1	Date of preparation: 09 April 2010
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Fungicide levels in golf putting greens and relations with
8	arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
9	
10	
11	FREDERICK BARY [*] , ALAN C. GANGE, MARK CRANE and KAREN J. HAGLEY
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 OEX, UK
19	Author for correspondence F. Bary
20 21 22 23	* Present address: Department of Geology, NERC ICP-MS Facility, Kingston University, Penrhyn Road, Kingston-upon-Thames, Surrey, KT5 2EE, UK.
24	Tel.: 0208 547 2000
25	Fax: 0208 547 7497
26 27 28	<i>E-mail address</i> : f.bary@kingston.ac.uk.
29 30	Running title: Fungicides and AM fungi
31	Word count of text: 5,586
32	Word count of full document: 7,336

- 1 Summary
- 2

3 1. Poa annua (annual meadow grass) is the most problematic weed within sports turf and is 4 so abundant that herbicides can not be used against it, because almost total loss of the sward 5 would occur. It has been found that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have the potential to be 6 used as biological control agents of *P. annua* in sports turf, as they reduce its growth, while 7 increasing that of the desirable perennial grasses. However, AM fungal levels in amenity turf 8 are very low and the reasons for their lack of occurrence need to be understood. As sports 9 turf has a tradition of high pesticide usage, this study sought to test the hypothesis that levels 10 of toxic elements (derived from historical fungicide applications) and/or organic fungicides in 11 golf putting green soils are related to the low mycorrhizal abundance observed. 12 2. All 18 putting greens on four different golf courses were sampled. Levels of arsenic, 13 cadmium, copper and lead were recorded, as these elements formed part of fungicidal 14 compounds applied some years ago. Of the organic fungicides in current use, chlorothalonil, 15 fenarimol and iprodione were found to comprise over 70 % of all compounds used and levels 16 of these three chemicals in soils were also measured. Levels of AM colonization of P. annua 17 were also measured in every green. Colonization of desirable grasses could not be obtained, 18 because these were too rare in all the swards examined. 19 3. There was virtually no evidence that the abundance of AM fungi, as measured by 20 arbuscular colonization of roots, was affected by the presence of any of the chemicals. Levels 21 of all elements were below the ambient levels for UK soils. 22 4. A manipulative experiment, involving the application of chlorothalonil, fenarimol and 23 iprodione to a putting green, was conducted over a six month period. No effects of any of the 24 three fungicides could be found on AM colonization. 25 5. Synthesis and applications 26 It is concluded that the low levels of AM fungi in putting greens are unlikely to be due to 27 excessive pesticide application. Levels of historically-applied compounds are very low and 28 modern fungicides do not reduce existing AM colonization when applied to turf. Therefore, if 29 AM fungi are applied to sports turf for the control of *Poa*, their application will not be 30 compromised by current or past fungicide use. 31 32 33 Key-words: non-target effects, pesticide, Poa annua, sports turf, turf grass. 34 35

- 1 Introduction
- 2

3 One of the keys to successful sports turf management in the UK and other temperate areas of

4 the world is control of the weed grass *Poa annua* L. (annual meadow grass or annual

5 bluegrass) (Adams & Gibbs 1994). *P. annua* infests virtually every golf green in the UK and

6 in many cases it can represent the majority of grass cover in the sward (Mann in press).

7 Although selective herbicides have been tried against it (Johnson 1982), none are available in

8 the UK and even if they were, their use would be problematic, since application would result

9 in almost total loss of the sward, something that no golf club could allow. *P. annua* is a

10 problem in fine turf because it is susceptible to drought, so large amounts of irrigation water

11 must be applied to maintain the sward. Furthermore, it is also susceptible to several

12 devastating diseases, notably microdochium patch (causative organism Microdochium nivale

13 (Fr.) Samuels & I.C. Hallett) and anthracnose (basal rot) (causative organism Colletotrichum

14 graminicola (Ces.) Wilson). In order to maintain fine turf on golf putting greens, fungicide

15 application is obligatory (Perris & Evans 1996).

16 Currently, 16 fungicides containing 7 different active ingredients, are approved for use on 17 turf grass in the UK (Whitehead 2000). In a recent survey, it was found that golf putting greens receive five times the amount of active ingredient of all pesticides per unit area per 18 19 annum than is applied to a cereal crop (Garthwaite 1996). Therefore, such a level of pesticide 20 application, combined with a high frequency of irrigation, means that there is the potential for 21 leaching of chemicals and contamination of groundwater (Odanaka et al. 1994). Despite this 22 theoretical risk, a recent review has found little evidence for groundwater contamination 23 (Cohen et al. 1999), because chemicals may persist in the soil profile or be degraded to less 24 harmful substances by microbial action.

25 Even if putting greens are not sources of groundwater contamination, there are still several 26 reasons why approaches to turf management need to become less chemically-based and more 27 ecologically-based. Firstly, reliance on any one chemical cannot be sustained, as problems of 28 resistance of the target organism may occur. Indeed, fungicide resistance in M. nivale, the 29 most prevalent and damaging pathogen of turf, has been reported in the USA (Vargas 1994). 30 Secondly, many chemicals can have non-target effects on beneficial organisms, for example, 31 fungicides applied to turf can reduce bacterial populations in the soil (Yang et al. 2000). A 32 third reason is that the current EU Pesticides Review Programme may mean that a number of 33 compounds will be lost to UK growers in the future, including products used by turf managers 34 (Wood 2001). Over the years, pesticide strategies on turf grass have changed from the use of

1 inorganic compounds, such as mercuric chloride, cadmium chloride, Malachite/Bordeaux 2 mixture (containing copper sulphate), Paris Green (containing copper acetoarsenite) and lead 3 arsenate (Greenfield 1962) to the array of modern, less persistent organic pesticides. 4 However, the number of currently-approved compounds is likely to diminish in the future, 5 and thus it is critical to seek biological approaches to turf management now, so that these are 6 in place if some currently approved products are lost. If a biological approach to P. annua 7 control can be found, the need for chemical pesticide use on turf would be diminished greatly. 8 Furthermore, it is also important to determine if such an approach is compatible with pesticide 9 residues, derived from past or present usage.

10 It has recently been shown that arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi may have potential for 11 use in reducing the amount of *P. annua* in putting green turf (Gange 1998; Gange, Lindsay & 12 Ellis 1999b). These fungi generally form mutualistic associations with about 70% of vascular 13 plants (Hodge 2000), but for most plants there exists a continuum of responses to fungal 14 colonization, from positive (i.e. beneficial) to negative (i.e. antagonistic) (Gange & Ayres, 15 1999). In fine turf, it appears that AM fungi are antagonistic to the growth of *P. annua*, while 16 being beneficial to the growth of desirable grasses such as *Agrostis* spp. (Gemma *et al.*, 1997; 17 Gange, 1998). The mechanism is thought to be one in which carbon outflows to the 18 mycorrhiza exceed nutrient inflows in *P. annua*, thus resulting in a net reduction in plant 19 growth (Gange *et al.*, 1999b). AM fungi therefore have the potential to be an important part 20 of an integrated control programme for *P. annua*. However, for this to be successful, 21 management techniques must be developed to encourage and sustain high levels of these 22 fungi in sports turf.

23 There is a potential conflict in the use of turf fungicides and AM fungi, because many of 24 the commonly-applied chemicals have been shown to reduce AM abundance in ecological 25 experiments (e.g. chlorothalonil (Venedikian et al. 1999) and iprodione (Gange, Brown & 26 Farmer 1990)). Furthermore, if lack of pesticide leaching from golf greens is indicative of 27 chemical retention within the soil profile (Cohen et al. 1999; Armbrust 2001), it is also 28 important to determine if this is a cause of the relative lack of these fungi in turf soil. 29 Certainly, levels of AM fungi in putting greens are considerably lower than those of less 30 intensively-managed areas (Koske, Gemma & Jackson 1997a; Gange et al. 1999b) and this 31 could be due to persistent elements such as cadmium, lead or arsenic derived from 32 compounds applied many years ago, or high levels of modern organic fungicides. However, 33 to date, no study has examined whether application of fungicides to fine turf affects the 34 colonization of grass roots by AM fungi.

1 The aims of this paper are to describe recent fungicide application patterns at four golf 2 courses in southern England and to determine which chemicals are most commonly applied. 3 In addition, we have measured levels of arsenic, cadmium, copper and lead and three of the 4 most commonly used organic pesticides in putting green soils and related these to abundance 5 of AM fungi. Clearly, it is not desirable or relevant to perform manipulative experiments 6 involving the application of historically-applied compounds such as lead arsenate or cadmium 7 chloride. Therefore, we have taken an observational approach and sought relations between 8 elemental levels and AM colonization in a similar manner to that of Weissenhorn, Mench & 9 Leyval (1995). In contrast, it is relevant to perform manipulative experiments with modern 10 fungicides and we report the results of a study in which three of the most commonly used 11 chemicals were applied to a working golf green and AM levels measured over a six month 12 period. This experiment was designed to test the hypothesis that fungicide application will 13 reduce AM colonization in sports turf, given that one of the most-commonly used chemicals 14 (iprodione) has been shown to do so in a natural plant community (Gange *et al.* 1990).

15

16 Materials and methods

17 COURSE SPECIFICATIONS

Four 18-hole golf courses were selected for the study. As each course wishes that fungicide 18 19 application details remain confidential, they are hereafter referred to as A, B, C and D. 20 Courses A, B and C are of similar age, being opened in 1896, 1894 and 1928. Each of these 21 three courses has greens in which the rootzone was constructed using local soil. This gave pH ranges of 5.6 - 7.9 (course A), 6.6 - 7.4 (course B) and 4.5 - 6.8 (course C). Course D is of 22 23 more recent construction (1991) and the rootzone in the greens comprises a mixture of 80% 24 sand and 20% milled peat, known as 'United States Golf Association (USGA) specification' (Bengeyfield 1989). Bicarbonate-extractable P concentrations were 19.4 \pm 1.2 $\mu g~g^{\text{-1}},$ 26.3 \pm 25 3.6 μ g g⁻¹, 24.7 \pm 5.4 μ g g⁻¹ and 17.9 \pm 2.3 μ g g⁻¹ respectively. Greens were mown daily in 26 27 summer to a height between 4 and 5 mm and all were equipped with automatic irrigation 28 systems.

29 FUNGICIDE APPLICATION RECORDS

30 The pesticide application records of each course were inspected for the years 1993 to 2000.

31 Applications of all fungicides (whether for fungal or earthworm control) were recorded per

32 year and summarised to provide information on the relative amount of use of each. These

33 data showed that chlorothalonil, iprodione and fenarimol were the most widely used

1 chemicals and so these three were selected for soil analyses (see below). Differences in the

2 mean number of applications per year between courses were examined with one factor

3 ANOVA, to determine if the extent of fungicide use varied between courses.

4 ELEMENTAL ANALYSIS

5 Two soil cores (5 cm deep x 2.5 cm in diameter) were taken from random positions on each 6 of the 18 greens on each course. Duplicate cores were also taken at random from two greens 7 per course, for use in assessing the sampling precision of both elemental and organic analysis. 8 A reference (background) soil was sampled in the same way from the apron (edge) of each 9 green which was untreated with fungicides, and these cores were used to repair greens. 10 Each core of soil was split into two halves. One half was stored for organic fungicide analysis 11 (see below), while the other sub-sample required for analysis of copper, cadmium, lead and 12 arsenic was placed in an oven at 50°C for 24 hours, before being crushed and passed through 13 a 500 μ m mesh sieve. One gram (± 0.01 g) was then leached with 10 ml of a 20% HNO₃ solution on a hot plate at 55°C for 30 minutes. Once cooled, the samples were filtered 14 15 through a medium filter-speed filter paper (Whatman® grade 2) into 20 ml flasks, made up to 16 20 ml with distilled water and placed into labelled plastic tubes.

17 This sample preparation offered several advantages. Because arsenic analysis was required, any option involving a vigorous reaction or heating over 60°C had to be avoided. 18 19 This meant that fusion or full HF acid digestions could not be performed. Samples also 20 contained a large amount of organic matter (e.g., roots) which a simple acid leach could cope 21 with. Finally, a mild acid leach is more representative of the bioavailable fraction of the soil 22 matrix which was of interest in this study. However, acid leaches suffer poorer precision and 23 repeatability/reproducibility compared to full digestions or fusions (Potts, 1989). To assess 24 detection limits, a blank was associated with each batch (one per course) and sample 25 preparation duplicates made in order to assess the precision of this step. Certified reference 26 materials were not analysed as their composition is determined after full digestion only. Therefore bias errors could not be assessed. 27

Samples were analysed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry
(ICP-AES, Perkin Elmer Optima 3300R2 ICP-AES unit, Perkin Elmer AS-91 autosampler
and Winlab 32 Software) for lead, cadmium and copper, and by Inductively Coupled PlasmaMass Spectrometry (ICP-MS, Perkin Elmer SCIEX Elan 5000 ICP-MS unit and Winlab 32
Software) for arsenic. Drift was monitored during ICP-AES by running a standard composed
of most major and trace elements found in soils, for every tenth sample that was analysed.

The samples obtained from initial sample preparation and used for ICP-AES analysis were diluted a further 50 fold and analysed by ICP-MS. Drift was monitored by running a standard solution containing 10 ppb arsenic. A classical standard calibration approach was used for both techniques, and some samples were analysed twice to assess instrumental precision.

5

6 ORGANIC ANALYSES

7 The remaining sub samples were stored at -20° C prior to analysis for the organic fungicides 8 chlorothalonil, iprodione and fenarimol. Re-distilled ethyl acetate was used as the extracting 9 solvent, following Fucci, Ciaravolo & Mazza (1995). The frozen soil samples were placed on 10 trays and left to defrost and dry at room temperature, until their mass remained unchanged. 11 Ten g of soil from each were tipped into a flask and extracted twice with 8 ml of ethyl acetate 12 (5 minutes in an ultrasonic bath). The extracts were combined after filtration through a 13 medium grade filter paper (Whatman grade 2). The solvent was then evaporated until dryness 14 under a stream of nitrogen, and 1 ml ethyl acetate was added back into the flask. After 15 agitation, the extract was ready to be analysed.

16 Recoveries were assessed by spiking each sample with a mixture of 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ 17 chlorothalonil, iprodione and fenarimol. These were analysed and the fungicide peak 18 integrations (minus those for unspiked samples) were matched against the peak integrations 19 for the 0.1mg ml⁻¹ standards. Results within \pm 10% of the mean recovery for one site were 20 accepted, while others were discarded and replaced by spiking and analysing another similar 21 sub-sample.

22 One mg ml⁻¹ stock solutions were prepared by weighing 50 mg each of pure fungicides and 23 diluting to volume in 50 ml volumetric flasks with ethyl acetate (purity > 99%). New stock 24 solutions were prepared every three weeks. Solutions containing 0.1 mg ml⁻¹ were regularly 25 made up from the stock solutions by dilution with ethyl acetate. The latter were analysed by 26 GC-MSD (SCAN mode). All the compounds were identified on the chromatograms.

A Hewlett Packard 5890 Gas Chromatograph (GC) coupled with Hewlett Packard 5970 MSD was used for analysis and the GC column was of medium polarity (SE-54, 30 m, 0.25 mm internal diameter). The GC temperature programme (initial temperature: 60° C for 5 min, gradient of 10 °C min-¹, final temperature of 300 °C for 5 min) remained the same over the whole period of analysis. Injection of 2 µl was manual (split-less for 1-5 min). Under SCAN mode, the range of mass detection was scanned from 50 to 350 atomic mass units (amu). Once the chromatogram peaks of chlorothalonil, iprodione and fenarimol and their related mass spectra were clearly defined, a SIM program was edited by choosing three specific amu values for each compounds. This technique dramatically improves detection and, when dealing with real samples, the signal is much less likely to suffer interferences from other compounds (from the matrix or contamination). Table 1 shows the retention times as well as the selected amu values for each compound analysed.

A classical calibration was performed by analysing 100, 10, 1 and 0.1 μ g ml⁻¹ chlorothalonil, iprodione and fenarimol and mixed solutions in the GC-MSD. A linear regression between peak areas and concentrations (both log-transformed) gave the equation of the calibration curve, which was accepted when the regression coefficient was higher than 0.985. The calibration curve was regularly checked by running a 10 μ g ml⁻¹ standard mix solution.

13

14 ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL COLONIZATION

15 To obtain AM colonization data, all 18 greens from all four courses were sampled on four

16 occasions (March, June, September and December) in 1999 and 2000. On each sampling

17 occasion, three cores, measuring 2.5 cm diameter x 5 cm deep were removed from random

18 positions on each green. Roots of the dominant grass *P. annua* were removed from each and

19 washed free of soil. Slide preparations of roots were examined at \times 200 using a Zeiss

20 Axiophott epifluorescence microscope fitted with a UV lamp and filters giving a transmission

of 455-490 nm blue, to reveal arbuscules (Gange *et al.*, 1999a) which were recorded with the

cross hair eye piece method of McGonigle et al. (1990). At least 200 intersections were

23 recorded per slide, to give a measure of percent root length colonized (% RLC). Mean

24 mycorrhizal levels per green were calculated as the average of all 24 (three cores x four dates

25 x two years) values obtained. Such a calculation takes into account inter- and intra-seasonal

26 variation and is as accurate a measure of AM occurrence in each green as is possible.

27

28 MANIPULATIVE EXPERIMENT

A randomised block experiment, consisting of 40 x 1 m² plots, each separated by 2 m, was
laid out on a practice putting green at course C. The sward comprised 83% *P. annua*, 14% *Agrostis stolonifera* L. and 3% *Festuca* spp. Plots were allocated at random within blocks to
one of four treatments: (i) control (no fungicide applied); (ii) application of chlorothalonil;
(iii) application of iprodione and (iv) application of fenarimol. Each fungicide was diluted
with an appropriate volume of water to produce application rates identical to those

recommended for sports turf. These were: chlorothalonil (15,000 g a.i. ha⁻¹), iprodione (5,000 1 g a.i. ha⁻¹) and fenarimol (780 g a.i. ha⁻¹). Each plot received 50 ml of diluted product, 2 equivalent to the application rate of 500 L ha⁻¹ recommended for each. The first application 3 4 took place on 2 July 1999 with a second application on 12 August. The green had not 5 received any fungicide in the six months prior to the experiment and no other compounds 6 were applied during the time of study. Levels of the three compounds used were below 7 detectable limits in the soil. 8 Immediately before the first application, three 2.5 cm diameter x 5 cm deep soil cores were

9 taken from each plot. The hole left behind was filled with sterilized top dressing (a mixture of 90% sand and 10 % loam soil). Roots of *P. annua* were extracted from each core, washed 11 free of soil, and arbuscules within them revealed by autofluorescence microscopy (Gange *et al.* 1999a). Percent root length colonized (% RLC) by arbuscules was again recorded with the 13 cross hair eye piece method of McGonigle *et al.* (1990), on at least 200 intersections per slide. 14 Root samples were taken again on 12 September, 15 November and 10 January 2000.

15

16 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

17 Differences between courses in metal and organic fungicide concentrations were examined

18 with one factor ANOVA, after checking for normality and homogeneity of variances.

19 Relations between AM fungal colonization of *P. annua* and each chemical were examined

20 with linear regression. In all cases, mean values per green were used as replicates. The effect

21 of fungicides on AM colonization in the manipulative experiment were examined with a

22 repeated measures ANOVA, employing block, fungicides and dates as main effects. In this

23 case, data were subject to the angular transformation to meet the assumptions of normality

- 24 (Zar 1996).
- 25

26 **Results**

27 FUNGICIDE APPLICATION PATTERNS

28 The proportion of all fungicides used at each course represented by chlorothalonil, iprodione

and fenarimol varied between courses (Fig. 1a). At course C, these three compounds

30 comprised 95% of all applications, while at course D, this figure was 71%. Furthermore, the

31 proportion of each chemical used varied, with the most dramatic difference seen with

32 chlorothalonil. On course A, 42% of all applications were of this chemical, while the

33 comparable figure for course D was only 4.5%. Carbendazim (mainly used for earthworm

control) was the most common constituent of the 'others' category, but was never more than
 8% of total usage at any course.

There were significant differences between courses in the mean number of applications per annum of chlorothalonil and iprodione (Fig. 1b). For the former compound, course D applied significantly less than both courses A and B ($F_{3,25} = 6.7$, P < 0.01), while for the latter, there was a significant difference between courses A and C ($F_{3,25} = 3.1$, P < 0.05). No significant differences were found in the frequency of application of fenarimol between courses (Fig. 1b).

8

9 ELEMENTAL AND ORGANIC FUNGICIDE ANALYSIS

10 The detection limits (limits of determination) for the four elements in this study were $40.5 \,\mu g$

11 Cu kg⁻¹ soil, 4.11 μ g Cd kg⁻¹, 65.5 μ g Pb kg⁻¹ and 67 μ g As kg⁻¹. There were significant

12 differences between courses in the levels of copper ($F_{3,68} = 26.6, P < 0.001$), cadmium ($F_{3,68} =$

13 33.9, P < 0.001), lead ($F_{3,68} = 12.1$, P < 0.001) and arsenic ($F_{3,68} = 7.2$, P < 0.001) (Fig. 2).

For the latter three elements, the newly constructed course D had much lower levels than the other three courses. However, this did not occur with copper, where levels in course D were similar to those in the older course A (Fig. 2a).

- In the majority of greens, the recorded levels of all elements were very low. However, in courses A, B and C, the maximum level of lead recorded (29.9 mg kg⁻¹, 25.9 mg kg⁻¹ and 70.3 mg kg⁻¹) exceeded the UK mean of 20 mg kg⁻¹. Course C had particularly high levels of lead in some greens, leading to the highest mean value overall (Fig. 2c), although this figure was not significantly different to the mean of course B.
- There were highly significant positive correlations between levels of lead and arsenic (all P</br/> < 0.001) in courses A, B and C, suggesting that the source of these elements was lead arsenate (PbHAsO₄). In courses A and B there were also weak, but significant correlations (P < 0.05)

 between copper and arsenic levels, suggesting that application of Paris Green (copper

 acetoarsenite) was responsible for the levels of these elements.
- The detection limits for the three fungicides were 1.88 µg chlorothalonil kg⁻¹ soil, 242.81 µg iprodione kg⁻¹ and 27.43 µg fenarimol kg⁻¹. Highly significant differences were found in chlorothalonil ($F_{3,68} = 4.2$, P < 0.01), iprodione ($F_{3,68} = 5.2$, P < 0.01) and fenarimol ($F_{3,68} =$ 64.6, P < 0.001) levels between courses (Fig. 3). Course B had the highest levels of

31 chlorothalonil and iprodione (Fig. 3a,b), while course D had much higher levels of fenarimol

32 than did the other courses (Fig. 3c). These data were only a weak reflection of fungicide

33 applications (Fig. 1b), for course B only differed from D in the application of chlorothalonil,

34 while there were no differences in applications of fenarimol.

1 2 PESTICIDES AND ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAS 3 The mean levels of % RLC (\pm one s.e.) of AM colonization were: course A: 7.8 \pm 1.1; course 4 B: 11.4 \pm 1.2; course C: 13.5 \pm 0.8 and course D: 18.2 \pm 2.2. A summary of the relations 5 between AM fungal colonization and elemental concentrations is given in Table 2. A feature 6 of this is that only one of the 16 regressions was significant, where a negative relation was 7 found between cadmium and AM fungi in course C. Meanwhile, a similar picture was 8 evident in the relations between organic fungicide levels per green and AM colonization 9 (Table 2). Only two significant negative relations were found, for chlorothalonil in course C 10 and fenarimol in course A. Therefore, there was little evidence that AM levels were lower in 11 greens where elemental or organic fungicide levels were relatively high. 12 Fig. 4 depicts the levels of arbuscular colonization of *P. annua* in the manipulative 13 experiment. Natural levels of colonization were about 8% of the root system colonized in early July. In control plots, there was an increase in this figure during autumn, rising to about 14 15 12%. Levels then decreased during the autumn and into winter. No significant effects of any 16 fungicide could be found over the course of this experiment ($F_{3,36} = 2.27, P > 0.05$). Root 17 biomass of P. annua and A. stolonifera showed a very similar pattern to that of AM 18 colonization (data not shown) and there was no effect of fungicide on root production in 19 either grass species. 20 21 Discussion

22 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have great potential in aiding the establishment and growth of 23 perennial grasses in golf putting greens (Gemma et al. 1997). Furthermore, evidence suggests 24 that the abundance of these fungi in fine turf is negatively related to that of P. annua (Gange 25 1998) and that addition of AM inoculum to an established green may result in a decrease in 26 the abundance of this weed (Gange et al. 1999b). If this biological strategy is to be 27 successful, it is important that AM fungi form part of an integrated programme of turf 28 management in which their presence is compatible with an array of chemicals currently in 29 use. Studies have shown that AM colonization levels of grasses in putting greens are 30 considerably lower than in more natural situations (Koske et al. 1997a; Gange et al. 1999b), 31 although a surprising number of species have been found in turf (Koske, Gemma & Jackson 32 1997b). The results from the current study indicate that fungicidal chemicals do not appear to 33 have an adverse effect on the levels of AM colonization in fine turf.

1 In the past, lead arsenate and copper acetoarsenite (Paris Green) were widely used as 2 insecticides on turf, while cadmium chloride and various salts of mercury and copper were 3 accepted fungicides (Greenfield 1962). Lead arsenate was probably used on turf until the late 4 1960's and the immobility of lead in the soil, coupled with the generally undisturbed nature of 5 putting green soils led us to hypothesise that levels of lead and arsenic would be relatively 6 high in the older putting greens. Although there were positive correlations between lead and 7 arsenic and between copper and arsenic levels in the older courses, indicating the source of 8 these elements, we found that levels of As, Cd, Cu and Pb were only a little above ambient 9 levels reported elsewhere for UK soils (Lepp 1981). Certainly, none of the levels of any of 10 the four elements in any green are likely to result in phytotoxicity (Carbonell et al. 1998; Das, 11 Samantaray & Rout 1998; Aksoy, Hale & Dixon 1999).

12 There are few reports of the effects of heavy metals on AM fungi and these either show 13 negative effects on colonization (Gildon & Tinker 1983; del Val, Barea & Azcón-Aguilar 14 1999) or no effect (e.g. Weissenhorn et al. 1995). A consistent feature of these studies is that 15 different AM fungal ecotypes exhibit varying degrees of tolerance to heavy metals 16 (Haselwandter, Leyval & Sanders 1994) and that indigenous fungi from polluted sites show 17 high degrees of tolerance (Weissenhorn, Leyval & Berthelin 1993). An excellent example of 18 this was found with Glomus mosseae (Nicol. & Gerd.) Gerd. & Trappe, in which metal 19 tolerant isolates exist (Joner, Briones & Leyval 2000) as well as isolates that are strongly 20 inhibited by heavy metals (del Val et al. 1999). G. mosseae was the dominant species in 21 spore counts from similar golf courses (Gange et al. 1999b) and has been found in other 22 turfgrass situations (Koske et al. 1997b). It is therefore possible that putting green 23 populations of AM fungi have become more tolerant of historic heavy metal concentrations, 24 although it must be appreciated that the current mean concentrations of Cd, Cu and Pb in our 25 study equate with the 'non-contaminated' control levels of del Val et al. (1999). It is quite 26 possible that metal concentrations have become 'diluted' over the years, with the cessation of 27 application and regular application of top dressing, which contributes to the soil profile (Perris 28 & Evans 1996). If putting green AM fungi are relatively tolerant, this would explain the lack 29 of significant relations found between elemental levels and AM abundance, as found by 30 Weissenhorn et al. (1995).

Our data show that there is no evidence to suggest that levels of heavy metals in putting green soils, from past pesticide applications, are likely to be a significant explanatory factor for the low abundance of AM fungi. However, if metal tolerant fungi are a feature of golf greens, then future work involving the inoculation of greens with AM fungi must use isolates that have been obtained from turfgrass. Indeed, this is the only ecologically realistic approach
to AM manipulation (Read 2002) and may explain why a previous experiment, in which nonturfgrass isolates were used, was only a partial success (Gange *et al.* 1999b).

4 The three most-commonly applied fungicides in this study were fenarimol, chlorothalonil, 5 and iprodione. Amongst the 'others' category, carbendazim (for earthworm control) was the 6 most prevalent, but was only 8% of use at maximum. This chemical can reduce AM root 7 colonization (Venedikian et al. 1999), but there are also studies where it has been shown to be 8 ineffective (Schweiger, Spliid & Jakobsen 2001). We consider that in no case was application 9 of carbendazim or other compounds frequent enough to have been a likely confounding factor 10 in the relationships we sought between AM levels and fungicides. Chlorothalonil and 11 iprodione have been used in a number of ecological experiments, where they have been found 12 to be effective in reducing the abundance of AM fungi (Gange et al. 1990; Aziz, Habte & 13 Yuen 1991; Wan et al. 1998). We found very little evidence that AM fungal abundance was 14 related to the levels of any pesticide in the soil and, overall, levels of the three fungicides 15 were very low. This may be because the root zone of a putting green is generally free-16 draining and thus chemicals are rapidly leached through it. However, previous studies 17 indicate that this is unlikely to be a common occurrence (Cohen et al. 1999). Instead, it is 18 more likely that the chemicals are degraded by microbial action (Mercadier, Vega & Bastide 19 1997; Armbrust 2001) and the most probable site where this occurs is the thatch layer in a 20 golf green (Sigler et al. 2000). This layer of undecomposed plant material occurs at the soil 21 surface and in some cases can be several cm deep. A moderate thatch layer is required, to 22 improve ball bounce and putting quality (Perris & Evans 1996), but too much is regarded as 23 problematic, as it prevents the ingress of fertilizers or even water to the root zone, and 24 encourages disease outbreaks.

25 Generally, there was little indication that frequency of pesticide application was related to 26 the amount of chemicals in the root zone, providing further evidence that chemicals may be 27 entrapped and degraded within the thatch layer before they reach the roots. These data show 28 the difference in pesticide usage by course managers, and it was encouraging to see that all 29 four courses used a variety of chemicals, in an attempt to lessen the chances of resistance to 30 any one chemical occurring. Concentrations of fenarimol were highest in the newest course, 31 in which the rootzone was composed predominantly of sand rather than soil. It is known that 32 retention of fenarimol in a soil is positively related to the cation exchange capacity (CEC) 33 (Wehtje, Walker & Shaw 2000) and this may be why levels were higher in this course, as 34 inorganic products designed to increase the CEC had been added to the rootzone.

1 The manipulative experiment also failed to show any effects of chlorothalonil, iprodione or 2 fenarimol on AM colonization levels. The experiment was conducted over a six month 3 period, which should have been ample time for any detrimental effect of iprodione or 4 chlorothalonil on root colonisation levels to become apparent (Gange et al. 1990; Aziz et al. 5 1991). Given the efficacy of these chemicals in reducing AM levels in other experiments 6 (above), this is perhaps a surprising result. It may be possible that AM fungi in putting greens 7 are resistant to fungicides, though to our knowledge, such a phenomenon has never been 8 recorded. Indeed, it seems unlikely for fenarimol, which is a relatively recent addition to the 9 market. However, this is not the first time that fungicides have failed to reduce AM 10 abundance, which Sukarno, Smith & Scott (1993) have attributed to the way in which 11 colonization levels have been assessed. For example, they have shown that some fungicides 12 affect root production, thus biasing results, while in other cases the amount of living fungal 13 structures may be reduced, a fact not revealed by conventional staining methods. In our study 14 we could detect no effect of fungicides on root production of either P. annua or A. stolonifera 15 and chose to record arbuscules only. As these are relatively transient structures, we believe 16 that if the fungicide had a detrimental effect on the symbiosis, it would have been revealed by 17 this method over the course of a six month period. Instead, we suggest that the degradation of 18 chemicals within the thatch layer meant that insufficient pesticide reached the roots and thus 19 had no effect on AM colonization (Negre et al. 1997).

20 The data reported here do not provide any evidence that toxic chemicals are the reason for 21 the relatively low levels of AM fungi seen in putting green soils and we suggest that two 22 alternative explanations are worth investigating. The first concerns soil P levels, which can 23 be high in some putting greens (Baker, Binns & Cook 1997). Indeed, it is well known that 24 AM fungi tend to become less abundant and less functional at high soil P (Demiranda & 25 Harris 1994). However, if this is so, it still does not explain why such a relatively high 26 diversity of AM species has been recorded in turfgrass soils (Koske et al. 1997b). There has 27 been no study of the occurrence of AM fungi in fine turf in relation to soil P status and we 28 suggest that this is an important area that needs to be addressed.

The second feature of fine turf is the intensity of mowing throughout the year, which in a golf green results in a height of about 4.5 mm for most of the growing season (Perris & Evans 1996). Although in ecological studies occasional mowing may have little effect on AM colonization (Eom *et al.* 1999; Smilauer 2001) such an intensity of foliage removal is certain to affect the capacity of the plant to direct carbon to the mycorrhiza (Jakobsen, Smith &

- Smith 2002). Therefore, in any future manipulation of AM populations in turf, factors that
 affect the carbon economy of the plant will need to be considered too.
- 3

4 Acknowledgements

- 5 We thank the Centre for Chemical Sciences and the Geology Department at Royal Holloway
- 6 (in particular Prof. Mike Cooke and Dr Sarah James) for providing help and instruments for
- 7 the chemical analysis. We are grateful to the four course managers for allowing us access to
- 8 their courses and to Dow AgroSciences for funding the manipulative part of this study. K.
- 9 Hagley was funded by the Natural Environment Research Council.
- 10
- 11

12 **References**

- 13 Adams, W.A. & Gibbs, R.J. (1994) Natural Turf for Sport and Amenity: Science and
- 14 *Practice*. CAB International, Wallingford.
- Aksoy, A., Hale, W.H.G. & Dixon, J.M. (1999) *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medic. As a
 biomonitor of heavy metals. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 226, 177-186.
- Armbrust, K.L. (2001) Chlorothalonil and chlorpyrifos degradation products in golf course
 leachate. *Pest Management Science*, 57, 797-802.
- Aziz, T., Habte, M. & Yuen, J.E. (1991) Inhibition of mycorrhizal symbiosis in *Leucaena leucocephala* by chlorothalonil. *Plant and Soil*, 131, 47-52.
- Baker, S.W., Binns, D.J. & Cook, A. (1997) Performance of sand-dominated golf greens in
 relation to rootzone characteristics. *Journal of Turfgrass Science*, **73**, 43-57.
- 23 Bengeyfield, W.H. (1989) Specifications for a Method of Golf Green Construction. United
- 24 States Golf Association, Far Hills, NJ.
- 25 Carbonell, A.A., Aarabi, M.A., DeLaune, R.D., Gambrell, R.P. & Patrick, W.H. (1998)
- 26 Arsenic in wetland vegetation: availability, phytotoxicity, uptake and effects on plant
- growth and nutrition. *The Science of the Total Environment*, **217**, 189-199.
- Cohen S., Svrjcek A., Duborow T. and Barnes N.L. (1999) Water quality impacts on golf
 courses. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 28, 798-809.
- 30 Das, P., Samantaray, S. & Rout, G.R. (1997) Studies on cadmium toxicity in plants: a review.
 31 *Environmental Pollution*, **98**, 29-36.
- 32 del Val, C., Barea, J.M. & Azcón-Aguilar, C. (1999) Assessing the tolerance to heavy metals
- 33 of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi isolated from sewage sludge-contaminated soils. *Applied*
- 34 *Soil Ecology*, **11**, 261-269.

- Gemma, J.N., Koske, R.E., Roberts, E.M. & Jackson, N. (1997) Enhanced establishment of
 bentgrasses by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Journal of Turfgrass Science*, **73**, 9-14.
- 24 Gildon, A. & Tinker, P.B. (1983) Interactions of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal infection
- and heavy metals in plants. The effects of heavy metals on the development of vesicular-
- arbuscular mycorrhizas. *New Phytologist*, **95**, 247-261.
- 27 Greenfield, I. (1962). *Turf Culture*. Leonard Hill Ltd, London.
- Haselwandter, K., Leyval, C. & Sanders, F.E. (1994) Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
 on plant uptake of heavy metals and radionuclides from soil. *Impact of Arbuscular*
- 30 *Mycorrhizas on Sustainable Agriculture and Natural Ecosystems* (eds S. Gianinazzi & H.
- 31 Schüepp), pp. 179-189. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland.
- 32 Hodge, A. (2000) Microbial ecology of the arbuscular mycorrhiza. FEMS Microbiology

33 *Ecology*, **32**, 91-96.

¹ Demiranda, J.C.C. & Harris, P.J. (1994) Effects of soil phosphorus on spore germination and 2 hyphal growth of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist, 128, 103-108. 3 Eom, A.H., Hartnett, D.C., Wilson, G.W.T. & Figge, D.A.H. (1999) The effect of fire, 4 mowing and fertilizer amendment on arbuscular mycorrhizas in tallgrass prairie. American 5 Midland Naturalist, 142, 55-70. 6 Fucci, G., Ciaravolo, S. & Mazza, G. (1995) Determination of carbendazim and 7 thiabendazole residues in fruit and vegetable by high performance liquid chromatography. 8 Industrie Alimentari, 34, 1013-1015. 9 Gange, A.C. (1998) A potential microbiological method for the reduction of *Poa annua* L. in 10 golf greens. Journal of Turfgrass Science, 74, 40-45. 11 Gange, A.C. & Ayres R.L. (1999) On the relation between arbuscular mycorrhizal 12 colonization and plant 'benefit'. Oikos, 87, 615-621. 13 Gange, A.C., Bower E., Stagg, P.G., Aplin, D.M., Gillam, A.E. & Bracken, M. (1999a) A 14 comparison of visualization techniques for recording arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization. 15 New Phytologist, 142, 123-132. 16 Gange, A.C., Brown, V.K. & Farmer, L.M. (1990) A test of mycorrhizal benefit in an early 17 successional plant community. New Phytologist, 115, 85-91. 18 Gange, A.C., Lindsay, D.E. & Ellis, L.S. (1999b) Can arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi be used 19 to control the undesirable grass *Poa annua* on golf courses? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 20 **36**, 909-919. 21 Garthwaite, D.G. (1996). Greener than they think? Turf Management March 1996, 18.

- 1 Jakobsen, I., Smith, S.E. & Smith, F.A. (2002) Function and diversity of arbuscular
- 2 mycorrhizae in carbon and mineral nutrition. *Mycorrhizal Ecology* (eds M.G.A. van der
- 3 Heijden & I.R. Sanders), pp. 75-92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Johnson, B. J. (1982) Frequency of herbicide treatments for summer and winter weed control
 in turfgrass. *Weed Science*, 30, 116-124.
- 6 Joner, E.J., Briones, R. & Leyval, C. (2000) Metal-binding capacity of arbuscular
- 7 mycorrhizal mycelium. *Plant and Soil*, **226**, 227-234.
- Koske, R.E., Gemma, J.N. & Jackson, N. (1997a) A preliminary survey of mycorrhizal fungi
 in putting greens. *Journal of Turfgrass Science*, 73, 2-8.
- Koske, R.E., Gemma, J.N. & Jackson, N. (1997b) Mycorrhizal fungi associated with three
 species of turfgrass. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, **75**, 320-332.
- 12 Lepp, N.W. (1981) Effect of Heavy Metal Pollution on Plants Volume 1: Effects of Trace
- 13 *Metals on Plant Function*. Applied Science Publishers, London, UK.
- 14 McGonigle, T.P., Miller, M.H., Evans, D.G., Fairchild, G.L. & Swan, J.A. (1990) A new
- method which gives an objective measure of colonisation of roots by vesicular-arbuscular
 mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist*, **115**, 495-501.
- 17 Mann, R. L. (2004) A survey to determine the spread and severity of pests and diseases on
- 18 golf greens in the UK and Ireland. *Journal of Turfgrass and Sports Surface Science* in
- 19 press
- 20 Mercadier, C. Vega, D. & Bastide, J. (1997) Iprodione degradation by isolated soil
- 21 microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **23**, 207-215.
- 22 Negre, M., Mocioni, M., Gennari, M. & Gullino, M.L. (1997) Enhanced degradation of
- 23 iprodione in a golf course soil after repeated treatments against *Sclerotinia homeocarpa*.
- 24 *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, **6**, 687-693.
- 25 Odanaka, Y., Taniguchi, T., Shimamura, Y., Ijima, K., Koma, Y., Takechi, T. & Matano, O.
- 26 (1994) Runoff and leaching of pesticides in golf course. *Journal of Pesticide Science*, 19,
 27 1-10.
- Perris, J. & Evans, R.D.C. (1996) *The Care of the Golf Course*. Sports Turf Research
 Institute, Bingley.
- 30 Potts, P.J. (1989) A Handbook of Silicate Rock Analysis. Blackie, Glasgow, UK.
- 31 Read, D.J. (2002) Towards ecological relevance Progress and pitfalls in the path towards an
- 32 understanding of mycorrhizal functions in nature. *Mycorrhizal Ecology* (eds M.G.A. van
- der Heijden & I.R. Sanders), pp. 3-29. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.

1	Schweiger, P.F., Spliid, N.H. & Jakobsen, I. (2001). Fungicide application and phosphorus
2	uptake by hyphae of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi into field-grown peas. Soil Biology and
3	Biochemistry, 33 , 1231-1237.
4	Sigler, W.V., Taylor, C.P., Throssell, C.S., Bischoff, M. & Turco, R.F. (2000) Environmental
5	fates of fungicides in the turfgrass environment: A mini review. ACS Symposium Series,
6	743 , 127-149.
7	Smilauer, P. (2001) Communities of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in grassland: seasonal
8	variability and effects of environment and host plants. Folia Geobotanica, 36, 243-263.
9	Sukarno, N., Smith, S.E. & Scott, E.S. (1993) The effect of fungicides on the vesicular-
10	arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis I. The effects on vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
11	and plant growth. New Phytologist, 25, 139-147.
12	Vargas, J.M. (1994) Management of Turfgrass Diseases. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Fl,
13	USA.
14	Venedikian, N., Chiocchio, V., Martinez, A., Menendez, A., Ocampo, J.A. & Godeas, A.
15	(1999) Influence of the fungicides carbendazim and chlorothalonil on spore germination,
16	arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization and growth of soybean plants. Agrochimica, 43, 105-
17	109.
18	Yang, Y. H., Yao, J., Hu, S., & Qi, Y. (2000) Effects of agricultural chemicals on DNA
19	sequencing diversity of a soil microbial community: a study with RAPD markers. Microbial
20	<i>Ecology</i> , 39 , 72-79.
21	Wan, M.T., Rahe, J.E. & Watts, R.G. (1998) A new technique for determining the sublethal
22	toxicity of pesticides to the vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Glomus intraradices.
23	Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 17, 1421-1428.
24	Wehtje, G., Walker, R.H. & Shaw, J.N. (2000) Pesticide retention by inorganic soil
25	amendments. Weed Science, 48, 248-254.
26	Weissenhorn, I., Leyval, C. & Berthelin, J. (1993) Cd-tolerant arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM)
27	fungi from heavy-metal polluted soils. Plant and Soil, 157, 247-256.
28	Weissenhorn, I., Mench, M. & Leyval, C. (1995) Bioavailability of heavy metals and
29	arbuscular mycorrhiza in a sewage-sludge-amended sandy soil. Soil Biology and
30	Biochemistry, 27, 287-296.
31	Whitehead, R. (2000) The UK Pesticide Guide 2000. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK.
32	Wood, J. (2001) What will be left to spray? HDC News, 74, 14-15.
33	Zar, J.H. (1996) Biostatistical Analysis. Prentice-Hall International, Upper Saddle River, NJ,
34	USA.

1 Figure legends

- 2 Fig. 1. (a) The extent of use of chlorothalonil, iprodione and fenarimol at four golf courses,
- 3 expressed as a proportion of all fungicides used. (b) The mean number of applications per
- 4 annum, over an 8 year period, of chlorothalonil, iprodione and fenarimol.
- 5 Fig. 2. Mean concentration of copper (a), cadmium (b), lead (c) and arsenic (d) in putting
- 6 green soils at four golf courses. Values are means of all 18 greens per course, vertical lines
- 7 represent one standard error. Letters above bars indicate significant differences between
- 8 means (Tukey HSD test).
- 9 Fig. 3. Mean concentration of chlorothalonil (a), iprodione (b) and fenarimol (c) in putting
- 10 green soils at four golf courses. Values are means of all 18 greens per course, vertical lines
- 11 represent one standard error. Letters above bars indicate significant differences between
- 12 means (Tukey HSD test).
- 13 Fig. 4. Changes in AM colonization of *P. annua* roots in control and fungicide treatments in
- 14 the manipulative experiment (n = 10 for each treatment). Vertical lines represent one
- 15 standard error.

Fungicide	Retention time (min)	amu 1	amu 2	amu 3		
Chlorothalonil	20.7	108.8	123.9	263.78		
Iprodione	26.7	186.8	243.9	270.9		
Fenarimol	28.4	106.9	138.9	218.9		

Table 2. Summary of results from linear regression analyses, testing for relationships between elemental and organic fungicide levels andarbuscular mycorrhizal colonization in putting greens at four golf courses. In all cases, n = 18.

	Course A			Course B		Course C			Course D			
Fungicide	F	Р	R ² , %	F	Р	R ² , %	F	Р	R ² , %	F	Р	R^2 , %
Copper	0.01	NS	0.007	0.47	NS	2.9	2.59	NS	13.9	0.08	NS	0.05
Cadmium	1.36	NS	7.8	0.02	NS	0.14	4.74	< 0.05	22.8	0.32	NS	1.9
Lead	0.66	NS	3.9	0.48	NS	2.49	0.90	NS	5.3	0.03	NS	1.9
Arsenic	0.03	NS	0.002	1.35	NS	7.8	1.41	NS	8.1	1.68	NS	9.5
Chlorothalonil	0.88	NS	5.2	0.09	NS	0.06	6.84	< 0.05	29.9	1.9	NS	10.6
Iprodione	2.63	NS	14.1	2.63	NS	14.1	1.37	NS	7.9	0.11	NS	0.07
Fenarimol	8.43	< 0.05	34.5	0.77	NS	4.6	1.66	NS	9.4	0.06	NS	0.04