 5: 157-66.

Mishima, T. 1956. (Notes on <u>Ninox scutulata</u>). Japan Wildlife Bulletin, 15: 25-35 (in Japanese).

- Miyawaki, A., Fujiwara, K., Harada, H., Kusunoki, T. and Okuda, S. 1971. (Vegetation of Zushi - on Evergreen Broad-leaved Forests in Japan). Zushi: Zushi Educational Committee (in Japanese with a German summary).
- M'lean, J.C. 1911. Bush-birds of New Zealand. Emu, 11: 1-17.

Momiyama, T. and Nomura, M. 1919. (Birds of Iruma-gun, Saitama). Tori, 2: 158-200 (in Japanese).

Morse, D.H. 1970. Territorial and courtship songs of birds. Nature (London), 226: 659-61.

- Morton, E. 1977. On the occurrence and significance of motivationstructural rules in some bird and mammal sounds. American Naturalist, 111: 855-70.
- Morton, E.S. 1982. Grading, discreteness, redundancy and motivationstructural rules. In: Acoustic Communication in Birds, Vol. 1 (ed. D.E. Kroodsma and E.H. Miller), pp. 183-212. New York: Academic Press.

Morton, E.S. and Shalter, M.D. 1977. Vocal response to predators in pair-bonded Carolina Wrens. Condor, 79: 222-27.

Moseley, L.J. 1979. Individual auditory recognition in the Least Tern (Sterna albifrons). Auk, 96: 31-39.

- Mundinger, P.C. 1970. Vocal imitation and individual recognition of finch calls. Science, 168: 480-82.
- Nakanishi, G. 1936. (The first call of the young Japanese Brown Hawk Owl). Wild Birds, 4: 243 (in Japanese).
- Norberg, R.A. 1970. Hunting technique of Tengmalm's Owl <u>Aegolius</u> funereus L. Ornis Scandinavica, 1: 51-64.
- Norton, A.H. 1928. Watching a pair of Great Horned Owls. Maine Naturalist, 8: 3-16.
- Oba, T. 1986. The Brown Hawk Owl <u>Ninox scutulata</u> Raffles. An unpublished monograph.

The Ornithological Society of Japan 1974a. Check-List of Japanese Birds, 5th revised edn. Tokyo: Gakken.

The Ornithological Society of Japan 1974b. (Check-List of Japanese Birds), 5th revised edn. Tokyo: Gakken (in Japanese).

Panov, E.N. 1973. The Birds of South Ussuriland (Fauna, Biology, Behaviour). Translation of "Ptitsy Yuzhnogo Primor'ya (Fauna, Biologiya, Povedenie)". Novosibirsk: Publishing House Nauka, Siberia Branch.

Patchett, G.N. 1973. Microphone reflectors. Wireless World, 74: 262-65.

- Payne, R.S. 1962. How the Barn Owl locates prey by hearing. Living Bird, 1: 151-59.
- Peek, F.W. 1972. An experimental study of the territorial function of vocal and visual display in the male Red-winged Blackbird (Agelaius phoeniceus). Animal Behaviour, 20: 112-18.
- Peters, J.L. 1940. Check-list of Birds of the World, Vol. 4. Cambridge (Massachusetts): Harvard University Press. Reprinted by Museum of Comparative Zoology in 1964.
- Petrinovich, L., Patterson, T. and Peeke, H.V.S. 1976. Reproductive condition and the response of White-crowned Sparrows (Zonotrichia <u>leucophrys nuttalli</u>) to song. Science, 191: 206-7.
- Petrinovich, L. and Peeke, H.V.S. 1973. Habituation to territorial song in the white-crowned sparrow (<u>Zonotrichia leucophrys</u>). Behaviorâl Biology, 8: 743-48.
- Pickstock, J.C. and Krebs, J.R. 1980. Neighbour-stranger song discrimination in the chaffinch (<u>Fringilla coelebs</u>). Journal für Ornithologie, 121: 105-8.
- Pitelka, F.A., Tomich, P.Q. and Treichel, G.W. 1955. Breeding behavior of jeagers and owls near Barrow, Alaska. Condor, 57: 3-18.
- Poole, T.B. 1974. Detailed analysis of fighting in polecats (Mustelidae) using cine film. Journal of Zoology, London, 173: 369-93.
- Potter, J.K. 1928. The Great Horned Owl in western Camden Country, New Jersey. Proceedings of the Delaware Valley Ornithological Club, Philadelphia, 26: 1-9.

- Powlesland, R.G. 1983. Seasonal and diurnal variation in vocal behaviour of the South Island Robin. New Zealand Journal of Zoology, 10: 225-32.
- Prestt, I. and Wagstaffe, R. 1973. Barn and Bay owls. In: Owls of the World, Their Evolution, Structure and Ecology (ed. J.A. Burton), pp. 42-60. London: Peter Lowe.
- Proud, D. 1949. Some notes on the birds of the Nepal Valley. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 48: 693-719.
- Pukinskii, Yu.B. 1974. (Vocalizations of <u>Ketupa blakistoni</u> (Seeb.)). Vestnik Leningradskogo Universitera, Seriya Biologiya, 1: 35-39 (in Russian with an English summary).
- Raffles, T.S. 1822. Descriptive catalogue of a zoological collection, made on account of the Honourable East India Company, in the Island of Sumatra and its vicinity, under the direction of Sir Thomas Stamford Raffles, Lieutenant Governor of Fort
  - Marlborough; with additional notices illustrative of the natural history of those countries. Transaction of the Linnean Society of London, 13: 239-340.
- Ramsey, J. 1919. Notes on birds observed in the Upper Clarence River District, N.S.W., Sept.-Dec., 1918. Emu, 19: 2-9.
- Ripley, S.D. 1953. A new race and new records for the Riukiu Islands. Tori, 13: 49-50.
- Ripley, S.D. and Rabor, D.S. 1958. Notes on a collection of birds from Mindro Island, Philippines. Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University Bulletin, No. 13.
- Ritchison, G. 1983a. Responses of Black-headed Grosbeaks to songs of conspecifics. Wilson Bulletin, 95: 132-38.
- Ritchison, G. 1983b. The function of singing in female Black-headed Grosbeaks (<u>Pheucticus melanocephalus</u>): family-group maintenance. Auk, 100: 105-16.
- Robinson, H.C. 1927. The Birds of the Malay Peninsula: a General Account of the Birds Inhabiting the Region from the Isthmus of Kra to Singapore with the Adjacent Islands, Vol. 1. London: H.F. & G. Witherby.
- Rowell, T.E. and Hinde, R.A. 1962. Vocal communication by the Rhesus Monkey (<u>Macaca mulatta</u>). Proceedings of Zoological Society of London, 138: 279-94.

- Saito, G. 1924. Birds from Ueno-mura, Ishigami-gun Prefecture Chiba. Tori, 4: 205-17 (in Japanese).
- Sálim, A. 1943. The birds of Mysore. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 44: 9-26.
- Sálim, A. 1954. The birds of Gujarat. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 52: 374-458.
- Sálim, A. 1969. Birds of Kerala, 2nd edn. of "The Birds of Travancore and Cochin", 1st published in 1953. London: Oxford University Press.
- Sálim, A. 1977. Field Guide to the Birds of the Eastern Himalayas. Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Sálim, A. 1979. The Book of Indian Birds, 11th edn. Bombay: Bombay Natural History Society.
- Sálim, A. and Ripley, S.D. 1969. Handbook of the Birds of India and Pakistan: Together with Those of Nepal, Sikkim, Bhutan and Ceylon, Vol. 3. Bombay: Oxford University Press.
- Saunders, A.A. 1924. Recognizing individual birds by song. Auk, 41: 242-59.
- Saunders, A.A. 1947. The seasons of bird song: the beginning of song in spring. Auk, 64: 97-114.
- Saunders, A.A. 1948. The seasons of bird song the cessation of song after the nesting season. Auk, 65: 19-30.
- Saunders, D.A. 1983. Vocal repertoire and individual vocal recognition in the short-billed White-tailed Black Cockatoo <u>Calyptorhynchus funereus latirostris</u> Carnaby. Australian Wildlife Research, 10: 527-36.
- Scherzinger, W. 1974. Zur Ethologie und Jugendentwicklung der Schnee-Eule (<u>Nyctea scandiaca</u>) nach Beobachtungen in Gefangenschaft. Journal für Ornithologie, 115: 8-49.
- Scherzinger, W. 1980. Zur Ethologie der Fortpflanzung und Jugendentwicklung des Habichtskauzes (<u>Strix uralensis</u>) mit Vergleichen zum Waldkauzes (<u>Strix aluco</u>). Bonner Zoologische Monographien. No. 15: 1-66.
- Schönn, S. 1976. Vierjahrige Untersuchungen der Biologie des Sperlingskauzes, <u>Glaucidium p. passerinum</u> (L.), im oberen Westerzgebirge. Beitrage zur Vogelkunde, 22: 261-300.
- Scott, C. 1968. A territorial encounter between Screech Owls. Wilson Bulletin, 80: 107.

Scott, D. 1980. Owls allopreening in the wild. British Birds, 73: 436-39.

Searcy, W.A., McArthur, P.D., Peters, S.S. and Marler, P. 1981. Response of male Song and Swamp sparrows to neighbor, stranger, and self songs. Behaviour, 77: 152-63.

Seebohm, H. 1892. Further notes on the birds of Tsushima, Japan. Ibis IV, Ser. 6: 248-50.

Shiovitz, K.A. 1975. The process of species-specific song recognition by the Indigo Bunting <u>Passerina cyanea</u> and its relationship to the organization of avian acoustic behavior. Behaviour, 55: 128-79.

Siegel, S. 1956. Nonparametric Statistics for the Behavioural Sciences, international student edn. Tokyo: McGraw-Hill Kogakusha.

Simpson, G.G., Roe, A. and Lewontin, R.C. 1960. Quantitative Zoology, revised edn. New York: Burlingame Harcourt, Brace and Company.

Slagsvold, T. 1973. Variation in the song activity of passerine forest bird communities throughout the breeding season: Special regard to the Song Thrush <u>Turdus philomelos</u> Brehm. Norwegian Journal of Zoology, 21: 139-58.

Slagsvold, T. 1977. Bird song activity in relation to breeding cycle, spring weather and environmental phenology. Ornis Scandinavica, 8: 197-222.

Smith, D.A. 1970. Observations on nesting Hawk Owls at the Mer Bleue, near Ottawa, Canada. Canadian Field-Naturalist, 84: 377-83.

Smith, D.G., Devine, A. and Gendron, D. 1982. An observation of copulation and allopreening of a pair of Whiskered Owls. Journal of the Field Ornithology, 53: 51-52.

Smith, W.J. 1965. Message, meaning and context in ethology. American Naturalist, 99: 405-9.

Smith, W.J. 1966. Communications and relationships in the Genus <u>Tyrannus</u>. Publications of the Nuttal Ornithological Club, No. 6. Cambridge (Massachusetts): Museum of Comparative Zoology. Smith, W.J. 1977a. The Behavior of Communicating, an Ethological Approach. Cambridge (Massachusetts): Harvard University Press.

- Smith, W.J. 1977b. Communication in birds. In: How Animals Communicate (ed. T.A. Sebeok), pp. 545-74. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Smythies, B.E. 1953. The Birds of Burma, 2nd edn. (revised). Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.
- Smythies, B.E. 1968. The Birds of Borneo, 2nd edn. Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.
- Sokal, R.R. and Rohlf, F.J. 1973. Introduction to Biostatistics. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman and Company.
- Sorjonen, J. 1977. Seasonal and diel patterns in the song of the Thrush Nightingale Luscinia luscinia in SE Finland. Ornis Fennica, 54: 101-7.
- Southern, H.N. 1970. The natural control of a population of Tawny Owls (Strix aluco). Journal of Zoology, London, 162: 197-285.
- Sparks, J. and Soper, T. 1970. Owls Their Natural and Unnatural History. Newton Abbot: David and Charles.
- Sparling, D.W. 1981. Communication in Prairie Grouse 1. Information content and intraspecific functions of principal vocalizations. Behavioral and Neural Biology, 32: 463-86.
- Stanford, J.K. and Ticehurst, C. 1939. On the birds of Northern Burman, Part V. Ibis III, Ser. 14: 1-45.
- Steffens, R. and Geiler, H. 1975. Der Einfluss exogener und endogener Faktoren auf die Intensität des Vogelgesanges. Beiträge zur Vogelkunde Leipzig, 21: 385-409.
- Stevens, H. 1924. Notes on the birds of the Sikkim Himalayas. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Soceity, 30: 872-93.
- Stevenson, J.G., Hutchison, R.E., Hutchison, J.B., Bertram, B.C.R. and Thorpe, W.H. 1970. Individual recognition by auditory cues in the Common Tern (<u>Sterna hirundo</u>). Nature, 226: 562-63.
- Steyn, P. and Scott, J. 1973. Notes on the breeding biology of the Wood Owl. Ostrich, 44: 118-25.
- Stidolph, R.H.D. 1925. On the notes of the New Zealand Boobook Owl. Emu, 24: 302-3.
- Stillwell, J. and Stillwell, N. 1954. Notes on the call of a Ferruginous Pygmy Owl. Wilson Bulletin, 66: 152.
- Stokes, A.W. and Williams, H.W. 1968. Antiphonal calling in quail. Auk, 85: 83-89.

Stresemann, E. 1928. <u>Ninox scutulata javanensis</u> subsp. nova. Ornithologische Monatsberichite, Berlin, 36: 54.

Stuart Dove, H. 1922. Owl calls. Emu, 22: 72.

- Takatsukasa, N. and Ikeda, S. 1936. Birds of Sado, Oki and the other islands in the coast of the Sea of Japan. Tori, 9: 127-41 (in Japanese).
- Taniguchi, K. 1983. Food remains of the Brown Hawk Owl <u>Ninox</u> <u>scutulata</u> from the Breeding Season. Tori, 32: 145-52 (in Japanese with an English summary).
- [Tansley, K.] Erichsen, J.T. 1985. Vision. In: A Dictionary of Birds (ed. B. Campbell and E. Lack), pp. 623-29. Calton: T & AD Poyser.
- Thielcke, G. 1969. Geographic variation in bird vocalizations. In: Bird Vocalizations (ed. R.A. Hinde), pp. 311-39. Cambridge: University Press.
- Thomas, D.W. and Dilworth, T.G. 1980. Variation in peent calls of American Woodcock. Condor, 82: 345-47.

Thomsen, L. 1971. Behavior and ecology of Burrowing Owls on the Oakland Municipal Airport. Condor, 73: 177-92.

- Thorpe, W.H. 1961. Bird Song: the Biology of Vocal Communication and Expression in Birds. Cambridge Monographs in Experimental Biology, No. 12. Cambridge: University Press.
- Thorpe, W.H. 1968. Perceptual basis for group organization in social vertebrates, especially birds. Nature, 220: 124-28.
- Tinbergen, N. 1953. The Herring Gulls' World. London: Collins.
- Trivers, R.L. 1972. Parental investment and sexual selection. In: Sexual Selection and the Descent of Man 1871-1971 (ed. B. Campbell), pp. 136-79. Chicago: Aldine.
- Tschanz, B. 1968. Trottellumen. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie, Beiheft 4.
- Tulloch, R.J. 1968. Snowy Owls breeding in Shetland in 1967. British Birds, 61: 119-32.
- Tulloch, R.J. 1969. Snowy owls breeding in Shetland. Scottish Birds, 5: 244-57.
- Uki, T. 1973. (Wild Birds of Gumma). Maebashi: Kankodo (in Japanese).

Urner, C.A. 1923. Notes on the Short-eared Owl. Auk, 40: 30-36.

- Van der Weyden, W.J. 1973. Geographical variation in the territorial song of the White-faced Scops Owl <u>Otus leucotis</u>. Ibis, 115: 129-31.
- Van der Weyden, W.J. 1975. Scops and Screech Owls: Vocal evidence for a basic subdivision in the Genus <u>Otus</u> (Strigidae). Ardea, 63: 65-77.
- Van der Weyden, W.J. and Ginn, H. 1973. Owl voices. In: Owls of the World, Their Evolution, Structure and Ecology (ed. J.A. Burton), pp. 201-9. London: Peter Lowe.
- Van Rhijn, J.G. 1980. Communication by agonistic displays: a discussion. Behaviour, 74: 284-93.
- Vaurie, C. 1960. Systematic notes on Palearctic birds No. 43 Strigidae: the Genus, <u>Otus</u>, <u>Aegolius</u>, <u>Ninox</u> and <u>Tyto</u>. American Museum Novitates, 2021: 1-19.
- Vaurie, C. 1965. The Birds of the Palearctic Fauna: a Systematic Reference, Vol. 2 (Non-passeriformes). London: H.F. & G. Witherby.
- Voous, K.H. 1964. Owl. In: a Dictionary of Birds (ed. Sir A.L. Thomson), pp. 574-76. London: Nelson.
- Wahlstedt, J. 1969. Jakt, matning och läten hos lappuggla <u>Strix</u> <u>nebulosa</u> (Hunting, feeding and voice in the Great Grey Owl <u>Strix</u> nebulosa). Vår Fågelvärld, 28: 89-101.
- Wait, W.E. 1921. The owls and diurnal birds of prey in Ceylon. Spolia Zeylanica, 11: 317-80.
- Wait, W.E. 1931. Manual of the Birds of Ceylon, 2nd edn. Colombo: Dulan and Company.
- Watson, M. 1969. Significance of antiphonal song in the Eastern Whipbird Psophodes olivaceus. Behaviour, 35: 157-78.
- Weedon, J.S. and Falls, J.B. 1959. Differential responses of male ovenbirds to recorded songs of neighboring and more distant individuals. Auk, 76: 343-51.
- Wendland, V. 1957. Aufzeichnungen über Brutbiologie und Verhalten der Waldohreule (<u>Asio otus</u>). Journal für Ornithologie, 98: 241-61.
- Wendland, V. 1958. Erguzende Feststellungen über Brutbiologie und Verhalten der Waldohreule (<u>Asio otus</u>). Journal für Ornithologie, 99: 23-31.

- Wendland, V. 1963. Fünfjährig Beobachtungen an einer Population des Waldkauzes (<u>Strix aluco</u>) in Berliner Grünewald. Journal für Ornithologie, 104: 23-57.
- White, H.L. 1917. (Notes on) North Australian birds observed by W. M'lennan. Emu, 16: 205-31.
- White, S.J. and White, R.E. 1970. Individual voice production in Gannets (Sula bassana). Behaviour, 37: 40-54.
- The Wild Bird Society of Japan, Shizuoka Chapter. 1982. The habitat and distribution of Brown Hawk-Owl (<u>Ninox scutulata</u>) in Shizuoka City. Strix, 1: 93-102 (in Japanese with an English summary).
- Wiley, R.H. and Richards, G.D. 1982. Adaptations for acoustic communication in birds: sound transmission and signal detection. In: Acoustic Communication in Birds, Vol. 1 (ed. D.E. Kroodsma and E.H. Miller), pp. 131-81. London: Academic Press.
- Wiley, R.H. and Wiley, M.S. 1977. Recognition of neighbour's duet by stripe-back wrens <u>Campylorhynchus nuchalis</u>. Behaviour, 62: 10-34.

Wilkinson, A.S. 1927. Birds of Kapiti Island. Emu, 26: 237-58.

- Williams, H.W. 1969. Vocal behavior of the adult California Quail. Auk, 86: 631-59.
- Wooler, R.D. 1978. Individual vocal recognition in the Kittiwake Gull <u>Rissa tridactyla</u>. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie, 48: 68-86.
- Wright, M.D. 1957. Notes on the birds of a selected area of Dehra Dun, June 1946 - July 1951. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 54: 627-62.
- Wunderle, J.M. Jr. 1978. Differential response of territorial Yellowthroats to the songs of neighbors and non-neighbors. Auk, 95: 389-95.
- Yamashina, Y. 1961. Birds in Japan a Field Guide. Tokyo: Tokyo News Service.
- Yasukawa, K., Bick, E.I., Wagman, D.W. and Marler, P. 1982. Playback and speaker-replacement experiments on song-based neighbor, stranger and self discrimination in male Red-winged Blackbirds. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 10: 211-15.
- Yuri, T. and Aragaki, H. 1975. (Nature of Okinawa Wild Birds). (Colour Encyclopedia), Series 2. Naha: Shinsei Tosho (in Japanese).

- Zarn, M. 1974. Spotted Owl <u>Strix occidentalis</u>. Technical Note. Habitat management series for unique or endangered species, Report No. 10, pp. 1-22. Colorado: Bureau of Land Management U.S. Department of the Interior, Denver Service Centre.
- Zheng, Z. (or Cheng, T.H.). 1976. (A Distribution List of Chinese Birds), 2nd edn. Beijing (i.e. Peking): Kexue Chuban She (in Chinese).
- Zimmer, U.E. 1982. Birds react to playback of recorded songs by heart rate alteration. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie, 58: 25-30.

## PART B. Recordings

- Holmes, D.A. 1974. <u>Ninox scutulata</u> at Prinyor, Naratiwat Province, South Thailand. The British Library of Wildlife Sounds No. 06809.
- Kersley, R. 1972. <u>Ninox scutulata</u> at Dusan Game Reserve, Malaysia. The British Library of Wildlife Sounds No. 06325.

Marshall, J.T. Jr. 1967-1975. <u>Ninox scutulata</u> in Thailand, Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines.

Zubakin, V., Ilinsky, I., Nikolsky, I.D., Pukinsky, Y. and Syoma, A. 1979. Voices of Rare Animals and Birds. 25 cm, 33 1/3 rpm. 33M71-4150516 Melodia (in Russian).